Holocene transgression recorded by sand composition in the mesotidal Galician coastline (NW Spain)

José Arribas,¹ Ángela Alonso,² Jose Luis Pagés² and Laura González-Acebrón¹

Abstract

This study confirms several inferences regarding Holocene coastal dynamics and climate through a petrographic modal analysis of 60 Holocene sand samples recovered in seven sites along the NW coast of the Iberian Peninsula. Fluvial sand can be discriminated from more mature intertidal and acolian sand according to texture and composition. Fluvial sand contains soil products and coastal sand has significant bioclasts. Quartzofeldspathic sand appears in the western area (produced by the erosion of granite and granitoid), and quartzolithic sand occurs in the eastern area (produced by the erosion of metasediment). Changes in sand composition during Holocene deposition are manifested by an increase in modern carbonate clasts (MC) correlated with the Holocene transgression. Episodes of faster sea-level rise and subsequent erosion of surrounding cliffs are indicated by the preservation of high proportions of feldspar in intertidal sand. In contrast, fluvial sand is characterized by greater quartz enrichment. These inferences were confirmed by petrographic indices (carbonate clasts/total clasts, MC/T; total feldspars/monocrystalline quartz, F/Qm; and plagioclase/total feldspars, P/F). The different maturity of intertidal and acolian sands is revealed by their variable quartz contents, despite similar proportions of plagioclase and K-feldspar. This suggests mechanical abrasion as the main factor controlling maturity. In contrast, fluvial sand shows depleted plagioclase contents as the result of inland weathering processes. Intertidal, beach and acolian sands are essentially the products of the erosion of coastal cliffs and head deposits, with only the scarce contribution of fluvial drainages. The long-distance transport of Galician coastal sands is discarded based on the close relationship between their composition and that of local sand sources. Our findings indicate that short-distance transport feediments from the west closed off coastal wetlands and occluded estuarine mouths during the Holocene transgression by depos

Keywords

coastal sands, coastal dynamics, Galicia, Holocene transgression, provenance, sand composition, Spain

Introduction

The genesis of recent and modern (i.e. Holocene) deposits has recently generated worldwide interest, since understanding related processes will allow us to more reliably predict future changes. During the last few decades, special efforts have been made to identify climate fluctuations and their consequences in fields related to vegetation (Birks, 2003; Froyd, 2005), micropaleontology (e.g. Anderson, 1995; Smol and Cumming, 2000), isotopes (e.g. Hong *et al.*, 1995) and sedimentology (e.g. Culver *et al.*, 2007). In these fields, sensitive signatures are used as climate indicators.

The northern coast of Galicia and western area of Asturias, in the northwest sector of the Iberian Peninsula (Figure 1), is an abrupt mesotidal wave-dominated coast, where sedimentation shows a discontinuous distribution in estuaries, barriers, coastal lagoons, beaches and pocket beaches. The Holocene record has been mainly interpreted as infilling sequences of estuaries or back-barrier coastal wetlands (Alonso and Pagés, 2000; García Antón *et al.*, 2006; Bao *et al.*, 2007).

The composition of clastic deposits is the final outcome of the cumulative effects of highly interrelated factors (Johnsson, 1993) that mainly involve source lithology, chemical weathering, hydraulic sorting and abrasion. Thus, detrital modes can be used to decipher these factors. Studies on modern sand composition enable direct comparison between the source system and the

sandy products (Ibbeken and Schleyer, 1991; Arribas and Tortosa, 2003) and also serve to monitor other factors. Climate and vegetation control the intensity of chemical weathering of the source rock (e.g. Nestbitt *et al.*, 1997). Physiography substantially affects denudation rates, and therefore, the weathering interval (e.g. Grantham and Velbel, 1988; Johnsson *et al.*, 1991). Some minerals and clastic particles such as feldspars or rock fragments are sensitive to climate conditions (e.g. Krynine, 1950; Todd, 1968; Suttner and Dutta, 1986), and thus, the bulk composition of sands could be used as a climate proxy.

To address the role of factors such as source area lithology, sedimentary environment and climate on sand composition, this paper describes sand composition and textures of Holocene deposits recorded at seven sites of the northwestern coast of the Iberian Peninsula (Traba, Baldaio, Pto. Coruña, Miño, Pantín, Viveiro and

¹Universidad Complutense de Madrid – IGE, C.S.I.C., Spain ²Universidad de A Coruña, Spain

Corresponding author:

José Arribas, Departamento de Petrología y Geoquímica, Universidad Complutense de Madrid – IGE, C.S.I.C., 28040-Madrid, Spain Email: arribas@geo.ucm.es



Figure 1. Location map of the study area and the sites of the Holocene record analysed. GTMZ, Galicia Tras os Montes Zone domain; CIZ, Central Iberian Zone domain; WALZ, Western Asturian Leonese Zone domain

Navia, Figure 1). Further insight into Holocene processes was obtained by comparing our results with those of other studies of the same stratigraphic records (e.g. Alonso and Pagés, 2000; Alonso *et al.*, 2003; Delgado *et al.*, 2003; Pagés *et al.*, 2003; García Antón *et al.*, 2006; Bao *et al.*, 2007).

General setting

Climate, waves, tides and sea level

The climate of the coastal area of Galicia and Asturias is oceanic with abundant regular rainfall (mean 1000 to 2000 mm/yr), and mild temperatures that vary within a narrow range (from averages of 8–9°C in January to 18–;19°C in July). Wet warm winds from the west are dominant (Castillo Rodríguez *et al.*, 2006). According to these climate conditions, mechanical and chemical weathering can be considered as moderate (Wilson, 1969).

From winter to summer, wave energy varies greatly. The average annual significant height (Hs) is 2.7 m, and the peak period (Tp) is 11.1 s. Wave provenance remains rather constant throughout the year, with 75% arising in the W–NNW sector (data from the directional Sea Watch Buoy of Estaca de Bares, located at 44°3.9'N, 7°37.1'W, with a sea floor depth of 382 m; http://www.puertos.es/es/oceanografia_y_meteorologia/banco_de_datos/oleaje.html).

The Galician coast is a mesotidal wave-dominated coast with maximum tides of 4.40 m recorded in springtime (data from Gijón seaport, year 2002). Average rates of sea-level change can be obtained from the records of tide gauges installed along the northern coast of Spain (available through the web page of the Permanent Service of Mean Sea Level, http://www.pol.ac.uk/psmsl/datainfo). In the Atlantic, these sea-level rises have been estimated at 1.5 mm/yr in A Coruña during the last 62 available years (1944–2005), to 2.6 mm/yr in Vigo during the period 1944–2001 (58 years). In the Bay of Biscay (Cantabrian), Santander seaport records a sea-level rise of 2.2 mm/yr for the period 1944–2001 (57 years). These data are slightly higher than averages for northern Europe (1 to 2.5 mm/yr; Zerbini, 2000).

Physiography and lithology

The northwest coast of Spain (Galicia) shows a rugged morphology, with high promontories, cliffs up to 100 m high, headlands, embayed beaches and funnel-shaped incisions (*rias*). The coast is developed on igneous and metamorphic rocks of the Variscan Chain (Macizo Ibérico) and shows several domains derived from a highly complex collision orogen (e.g. Arenas *et al.*, 1986). Three main domains are represented: (1) the Galicia Tras os Montes Zone (GTMZ), (2) the Central Iberian Zone (CIZ), and (3) the Western Asturleonese Zone (WALZ) (Figure 1).

Table 1.	Sizes of the	drainage	basins and	surface	area	percentages	of	their re	epresentativ	e lit	thologie	S
----------	--------------	----------	------------	---------	------	-------------	----	----------	--------------	-------	----------	---

	T•tal (km ²)	Ĩ	2	3	4	5
Traba	18.82	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Baldai	41.13	74.0	10.9	8.1	7.1	0.0
Pto.	266.15	19.7	0.0	80.3	0.0	0.0
Miñ●	47.90	54.3	7.1	35.5	3.1	0.0
Pantín	8.55	12.4	50.2	37.5	0.0	0.0
Viver	298.50	47.1	0.0	45.8	7.2	0.0
Navia	1906.56	0.6	0.0	48.4	49.4	1.6

1, Granites, granodiorites and gneisses; 2, gabres and amphybolites; 3, slates, schists and shales; 4, sandstones and metagrauvakes;

5, carbonates (limestones and dolostones)

The eastern zone of the area of study corresponds to the western limit of the Gulf of Biscay. This coastal segment is an E-Wstraight coast composed of low-grade Cambro-Ordovician metamorphicrocks (schists, quartzites, shales and sandstones) from the WALZ domain. One of the most significant fluvial courses is the Navia River, which is 105 km long. The drainage basins of these rivers are developed on the Cambro-Ordovician WALZ domain.

The Galician coast is an abruptly shaped coastline with dominant NW–SE and NE–SW orientations and high cliffs. Its substrate is composed of Cambro-Ordovician and Silurian metasediments and granites from the WALZ domain, gneisses and quartzites from the CIZ zone, and granites, gneisses, mafic and ultramafic rocks from the GTMZ domain, in the westernmost area of study. Rivers are no more than 50 km long, and frequently form *rias* at their mouths. Inland drainage areas are mainly made up of granites and locally of metasediments and mafic metamorphic rocks.

Drainage basins from the inland fluvial courses of the different sampling sites are highly variable in surface area and percentage drained lithologies (Table 1). Those of Navia, Vivero, Miño and Pto. Coruña are wider drainage basins. Each has a main collector showing constant flow. On the contrary, the smaller catchments (Traba, Baldaio and Pantín) are drained by short streams showing sporadic flow. Coarse-grained rocks (1 and 2 in Table 1), especially granites, are the most abundant potential sources of detritus to coastal sand at the respective sampling sites.

Sedimentary record

The morphology of the coastline is predominantly inherited from former high stands. During the last glacial period, the incision of fluvial systems was developed, leading during the Holocene flooding to the formation of rías, and displaying a wide outer marine zone and an inner estuary. The coastal plain was flooded during the postglacial period, and estuaries were already inundated at the beginning of the Holocene. The sea-level rise was fast during the early Holocene (up to 5 mm/yr from 8500 to 7500 cal. yr BP), decelerating thereafter (3.5 to 2 mm/ yr in the period 7500 to 5000 yr cal. BP) until its almost stabilization (Alonso et al., 2003; Pagés et al., 2003, 2005). This deceleration induced the replenishment of estuaries and the formation of several beach-barrier complexes in the most open areas of the coast and on the outer parts of the rías. This process caused the concurrent erosion of former sedimentary units in open coasts and cliffs (Lorenzo et al., 2007). Sea-level rise continued thereafter (up to 1.5 mm/yr).

As a consequence, Quaternary outcrops are scarce and appear only as disconnected remains (palaeobeaches and head deposits of Late Pleistocene age, aeolian sands, peats and flash-flood deposits of the Holocene). Owing to the scarcity of Holocene outcrops, this work is based on cores obtained in estuaries (Navia, Vivero), barrierlagoon complexes (Miño, Pantín, Baldaio, Traba) and the outer marine zone of the ría of Ares (Pto. Coruña). Boreholes drilled the entire sedimentary cover, as far as the granites and metamorphic rocks comprising the basement (Figure 1). Radiocarbon dating demonstrated that the Late Pleistocene is represented in the bases of three of the cores. These cores, designated according to their corresponding locations, were Baldaio, in which fluviatile conglomerates and sands in upwards fining sequences appeared; Miño, showing muddy facies and intercalated immature conglomerates representing flash-flood channels in flood flats; and Pto. Coruña, containing fluviatile immature conglomerates and muds, which in the upper portion were dated as Younger Dryas.

In some of the boreholes, the entire Holocene sequence is represented. The Holocene record in Navia and Vivero indicates the replenishment of inner estuaries that were flooded at the beginning of the Holocene. Facies correspond to intertidal mixed flats passing upward in Navia to a fluvial-dominated estuary. Baldaio and Miño correspond to tidal flats in a back-barrier, very shallowlagoon environment, while Pantín and Traba can be considered as coastal lakes or back-dune wetlands with no or only a minor connection to the sea throughout their history. At Pto. Coruña, only the early Holocene is represented; sedimentation took place in tidal flats and intertidal channels developed on the wide platform formed during low sea-level stages and were inundated during the first stages of postglacial flooding.

Petrographic procedures and grain types

Sixty sand samples were collected from eight mechanical cores drilled on the coastal wetlands of Traba (10.6 m) and Pantín (16.5 m); the shallow lagoon complexes of Baldaio (19.6 m) and Miño (21.9 m), the estuaries of Vivero (26.1 m) and Navia (25.2 m), and in the outer part of the Ria de Ares (Pto. Coruña, 51.5 m) (Figure 1). These cores were radiocarbon-dated at several depths, providing ages from 43 000 BP (Miño) and 5000 BP (Traba) to the present.

Sands were washed with fresh water to eliminate salts, and wet sieved across the range 2-0.062 mm to remove mud particles (<0.062 mm) and scarce granules (>2 mm). Samples with

high quantities of organic matter were etched with diluted H.O. The sand fraction was air-dried, artificially cemented with epoxy resin, and thin-sectioned. Each thin section was etched with HF and stained by immersion in Na-cobaltinitrite solution to identify feldspars (Chayes, 1952). Alizarine red-S and ferricyanide solution (Lindholm and Finkelman, 1972) were used for carbonate identification. Textures of the sediments (grain size, sorting and quartz grain roundness) were estimated on thin sections using comparative schemes. A petrographic data base was established by counting more than 300 grains in each thin section according to the Gazzi-Dickinson (G-D) method (Ingersoll et al., 1984). Data were tabulated following Zuffa (1985), which allows the ambivalent treatment of data as both the traditional and G-D method. Twenty-eight grain classes were considered and grouped into three main categories: (1) AN, ancient noncarbonates; (2) MC, modern carbonates; and (3) MN, modern non-carbonates.

Ancient non-carbonates (AN) are the most abundant grain type in the sands; this category includes quartz grains (mono- and polycrystalline types), monocrystalline K-feldspars and albites. Twinned grains of plagioclases are commonly both as fresh and variably altered to clay minerals. Micas (muscovite, biotite and chlorite) are very abundant locally. All AN grains occur as monominerals and as the crystal constituents of phaneritic rock fragments (i.e. granites, gneisses) (Table 2). Aphanitic rock fragments (L grains in Table 2) in some samples are abundant (e.g. in Navia) and consist of shale, phyllite and chert. In addition, dense minerals may occur as associations comprising tourmaline, zircon, apatite, garnet, hornblende, brookite, rutile, anatase and opaque minerals that characterize felsic plutonic sources (Pettijohn *et al.*, 1972). In some samples, these minerals are very abundant and account for 5% of the total.

Modern carbonates (MC) are represented by bioclasts, mainly of molluscs (abraded lamellibranchian shells and a few unspecified gastropods); echinoderms, foraminifera, red algae, bryozoans and ostracods also appear but in lower proportions. Commonly, shells are micritized, which makes their identification difficult. Locally, this category is highly represented in the sands and amounts to 58% of total grains. In some samples, these grains are absent.

Modern non-carbonates (MN) consist mainly of silty-clayey grains with irregular edges and large grain sizes. Concretions of Fe-oxides (alterites) are locally abundant (5%), representing clear land side supplies. These grains occur in sands related to the infill of channels from intertidal mixed flats. Glauconite was identified as trace grains (<1%) in sands from the Miño record.

Several compositional parameters and indices have been considered to describe the modal composition of sands using binary (carbonate to total grains – C/T – versus ancient non-carbonates to total grains – AN/T –, modified from Di Giulio and Valloni, 1992) and ternary diagrams (QFR, Pettijohn *et al.*, 1972; QmFLt and QmKP, Dickinson *et al.*, 1983; and QmrQmoQp, according to the criteria of Basu *et al.*, 1975). In addition, indices such as Rg/Rt (coarse crystalline rock fragments/total rock fragments), F/Qm (feldspars/monocrystalline quartz), and P/F (plagioclase/ feldspars) were calculated to clarify variations in sand composition through time.

Point-count raw data and recalculated variables are shown in Table 2.

Textures of sands

Grain sizes in the sand deposits vary according to the environment (Table 3). Aeolian and intertidal deposits show homogeneous grain-size intervals, mainly from 177 to 350 μ m. These consist of unimodal deposits, which are well or very well sorted with large populations of high quartz roundness. Bimodal sands are also present and were interpreted as the result of mixing of aeolian and intertidal sands. Grain size decreases where intertidal environments are related to mixed flats, or may increase in association with fluvial channel infill. In both cases, sorting decreases and the angular quartz grain population stabilizes (Table 3).

Fluvial deposits show wider grain size intervals; from very fine (fluvial flats or swamps areas) to very coarse sands (lag deposits in channels). These deposits are very poorly sorted and quartz roundness is low. The lack of roundness in quartz grains is, therefore, indicative of sand directly arising from the drainage basin.

Locally, embayed quartz grains were identified in fluvial channel sands in association with alterite grains, suggesting an origin related to weathering profiles formed in drainage areas (Cleary and Conolly, 1971; Johnsson, 1990).

Composition of sands

The composition of the total grain population (Figure 2) is siliciclastic (AN) with variable amounts of bioclastic carbonate grains (MC) that usually do not exceed 25% of total clasts. The ancient siliciclastic character of the sand reflects an origin related to main land supplies from the erosion of drainage areas and sea cliffs, with the scarce contribution of modern carbonate bioclasts. Hybrid sand containing more than 25% bioclasts is also observed in the Pantín, Baldaio and Navia records. Mixing between these two end-member contributors of sand is reflected in Figure 2 in the continuous plots of sands along the diagonal line of the diagram. Sand from Pto. Coruña differs from this general distribution pattern in that it shows the presence of substantial amounts of modern silty-clayey drapes (MN).

The absence of bioclasts in sand can be correlated with fluvial deposits, as occurs at the base of the successions of Pto. Coruña, Miño, Baldaio and Vivero-Puente. At the top of this last section, bioclasts are also absent. In aeolian deposits (mainly in Traba, and Pantín), the content of bioclasts is low and almost constant through the sections. Intertidal deposits (e.g. Navia, top of Pto. Coruña, and top of Baldaio sections) show more scattered bioclast contents, although these increase towards the top of the sequences (Pto. Coruña and Baldaio).

Main modal sand composition (QFR; QmFLt)

The ancient siliciclastic components (AN) of all the analysed sands of the Galician coast are quartzofeldspathic. This character is manifested in the sand plots close to the $\mathbb{Q}F$ line in the $\mathbb{Q}FR$ diagram; and even closer to the line in the $\mathbb{Q}mFLt$ diagram (Figure 3). Differences between these two diagrams reflect the presence of coarse-grained rock fragments in the sands. Quartzofeldspathic petrofacies reflect an origin related to erosion of coarse crystalline rocks (granitoid) that constitute the main lithology of the coastal cliffs and drainage basins (CIZ of the Iberian Massif).

Table 2. Results of the petrographic modal analysis

		Traba					
		TRA-9,60	TRA-8,8	TRA-6,6●	TRA-5,2	TRA-3,20	TRA-0,80
AN							
Omr	Monocrystalline non-undulose quartz	147	130	128	143	128	131
Qme	Monocrystalline undulose quartz	39	54	52	40	50	61
Qp2-3	Polycrystalline quartz (2-3 crystals)	21	15	8	17	12	7
Qp>3	Polycrystalline quartz (>3 crystals)	4	9	13	9	11	11
Qrm	Quartz in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm.						
Qrp	Quartz in plutonic rock fragment	1	3	3	5	1	4
Qrg	Quartz in gneissic rock fragment						
Qrs	Quartz in sandstone						
Ke	K-feldenar (single crystal)	31	30	43	31	37	34
Km	K-feldspar in low and medium meta	51	59	45	51	57	54
юш	grade rock fragm.						
Кгр	K-feldspar in plutonic rock fragment	2	2	5	4	1	1
Клу	K-feldspar in gneissic rock fragment						
Р							
Ps	Plagieclase (single crystal)	19	27	18	21	27	28
Prm	Plagioclase in low and medium meta.						
	grade rock fragm.						
Prp	Plagieclase in plutenic reck fragment			1	1	1	1
M							
Bi	Biotite (single crystal)	2					
Mu	Muscovite (single crystal)	2					
Mrm	Mica in law and medium meta						
Т V II Ш	grade rack fragm						
L	giano ivek nagin.						
Ch	Unspecified chert						
Sh	Shale and fillites						
Dm	Dense minerals (unspecified)						
MC							
	Melusc	13	16	23	26	18	14
	Echinoderm	4	1	3	3	10	6
	Foram.; red algae; briozoo.;						
	estraceds	22		10	1.	7	•
MN	indeterminate bioclast	22	9	12	1.	1	0
IVIIN	Silty-clay soft grains						
	glancenite						
	Fe-Oxides, alterite						
	Tatal	305	305	309	310	303	306
	MC/T	12		▲ 12	12	▲ 12	
	MC/1 AN/T	• 87		•.12	• 87	•.12	
		•.07	0.51	•.00	•	0.00	0.51
	Q F	19.9	74.0	74.2	17.1	75.0	75.5
	r D	10.9	23.7	22.5	37	23.9	22.5
		1.1	1.6	5.5	5.7	1.1	2.2
	Qm E	80.3	75.6	75.3	/9.0	75.4	22.
	Г It	19.7	24.4	24.7	21.0	24.6	2.5.0
		•.•					
	Qm r	80.3	13.0	15.5	/9.0	/5.4	17.0
	R. D	7.2	• 7	7	\$ 1	14.2	12.0
		(1.2	().((2.7	0.1	(2.7	(0.4
	Qui	07./	02.3	03.7	08.4	03.7	02.4
	Qm∎ On	11.8	11.5	104	12.1	24. 7 11.4	27.0
	≺r′ ₽_/₽+	1.6	1.5	1.44	1	1.4	1.44
	ку/кі F/Om	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	г/үш Р/F	•.23	•.32	•.35	.21	• 42	
	1/1	♥.07	4.74	₹.20	♥.37	▼.₹∠	♥.♥♪

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

BAL BAL <th></th> <th colspan="12">Baldaio</th>		Baldaio														
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-	BAL-		
Non- Que Monecrystalline monuncles quartz 74 135 151 161 97 87 95 111 76 87 85 81 28 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21<			19,6	18,25	17,2	15,1	14,2	13,●	11,4	9,6	7,5	5,4	3,7	2,●		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	AN															
Out Montersystation meta-inductive quirt 94 151 161 97 87 89 111 14 17 18 18 17 18 18 17 18 11 14 17 18 18 12 14 14 17 18 18 12 14 14 17 18 18 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 12 14 16 16 12 16 <td>Q</td> <td></td> <td>•7</td> <td>100</td> <td>1.5.1</td> <td>1.61</td> <td>•7</td> <td></td> <td>• (</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	Q		•7	100	1.5.1	1.61	•7		• (-			
One Motorspetition untofies quart (3 arystal) 46 42 31 47 28 41 16 10 12 12 23 24 28 46 28 46 47 35 40 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 35 4 2 21 15 15 18 25 31 31 31 3 3 33 33 </td <td>Qmr</td> <td>Monocrystalline non-undulose quartz</td> <td>97</td> <td>135</td> <td>151</td> <td>161</td> <td>97</td> <td>87</td> <td>96</td> <td>111</td> <td>76</td> <td>60</td> <td>79</td> <td>114</td>	Qmr	Monocrystalline non-undulose quartz	97	135	151	161	97	87	96	111	76	60	79	114		
Op/Solution Space (2) Space (2) <td>Qme</td> <td>Monocrystalline undulose quartz</td> <td>46</td> <td>42</td> <td>51</td> <td>47</td> <td>28</td> <td>28</td> <td>28</td> <td>34</td> <td>28</td> <td>30</td> <td>26</td> <td>37</td>	Qme	Monocrystalline undulose quartz	46	42	51	47	28	28	28	34	28	30	26	37		
Open Open <th< td=""><td>Qp2-3</td><td>Polycrystalline quartz (2-3 crystals)</td><td>34</td><td>18</td><td>21</td><td>10</td><td>20</td><td>11</td><td>14</td><td>17</td><td>17</td><td>10</td><td>10</td><td>12</td></th<>	Qp2-3	Polycrystalline quartz (2-3 crystals)	34	18	21	10	20	11	14	17	17	10	10	12		
Quart of refer the fingment 0 1 4 1 1 2 3 4 5 1 1 2 Qrg Quarts in plankies exch fingment 6 5 6 4 13 2 3 4 5 1 1 2 2 Qrg Quarts in plankies exch fingment 5 4 3 5 4 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 29 31 38 39 31 38 39 31 38 39 32 2 1 <	Qp>3	Polycrystalline quartz (>3 crystals)	20	15	12	12	24	10	12	17	19	20	14	10		
Opp Quartz in plutosi cock fragment 6 5 6 4 13 2 3 4 3 1 1 2 2 Opt Quartz in plutosic ock fragment 50 40 29 40 28 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 29 31 35 35 4 2 11 33 36 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	біт	guarde reck fragm	5	T			-									
Ope Omate in grading excitation and the figure at the	Om	Quartz in plutenic reck fragment	6	5	6	4	13	2	3	4	3	1	1	2		
Open Opartz in analysis See 149 29 46 47 35 40 38 29 31 38 29 Ks K-foldspar in source reack fragment 4 5 4 3 5 4 2 1 2 5 1 King K-foldspar in putcois tock fragment 4 5 4 3 5 4 2 11 1 2 1 2 5 1 Pa Plagioclase (single crystal) 34 30 24 21 23 24 21 23 24 21 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 <th1< th=""> 1 <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<>	Org	Quartz in greissic rock fragment	v	5	v	1	15	2	5		5			2		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Qrs	Quartz in sandstone														
Krm K-feldspart in low and medium meta. grade reck fragment 4 5 4 3 5 4 2 1 2 5 Krp K-feldspart in plutonic reck fragment 4 5 4 3 5 4 2 1 2 5 1 P P P Signiciase in low and medium meta. grade reck fragment 2 1 1 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 1 </td <td>K Ks</td> <td>K-feldsnar (single crystal)</td> <td>50</td> <td>49</td> <td>39</td> <td>46</td> <td>47</td> <td>35</td> <td>40</td> <td>38</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>31</td> <td>38</td> <td>2.9</td>	K Ks	K-feldsnar (single crystal)	50	49	39	46	47	35	40	38	2.9	31	38	2.9		
Krp Krg K-feldpar in platonic rock fingment Krg 4 5 4 3 5 4 2 1 2 5 1 Krg P E-feldpar in platonic rock fingment 	Km	K-feldspar in low and medium meta		15	55	10	• •	00		50	2,5	51	50			
Krig K-deterpar in plutosic rock fragment, Krig 4 5 4 3 5 4 2 1 2 5 1 Pr Plagicalase (single crystal) grade rock fragment and rock fragment methics 34 30 24 21 23 24 21 18 19 15 18 25 Pr Plagicalase in lot on and modium meta, grade rock fragment (single crystal) 34 30 24 21 23 24 21 18 19 15 18 25 Mm Muse vite (single crystal) 4 1 1 1 4 4 3 3 3 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> 1</th1<></th1<>	тош	grade rock fragm														
Krg K-feldspar in gueissic rock fragment I P Piggie clase (single crystal) 34 30 24 21 23 24 21 18 19 15 18 25 Pm Plagie clase in low and medium meta. grade rock fragment 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1	Krp	K-feldspar in plutonic rock fragment	4	5	4	3	5	4		2	1		2	5		
p. Pice To T	Krg	K-feldspar in gneissic rock fragment									-			1		
Prop Phagic classe in plutonic reck fragm. 24 29 21 23 24 21 23 24 21 18 19 15 18 25 Prop Phagic classe in plutonic reck fragm. 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> 1</th1<></th1<>	Р	1 0 0														
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ps	Plagieclase (single crystal)	34	30	24	21	23	24	21	18	19	15	18	25		
prop prop< prop prop< prop prop< prop prop< pro prop< prop< <th< td=""><td>Prm</td><td>Plagioclase in low and medium meta.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Prm	Plagioclase in low and medium meta.														
M Bit Biotite (single crystal) 4 1 1 1 4 3 9 3 2 2 1 1 Bit Mu Muscovite (single crystal) 9 1 1 2 14 3 3 3 6 3 2 1 1 Cl Chiterite (single crystal) 9 1 1 2 14 3 3 6 3 2 1 2 Mm Micai la wand mendium meta. 2 1 5 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1	Ртр	Plagioclase in plutonic rock fragment	2		1		2	1		1	1	2	1	2		
Bit Bit entre (single crystal) 4 1 1 4 3 3 3 2 2 1 1 Mu Muscovie (single crystal) 9 10 1 2 14 3 3 3 6 3 8 2 C1 Chlorite (single crystal) 9 10 1 2 14 3 3 3 6 3 8 2 C1 Chlorite (single crystal) 9 10 1 5 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 <t< td=""><td>M</td><td>с <u>г</u> с</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	M	с <u>г</u> с														
Mu Muscevire (single crystal) 9 10 1 2 14 3 3 3 6 3 8 2 Mrm Mica in lew and medium meta. 2 1 5 1 2 1 2 Mrm Mica in lew and medium meta. 2 1 5 1 2 1 2 Ch Unspecified chert 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 Dm Dense minerals (unspecified) 14 7 6 7 4 1 2 3 2 2 4 5 MC III IIII III III III III III III III III III IIII IIII IIII IIII IIII <th colspan="2" iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii<="" td=""><td>Bi</td><td>Biotite (single crystal)</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>40</td><td>3</td><td>9</td><td>3</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></th>	<td>Bi</td> <td>Biotite (single crystal)</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>40</td> <td>3</td> <td>9</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>		Bi	Biotite (single crystal)	4	1	1	1	40	3	9	3	2	2	1	1
C1 Chlorne (single crystal) I 5 I 2 Mm Mixe in lew and medium meta. 2 I 5 I 2 L I 1 2 2 I I 2 Sh Shale and fillites 3 3 4 I 1 2 2 I I Dm Dense minerals (unspecified) 14 7 6 7 4 I 2 3 2 2 4 5 MC Miss I 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 40 Echine derm I 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 40 Indeterminate bicats I 1 1 2 I 1 <t< td=""><td>Mu</td><td>Muscovite (single crystal)</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>14</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>8</td><td>2</td></t<>	Mu	Muscovite (single crystal)	9	10	1	2	14	3	3	3	6	3	8	2		
Mica ni ov and medum meta. 2 1 5 1 2 grade tock fragm. L 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 Ch Unspecified chert 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 Dm Dense minerals (unspecified) 14 7 6 7 4 1 2 3 2 2 4 5 MC 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 40 Echino derm 14 7 6 7 4 12 3 2 2 4 5 MC 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 40 Echino derm 14 7 6 7 4 12 3 2 2 2 2 2 Mot Sity-clay soft grains, glauconite 11 3 1 2 41 2 43 45 323 30 31 20	Cl	Chlorite (single crystal)														
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mrm	Mica in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm.	2	1			5					1	2			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	L															
Shale and fillities 3 3 4 1 1 2 2 1 1 Dm Dense minerals (unspecified) 14 7 6 7 4 1 2 3 2 2 4 5 MC Metusc 12 64 56 44 82 84 123 46 Ferman, red algae; 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 46 Drizzee; estraceds 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 <t< td=""><td>Ch</td><td>Unspecified chert</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Ch	Unspecified chert		1				1		1	2					
Dm Dense minerals (unspecified) 14 7 6 7 4 1 2 3 2 2 4 5 MC Mouse 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 40 Echine derm 4 22 14 7 12 18 16 3 Foram, red algae; 5 10 16 11 14 30 75 23 MN Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite 11 3 1 2 2 1 2 12 421 321 MCT 040 0.00 0.00 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.51 0.21 MCT 097 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.66 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.43 0.51 0.21 2.0 2.5 2.0 2.1 4.0 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.7	Sh	Shale and fillites	3	3			4	1	1	2	2	1	1			
MC Nolusc 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 46 Echinederm 1 1 2 14 7 12 18 16 3 Foram.;red algae; brizzee; estraceds 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 MN Sith-clay soft grains, glauconite 11 3 12 12 13 30 319 320 312 421 321 MN Sith-clay soft grains, glauconite 11 3 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 321<	Dm	Dense minerals (unspecified)	14	7	6	7	4	1	2	3	2	2	4	5		
Molusc 12 64 50 44 82 84 123 40 Echinoderm Form.,red algae; briezee.; estraceds 1 7 12 18 16 3 Indeterminate bioclast 5 10 16 11 14 30 75 23 MN Silty-clay seft grains, glauconite 11 3 1 2 2 2 2 MO/T 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 MN/T 0.07 1.00 0.59 1.00 0.54 0.66 0.74 0.86 0.57 0.49 0.71 MO/T 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.04 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.59 1.00 0.54 0.65 0.74 0.56 0.57 0.41 0.5 0.20 0.43 0.51 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.9 2.2	MC															
Echinoeerm 4 22 14 7 12 18 16 3 Foram,red algae; brieze•; estraceds 5 10 16 11 14 30 75 23 MN Sitty-clay soft grains, glauconite 11 3 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 3 MN Sitty-clay soft grains, glauconite 11 3 1 320 312 421 321 MC/T 40 0.0 <td></td> <td>Melusc</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td>64</td> <td>50</td> <td>44</td> <td>82</td> <td>84</td> <td>123</td> <td>40</td>		Melusc					12	64	50	44	82	84	123	40		
Formred algas; briozoo; straceds 2 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <th colspa="</td"><td></td><td>Echinoderm</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td><td>22</td><td>14</td><td>7</td><td>12</td><td>18</td><td>16</td><td>3</td></th>	<td></td> <td>Echinoderm</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>22</td> <td>14</td> <td>7</td> <td>12</td> <td>18</td> <td>16</td> <td>3</td>		Echinoderm					4	22	14	7	12	18	16	3	
binesees, sittacees 5 10 16 11 14 30 75 23 MN Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite Fe-Oxides, alterite 11 3 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 MN/T 345 323 320 314 352 313 309 319 320 312 421 321 321 MN/T 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 Q 66.1 69.2 76.1 75.7 62.1 68.1 69.8 73.5 72.0 70.2 66.8 73.1 F 27.4 25.9 20.4 22.0 25.7 28.1 28.4 22.9 24.4 26.9 29.0 22.0 R 6.5 5.2 3.6 2.3 12.1 4.3 1.9 4.1 5.0 2.9 4.1 4.9 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Foram.;red algae;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Foram.;red algae;								2		•				
Indeterminate bio(last 5 10 16 11 14 30 75 23 MN Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite Fe-Oxides, alterite 11 3 1 2 1 MC/T 945 323 320 314 352 313 305 319 320 312 421 321 MC/T 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.69 0.74 0.80 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.73 1.21 AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.69 0.74 0.80 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.73 2.20 7.31 7.4 7.50 7.26 7.20 7.62 7.21 7.8 7.2 7.02 6.6.8 7.1.2 7.4.7 7.30 7.1.2 68.6 7.4.7		briezee.; estraceds					1	1.	16	2	14	2	76	2		
MN Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite Fe-Oxides, alterite 11 3 1 2 1 Total 345 323 320 314 352 313 309 319 320 312 421 321 MC/T 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.69 0.74 0.80 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.79 Q 66.1 69.2 76.1 75.7 62.1 68.1 69.8 73.5 72.0 70.2 66.8 73.1 F 27.4 25.9 20.4 22.0 25.7 28.1 28.4 22.9 24.0 26.9 29.0 22.0 R 6.5 5.2 3.6 2.3 12.1 4.3 1.9 4.1 4.9 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0	MN	Indeterminate bioclast					2	1	16	11	14	3	/5	23		
Fe-Oxides, alterite Total 345 323 320 314 352 313 309 319 320 312 421 321 MC/T 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.66 0.74 0.80 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.79 Q 66.1 69.2 76.1 75.7 62.1 68.1 69.8 73.5 72.0 70.2 66.8 73.1 F 27.4 25.9 20.4 22.0 25.7 28.1 28.4 22.9 24.0 26.9 29.0 22.0 6.6 71.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 74.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 F 29.5 27.2 78.0 77.0 70.7 69.2 71.5 75.6 <t< td=""><td>MIN</td><td>Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite</td><td>11</td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></t<>	MIN	Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite	11		3					1	2		1			
Total 345 323 320 314 352 313 309 319 320 312 421 321 MC/T 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.69 0.74 0.80 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.79 Q 66.1 69.2 76.1 75.7 62.1 68.1 69.8 73.5 72.0 70.2 66.8 73.1 F 27.4 25.9 20.4 22.0 25.7 28.1 28.4 22.9 24.4 26.9 29.0 22.0 R 6.5 5.2 3.6 2.3 12.1 4.3 1.9 4.1 5.0 2.9 4.1 4.9 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 74.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 F 29.5 27.6 22.0 23.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Fe-Oxides, alterite</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Fe-Oxides, alterite														
MC/T 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.31 0.26 0.20 0.34 0.43 0.51 0.21 AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.69 0.74 0.80 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.79 Q 66.1 69.2 76.1 75.7 62.1 68.1 69.8 73.5 72.0 70.2 66.8 73.1 F 27.4 25.9 20.4 22.0 25.7 28.1 28.4 22.9 24.0 26.9 29.0 22.0 R 6.5 5.2 3.6 2.3 12.1 4.3 1.9 4.1 5.0 2.9 4.1 4.9 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 74.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 F 29.5 27.6 22.0 23.0 28.8 30.5 28.4 24.1 25.0 28.2 30.9 25.3 Lt 1.0 1.3 0.0 1.5 1.0		Total	345	323	320	314	352	313	309	319	32●	312	421	321		
AN/T 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.94 0.69 0.74 0.80 0.66 0.57 0.49 0.79 Q 66.1 69.2 76.1 75.7 62.1 68.1 69.8 73.5 72.0 70.2 66.8 73.1 F 27.4 25.9 20.4 22.0 25.7 28.1 28.4 22.9 24.0 26.9 29.0 22.0 R 6.5 5.2 3.6 2.3 12.1 4.3 1.9 4.1 5.0 2.9 4.1 4.9 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 74.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 F 29.5 27.6 22.0 23.0 28.8 30.5 28.4 24.1 25.0 28.2 30.9 25.3 Lt 1.0 1.3 0.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.2 2.0 0.6 0.5 0.0 Qm 70.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 70.7 69		MC/T	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	●.●6	0.31	• .26	●.2●	0.34	0.43	●.51	●.21		
Q 66.1 69.2 76.1 75.7 62.1 68.1 69.8 73.5 72.0 70.2 66.8 73.1 F 27.4 25.9 20.4 22.0 25.7 28.1 28.4 22.9 24.0 26.9 29.0 22.0 R 6.5 5.2 3.6 2.3 12.1 4.3 1.9 4.1 5.0 2.9 4.1 4.9 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 74.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 F 29.5 27.6 22.0 23.0 28.8 30.5 28.4 24.1 25.0 28.2 30.9 25.3 Lt 1.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.2 2.0 0.6 0.5 0.0 Qm 70.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 70.7 69.2 71.5 75.6 74.5 71.6 68.9 74.7 K 17.9 18.0 13.9 16.1 19.8 </td <td></td> <td>AN/T</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>0.99</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>0.94</td> <td>0.69</td> <td>.74</td> <td>0.80</td> <td>●.66</td> <td>0.57</td> <td>0.49</td> <td>0.79</td>		AN/T	0.97	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.94	0.69	. 74	0.80	●.66	0.57	0.49	0.79		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Q	66.1	69.2	76.1	75.7	62.1	68.1	69.8	73.5	72.0	70.2	66.8	73.1		
R 6.5 5.2 3.6 2.3 12.1 4.3 1.9 4.1 5.0 2.9 4.1 4.9 Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 74.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 F 29.5 27.6 22.0 23.0 28.8 30.5 28.4 24.1 25.0 28.2 30.9 25.3 Lt 1.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.2 2.0 0.6 0.5 0.0 Qm 70.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 70.7 69.2 71.5 75.6 74.5 71.6 68.9 74.7 K 17.9 18.0 13.9 16.1 19.8 18.8 18.7 16.5 15.3 18.3 21.1 14.3 P 11.9 10.0 8.1 6.9 9.5 12.0 9.8 7.9 10.2 10.1 10.0 11.0 Qmr 22.7 20.0 21.7 24.4 16.6		F	27.4	25.9	20.4	22.0	25.7	28.1	28.4	22.9	24.0	26.9	29.0	22.		
Qm 69.5 71.1 78.0 77.0 69.7 68.6 71.2 74.7 73.0 71.2 68.6 74.7 F 29.5 27.6 22.0 23.0 28.8 30.5 28.4 24.1 25.0 28.2 30.9 25.3 Lt 1.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.2 2.0 0.6 0.5 0.0 Qm 70.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 70.7 69.2 71.5 75.6 74.5 71.6 68.9 74.7 K 17.9 18.0 13.9 16.1 19.8 18.8 18.7 16.5 15.3 18.3 21.1 14.3 P 11.9 10.0 8.1 6.9 9.5 12.0 9.8 7.9 10.2 10.1 10.0 11.0 Qmr 47.8 64.3 64.3 70.0 57.4 61.3 64.0 62.0 53.5 50.0 61.2 63.7 Qme 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4		R	6.5	5.2	3.6	2.3	12.1	4.3	1.9	4.1	5.0	2.9	4.1	4.9		
F 29.5 27.6 22.0 23.0 28.8 30.5 28.4 24.1 25.0 28.2 30.9 25.3 Lt 1.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.2 2.0 0.6 0.5 0.0 Qm 70.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 70.7 69.2 71.5 75.6 74.5 71.6 68.9 74.7 K 17.9 18.0 13.9 16.1 19.8 18.8 18.7 16.5 15.3 18.3 21.1 14.3 P 11.9 10.0 8.1 6.9 9.5 12.0 9.8 7.9 10.2 10.1 10.0 11.0 Qmr 47.8 64.3 64.3 70.0 57.4 61.3 64.0 62.0 53.5 50.0 61.2 63.7 Qme 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4 16.6 19.7 18.7 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 <		Om	69 5	711	78.0	77 •	69 7	68.6	712	74 7	73 •	71.2	68.6	74 7		
Lt 1.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.2 2.0 0.6 0.5 0.0 Qm 70.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 70.7 69.2 71.5 75.6 74.5 71.6 68.9 74.7 K 17.9 18.0 13.9 16.1 19.8 18.8 18.7 16.5 15.3 18.3 21.1 14.3 P 11.9 10.0 8.1 6.9 9.5 12.0 9.8 7.9 10.2 10.1 10.0 11.0 Qmr 47.8 64.3 64.3 70.0 57.4 61.3 64.0 62.0 53.5 50.0 61.2 63.7 Qme 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4 16.6 19.7 18.7 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 26.8 25.0 18.6 15.6 Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 0.61		F	29.5	27.6	22.0	23.0	28.8	30.5	28.4	24.1	25.0	28.2	30.9	25.3		
Qm 70.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 70.7 69.2 71.5 75.6 74.5 71.6 68.9 74.7 K 17.9 18.0 13.9 16.1 19.8 18.8 18.7 16.5 15.3 18.3 21.1 14.3 P 11.9 10.0 8.1 6.9 9.5 12.0 9.8 7.9 10.2 10.1 10.0 11.0 Qmr 47.8 64.3 64.3 70.0 57.4 61.3 64.0 62.0 53.5 50.0 61.2 63.7 Qme 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4 16.6 19.7 18.7 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 26.8 25.0 18.6 15.6 Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 1.61 0.78 0.75 0.70 0.50 0.60 0.50 1.00 F/Qm 0.42 0.39 0.28 0.30 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Lt</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.3</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.0</td><td>0.5</td><td>1.2</td><td>2.0</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.5</td><td>0.0</td></td<>		Lt	1.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	1.2	2.0	0.6	0.5	0.0		
Qm 76.2 72.0 78.0 77.0 79.2 71.3 73.5 74.3 71.6 66.9 74.7 K 17.9 18.0 13.9 16.1 19.8 18.8 18.7 16.5 15.3 18.3 21.1 14.3 P 11.9 10.0 8.1 6.9 9.5 12.0 9.8 7.9 10.2 10.1 10.0 11.0 Qmr 47.8 64.3 64.3 70.0 57.4 61.3 64.0 62.0 53.5 50.0 61.2 63.7 Qmo 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4 16.6 19.7 18.7 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 26.8 25.0 18.6 15.6 Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 0.61 0.		0	70.2	72.	79.4	77 .	7. 7	60 2	71.5	75 6	745	716		747		
R 17.5 10.4 15.5 10.1 15.6 16.7 10.3 15.3 16.5 21.1 14.5 P 11.9 10.0 8.1 6.9 9.5 12.0 9.8 7.9 10.2 10.1 10.0 11.0 Qmr 47.8 64.3 64.3 70.0 57.4 61.3 64.0 62.0 53.5 50.0 61.2 63.7 Qmo 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4 16.6 19.7 18.7 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 26.8 25.0 18.6 15.6 Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 0.61 0.78 0.75 0.70 0.50 0.60 0.50 1.00 F/Qm 0.42 0.39 0.28 0.30 0.41 0.44 0.42 0.32 0.34 0.40 0.45 0.34		Vш К	17 •	18	13	16.1	10.2	18 8	18.7	16.5	15.2	18.2	21.1	14.7		
Qmr 47.8 64.3 64.3 70.0 57.4 61.3 64.0 62.0 53.5 50.0 61.2 63.7 Qmo 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4 16.6 19.7 18.7 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 26.8 25.0 18.6 15.6 Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 1.00 0.61 0.78 0.75 0.70 0.50 0.60 0.50 1.00 F/Qm 0.42 0.39 0.28 0.30 0.41 0.44 0.40 0.32 0.34 0.40 0.45 0.34		P	11.9	10.0	8.1	6.9	9.5	12.0	9.8	7.9	10.2	10.5	10.0	11.0		
Qme 22.7 20.0 21.7 20.4 16.6 19.7 18.7 19.0 19.7 25.0 20.2 20.7 Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 26.8 25.0 18.6 15.6 Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 1.00 0.61 0.78 0.75 0.70 0.50 0.60 0.50 1.00 F/Qm 0.42 0.39 0.28 0.30 0.41 0.44 0.42 0.32 0.34 0.40 0.45 0.44		Qmr	47.8	64.3	64.3	70.0	57.4	61.3	64.	62.	53.5	50.0	61.2	63.7		
Qp 29.6 15.7 14.0 9.6 26.0 19.0 17.3 19.0 26.8 25.0 18.6 15.6 Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 0.61 0.78 0.75 0.70 0.50 0.60 0.50 1.00 F/Qm 0.42 0.39 0.28 0.30 0.41 0.44 0.40 0.32 0.34 0.40 0.45 0.34		Qm•	22.7	20.0	21.7	20.4	16.6	19.7	18.7	19.0	19.7	25.0	20.2	20.7		
Rg/Rt 0.60 0.63 1.00 0.61 0.78 0.75 0.70 0.50 0.60 0.50 1.00 F/Qm 0.42 0.39 0.28 0.30 0.41 0.44 0.40 0.32 0.34 0.40 0.45 0.34		Qp	29.6	15.7	14.	9.6	26.	19.0	17.3	19.0	26.8	25.0	18.6	15.6		
F/Qm 0.42 0.39 0.28 0.30 0.41 0.44 0.40 0.32 0.34 0.40 0.45 0.34		Rg/Rt	6	63	1.00	1.66	61	0 78	• 75	● 7●	. 50	6	. 50	1		
		F/Om	0.42	0.39	0.28	0.30	0.41	0.44	0.40	0.32	0.34	0.40	0.45	0.34		
P/F 0.40 0.36 0.37 0.30 0.32 0.34 0.32 0.40 0.35 0.32 0.44		P/F	●.4●	0.36	0.37	●.3●	0.32	0.39	0.34	●.32	●.4●	0.35	0.32	●.44		

Table 2.	(Continued)
----------	-------------

		Puerte a	l C•ruña							
		PTC-	PTC-	PTC-	PTC-	PTC2-	PTC-	PTC-	PTC2-	PTC-
		51,45	45,1	44,3	42,60	40,8	40,40	39,00	37,8	35,80
AN										
Q		161	160	100	242	140	• (110	160	116
Qmr	Monocrystalline non-undulose quartz	21	152	24	202 62	102	90 24	115	150	21
Qш• On2-3	Polycrystalline quartz (2-3 crystals)	51	12	.04 1●	18	4	94	12	10	11
$Op \geq 3$	Polycrystalline quartz (\geq 3 crystals)	4	16	2	3	1	18	11	1	9
Orm	Quartz in 10 w and medium meta.		10	_	Ū.		10		-	-
	grade rock fragm.									
Qrp	Quartz in plutonic rock fragment		1			3	4		2	2
Qrg	Quartz in gneissic rock fragment									1
Qrs	Quartz in sandstone									
K					-		10			
KS	K-feldspar (single crystal)	14	24	22	.7	96	43	25	46	30
КЛШ	K-ieldspar in low and medium meta.									
Km	K-feldspar in plutenic rock fragment		1				3			3
Krø	K-feldspar in gneissic rock fragment					U	5			5
P										
Ps	Plagioclase (single crystal)	,	8	17	6	81	31	29	36	21
Prm	Plagioclase in low and medium meta.									
	grade rock fragm.									
Prp	Plagieclase in plutenic reck fragment									
M									-	
Bi	Biotite (single crystal)	1				23	9	4	7	
Mu	Muscovite (single crystal)					55	8	6	,	4
M	Chierite (single crystal)									
IVII III	grade rock fragm									
L	grade rock magni.									
Ch	Unspecified chert			- 1	3	2				
Sh	Shale and fillites	2	2	2			4			3
Dm	Dense minerals (unspecified)		1	1	1	4	4	5	3	
MC										
	Melusc						34	15	6	67
	Echinoderm								1	
	Foram.; red algae; briozoo.; ostracods						3	2	5	7
MN	Indeterminate bioclast						18	17	32	20
IVIIN	Silty-clay soft grains glauconite	116	4	37	1	4		32		
	Fe-Oxides alterite	18		57	- î -	3	8	11	- î -	5
	T ()	252		202	201	270	225		227	22.1
		353	308	303	304	378	335	319	327	321
	MC/1	•.••	•.••	•.••	•.••	•.••	●.10	♥.11	V.15	•.29
	AN/T	0.62	0.87	0.88	0.99	0.98	0.79	0.76	0.86	0.69
	Q	88.5	86.5	84.5	95.7	38.2	64.9	15.7	67.9	72.4
	F P	10.0	12.	14.7	4.5	57.5	3 0 .0	23.9	31.3	25.5 4.1
	ĸ	•	1.5	1.1	1.	5.2	4.5	•.•	●.0	4.1
	Qm	88.5	86.9	84.2	94.7	38.5	66.5	75.7	68.7	73.7
	F	10.6	12.4	14.7	4.3	60.8	31.8	24.3	31.3	24.9
	Lt	•.9	•./	1.1	1.•	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.4
	Qm	89.4	87.5	85.1	95.6	38.8	67.6	75.7	68.7	74.8
	K	6.5	9.4	8.4	2.3	33.9	19.3	11.1	17.6	15.4
	P	4.2	3.0	6.5	2.0	27.4	13.	13.3	13.7	9.8
	Qmr	78.2	65.8	79.4	70.9	87.9	61.1	67.3	84.3	73.9
	Qm•	16.1	22.1	15.2	21.8	7.8	21.7	19.3	9.6	13.4
	Qp	5.7	12.1	5.4	7.4	4.3	17.2	13.5	6.2	12.7
	Rg/Rt	0.00	●.5●	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.64	1.00	1.00	• .67
	F/Qm	• .12	• .14	● .17	●.●5	1.58	●.48	●.32	• .46	• .34
	P/F	0.39	0.24	●.44	●.46	• .45	●.4●	0.55	0.44	0.39

(Continued)

Table 2.	(Continued)
----------	-------------

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Miño											
AN Q mr Menecrynalline methene agarte 120 170 117 157 174 1.56 161 145 172 148 Que Menecrynalline methene agarte 21 43 15 36 23 42 49 43 52 445 Que Que conversation agarte 23 expands) 7 12 27 7 4 9 11 8 15 11 15 QP2 Devending agarte (23 expands) 7 12 27 7 4 9 11 8 15 11 15 QP0 Querter inplanes cerk fingment 1 1 5 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 QP0 Querter inplanes cerk fingment QP0 Querter inplanes cerk fingment QP0 Querter inplanes cerk fingment QP1			MIÑ- 21,85	MIÑ- 14,4	MIÑ- 12,8	MIÑ- 1●,6	MIÑ- 9,2	MIÑ- 7,1	MIÑ- 5,6	MIÑ- 4,5	MIÑ- 2,8	MIÑ- 2,●		
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Trial} Trial$	AN													
Orm Meascynalline unskulser suntz 139 117 117 117 117 117 120 121 120 121 120 121 120 121 130 13	0													
Ome Measequalities unit 2: 3 equals is provided on an entropy of the set of again and the set o	Qmr	Monocrystalline non-undulose quartz	130	179	117	157	174	136	161	145	172	148		
Qp3 Polycopialline quark (2/3 eynth) 10 5 10 11 8 15 11 13 Qp3 Polycopialline quark (2/3 eynth) 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 </td <td>Qme</td> <td>Monocrystalline undulose quartz</td> <td>21</td> <td>43</td> <td>15</td> <td>36</td> <td>23</td> <td>42</td> <td>49</td> <td>43</td> <td>52</td> <td>49</td>	Qme	Monocrystalline undulose quartz	21	43	15	36	23	42	49	43	52	49		
Open of the variation space (-) consists (-) co	Qp2-3	Polycrystalline quartz (2-3 crystals)	10	5	19	12	10	11	8	15	11	13		
Orm Quarter in your and medium meta. I 3 I 3 Orp Quarter in pulsations code fragment I 1 5 I 2 2 I I 2 I I 2 I I 2 I I 2 I I 2 I I 2 I I 1 2 2 I I 1 2 I I I 1 I <	Qp>3	Polycrystalline quartz (>3 crystals)	7	12	27	7	4	9	11	8	10	7		
Opp Outric in putation creck fingment I 1 5 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> 1</th1<></th1<>	Qrm	Quartz in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm.	1		3	1				3				
Open Open Open (Periodic Constrains and enclaim metal. gender orck fingment (Krg) 15 29 36 47 31 44 35 35 23 25 Krs K-foldspart in Journal medium meta. gender orck fingm. Krg K-foldspart in Journal medium meta. gender orck fingment 1 5 3 1	Qrp	Quartz in plutonic rock fragment	1	1	5	1	2	2	1	1	2	1		
Ore K Quarter in sandience Ka K-feldegar in (single crystal) 15 29 36 47 31 44 35 35 23 25 Kap K-feldegar in planein reck fragment 1 5 3 1 <td>Qrg</td> <td>Quartz in gneissic rock fragment</td> <td></td>	Qrg	Quartz in gneissic rock fragment												
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Qrs	Quartz in sandstone												
Ks K-foldspare (single crystal) 15 29 36 47 31 44 35 35 23 29 Krm K-foldspare in low and medium meta. gende reck fragm. 1 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 Ps Plagie clase (single crystal) 16 27 30 25 26 44 22 23 22 34 Pm Plagie clase (single crystal) 16 27 30 25 26 44 22 23 22 34 Pm Plagie clase (single crystal) 16 27 30 25 26 44 22 23 22 34 Min Micevite (single crystal) 67 17 5 3 3 2 3 Min Micevite (single crystal) 15 1 4 2 3 4 2 3 Ch Unspecified chert 3 7 3 4 4 2 3 Dm Dense minoritis (mmedium meta. gende reck fragm. 1 1 2 <td>K</td> <td></td>	K													
Krm K-felding in low and medium meta. grade reck fingment I 5 3 I I I 1 1 Krg K-felding ar in gouesnic reck fingment I 5 3 25 26 44 22 23 22 34 P Plagie-lase in lew and medium meta. grade reck fingm. 16 27 30 25 26 44 22 23 22 34 Pm Plagie-lase in lew and medium meta. grade reck fingm. 2 2 1 1 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 </td <td>Ks</td> <td>K-feldspar (single crystal)</td> <td>15</td> <td>29</td> <td>36</td> <td>47</td> <td>31</td> <td>44</td> <td>35</td> <td>35</td> <td>23</td> <td>29</td>	Ks	K-feldspar (single crystal)	15	29	36	47	31	44	35	35	23	29		
Krg. K-felégar in plutonie reck fragment I 5 3 I	Кт	K-feldspar in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm.												
Key: Key: K-feldspar in gneisier eck fragment	Krp	K-feldspar in plutonic rock fragment	1		5	3		1		1	1	1		
P Image class (single crystal) 16 27 30 25 26 44 22 23 22 34 Pm Plagioclass in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm. 7 30 25 26 44 22 23 22 34 Pp Plagioclass in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm. 2 2 1 1 1 1 M Bit flig/class in plumotic rock fragment 2 2 1 1 2 3 2 3 Cl Chingle crystal) 15 7 5 3 4 2 1 1 4 2 1 1 1 1 4 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1	Krg	K-feldspar in gneissic rock fragment												
Pro Plagic class in by waith mean. grade treek fragm. Prp Plagic class in by luenic reck fragment 2 2 1 1 1 1 Prp Plagic class in by luenic reck fragment 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 M M See	Р													
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ps Prm	Plagioclase (single crystal) Plagioclase in low and medium meta.	16	27	30	25	26	44	22	23	22	34		
Progression interview in the line (single crystal) 67 17 5 3 2 3 Mi Bio tite (single crystal) 67 17 5 3 2 3 Cl Cheirite (single crystal) 15 3 2 3 3 1 3 1 1 1 2 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 1 </td <td>Dama</td> <td>grade rock tragm.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Dama	grade rock tragm.			2	2								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	РГР	Plagieciase in plutenic reck fragment			2	2		1			1	1		
bit is bit is large crystal (mage crystal) 07 17 3 3 2 3 Mu Moresvit (single crystal) 15 3 2 3 Cl Chloric (single crystal) 15 3 2 3 Mrm Mica in low and medium meta. 1 4 2 3 Mrm Mica in low and medium meta. 1 4 2 3 Mrm Mica in low and medium meta. 1 4 2 3 4 2 3 Ch Usepecified bert 3 7 3 4 2 10 14 Mc Mc 6 1 8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 Mode 6 1 2	M	Distite (size 1 second 1)	(7		17	c			2	2		2		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	DI	Mussesuite (single crystal)	07	2	17	3			2	2		2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nu	Chlorite (single crystal)	25	2	29	4			2			5		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Chierite (single crystal)	15		4	2								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MITII	grade rock fragm.		1	4	2						1		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L		2											
Shale and fulltes 3 7 3 4 2 Dran Dense minerals (unspecified) 6 5 9 7 9 3 4 9 10 14 MC Moluse 6 1 2 <th2< th=""> 2 <th2< th=""> 2 2 2<td>Ch</td><td>Unspecified chert</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.1</td><td>2</td><td></td></th2<></th2<>	Ch	Unspecified chert	3		-	1				1.1	2			
Dense minerals (unspecified) 6 5 9 7 9 3 4 9 10 14 MC Metuse 6 1 8 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1	Sh	Shale and fillites	3	~	1	3		2		4	2			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dm	Dense minerals (unspecified)	0	2	,	/	,	3	4	•	10	14		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MC						C		2					
Image: prince of grams; red algae; briezee;; estraceds 10 3 Indeterminate bieclast 16 7 12 MN Silty-clay soft grains, glaucenite Fe-Oxides, alterite 1 0 0 Tetel 320 304 304 307 314 306 304 307 314 306 304 307 314 306 304 307 314 306 304 307 314 306 304 307 314 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306 306							0		2	0				
Indeterminate bioclast 10 3 Indeterminate bioclast 16 7 12 MN Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite Fe-Oxides, alterite 1 0 1 0 0 MN Image: Total 320 304 325 315 304 304 307 314 306 304 MO Image: Total 320 304 325 315 304 304 307 314 306 304 MN Image: Total 320 304 325 315 304 304 307 314 306 304 AN/T 1.00 1.00 1.00 559 0.52 0.95 0.92 0.95 0.92 1.00 1.00 Q R 8.85 6.59 71.7 77.9 68.3 79.8 82.2 22.2 R Qm 81.7 81.1 69.9 72.5 78.6 69.9 80.1 77.3 83.4 77.0								*	2	2				
Indeterminate bioculast Ic I Ic I Ic Ic <thic< th=""> Ic Ic Ic<td></td><td>Foram.; red algae; briozoo.; ostracods</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16</td><td>1</td><td>7</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td></thic<>		Foram.; red algae; briozoo.; ostracods					16	1	7	3				
MN Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite fe-Oxides, alterite 320 304 325 315 304 307 314 306 304 MC/T 000 000 0.00 0.00 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 <td< td=""><td>MAT</td><td>Indeterminate bioclast</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16</td><td></td><td>/</td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	MAT	Indeterminate bioclast					16		/	12				
Total 320 304 325 315 304 307 314 306 304 MC/T 0.00	IVI IN	Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite Fe-Ovides alterite				I	•		I	٠		•		
Total 320 304 325 315 304 304 307 314 306 304 MC/T 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.00 0.00 AN/T 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.99 0.92 0.96 0.96 0.92 1.00 1.00 Q 81.8 80.5 65.9 71.7 77.9 68.3 79.8 75.9 82.8 76.4 F 14.8 18.9 24.4 24.2 21.0 30.3 19.9 20.9 15.2 22.2 R 4.8 0.7 9.6 4.4 1.1 1.4 0.3 3.2 2.0 1.4 Qm 81.7 81.1 69.9 72.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 77.3 83.4 77.9 Lt 2.9 0.26 1.4 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.6 7.9 9.1 12.4 9.3 10.0 15.5 7.7 8.4 7.8 </td <td></td>														
MC/1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.08 0.04 0.03 0.05 <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>320</td> <td>304</td> <td>325</td> <td>315</td> <td>304</td> <td>304</td> <td>307</td> <td>314</td> <td>306</td> <td>304</td>		Total	320	304	325	315	304	304	307	314	306	304		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MC/T	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.0 8	0.04	0.03	80.0	0.00	0.00		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AN/T	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.92	1.00	1.00		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Q	81.8	80.5	65.9	71.7	77.9	68.3	79.8	75.9	82.8	76.4		
R4.86.79.64.41.11.40.33.22.01.4Qm 81.7 81.1 69.9 72.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 77.3 83.4 77.0 F 15.4 18.9 27.4 26.1 21.4 31.0 19.9 21.2 15.9 23.0 Lt 2.9 0.0 2.6 1.4 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.7 0.0 Qm 84.2 81.1 71.8 73.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 78.5 84.0 77.0 K 7.9 9.8 15.8 17.2 11.4 15.5 12.2 13.1 8.2 10.6 P 7.9 9.1 12.4 9.3 10.0 15.5 7.7 8.4 7.8 12.4 Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qm• 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 21.2 22.6 Qm• 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 9.23 0.39 0.36 0.27		F	14.8	18.9	24.4	24.2	21.	30.3	19.9	20.9	15.2	22.2		
Qm 81.7 81.1 69.9 72.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 77.3 83.4 77.0 F 15.4 18.9 27.4 26.1 21.4 31.0 19.9 21.2 15.9 23.0 Lt 2.9 0.0 2.6 1.4 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.7 0.0 Qm 84.2 81.1 71.8 73.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 78.5 84.0 77.0 Qm 84.2 81.1 71.8 73.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 78.5 84.0 77.0 K 7.9 9.8 15.8 17.2 11.4 15.5 12.2 13.1 8.2 10.6 P 7.1 12.4 9.3 10.0 15.5 7.7 8.4 7.8 12.4 Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qme 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4		R	4.8	• .7	9.6	4.4	1.1	1.4	0.3	3.2	2.0	1.4		
F 15.4 18.9 27.4 26.1 21.4 31.0 19.9 21.2 15.9 23.0 Lt 2.9 0.0 2.6 1.4 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.7 0.0 Qm 84.2 81.1 71.8 73.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 78.5 84.0 77.0 K 7.9 9.8 15.8 17.2 11.4 15.5 12.2 13.1 8.2 10.6 P 7.9 9.1 12.4 9.3 10.0 15.5 7.7 8.4 7.8 12.4 Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qme 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 68.7 Qme 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 68.2 Qme 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.		Om	81.7	81.1	69.9	72.5	78.6	69.0	80.1	77.3	83.4	77.		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F	15.4	18.9	27.4	26.1	21.4	31.0	19.9	21.2	15.9	23.0		
Qm 84.2 81.1 71.8 73.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 78.5 84.0 77.0 K 7.9 9.8 15.8 17.2 11.4 15.5 12.2 13.1 8.2 10.6 P 7.9 9.1 12.4 9.3 10.0 15.5 7.7 8.4 7.8 12.4 Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qme 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 0.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 0.19 0.23 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.45 0.25 0.27 0.19 0.30 P/F 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.39		Lt	2.9	0.0	2.6	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.7	0.0		
Qm 84.2 81.1 71.8 73.5 78.6 69.0 80.1 78.5 84.0 77.0 K 7.9 9.8 15.8 17.2 11.4 15.5 12.2 13.1 8.2 10.6 P 7.9 9.1 12.4 9.3 10.0 15.5 7.7 8.4 7.8 12.4 Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qme 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 0.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 0.19 0.23 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.45 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.54						5 2 5								
R 7.5 9.8 13.8 17.2 11.4 13.3 12.2 13.1 8.2 10.6 P 7.9 9.1 12.4 9.3 10.0 15.5 7.7 8.4 7.8 12.4 Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qme 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 0.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 0.19 0.23 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.45 0.25 0.27 0.19 0.30 P/F 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.54		Qm V	84.2	81.1	/1.8	13.5	/8.0	09.0	80.1	/8.5	84.0	11.0		
P 7.5 5.1 12.4 5.3 10.0 13.3 7.7 6.4 7.6 12.4 Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qmo 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 0.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 0.19 0.23 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.45 0.25 0.27 0.19 0.30 P/F 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.39 0.39 0.49 0.54		к. D	7.9	7. ∂	13.8	17.2	11.4	15.5	12.2	13.1	ð.2 7 •	10.0		
Qmr 77.4 74.9 65.7 74.1 82.5 68.7 70.3 68.7 70.2 68.2 Qme 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 0.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 0.19 0.23 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.45 0.25 0.27 0.19 0.30 P/F 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.54		r	1.9	9.1	12.4	9.5	10.0	15.5	1.1	0.4	7.0	12.4		
Qm• 12.5 18.0 8.4 17.0 10.9 21.2 21.4 20.4 21.2 22.6 Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 0.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 0.19 0.23 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.45 0.25 0.27 0.19 0.30 P/F 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.49 0.54		Qmr	77.4	74.9	65.7	74.1	82.5	68.7	70.3	68.7	70.2	68.2		
Qp 10.1 7.1 25.8 9.0 6.6 10.1 8.3 10.9 8.6 9.2 Rg/Rt 0.20 0.50 0.46 0.46 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.22 0.67 0.75 F/Qm 0.19 0.23 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.45 0.25 0.27 0.19 0.30 P/F 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.39 0.39 0.54		Qm●	12.5	18.0	8.4	17.	10.9	21.2	21.4	20.4	21.2	22.6		
Rg/Rt0.200.500.460.461.001.000.220.670.75F/Qm0.190.230.390.360.270.450.250.270.190.30P/F0.500.480.440.350.470.500.390.390.54		Qp	10.1	7.1	25.8	9.0	6.6	10.1	8.3	10.9	8.6	9.2		
F/Qm0.190.230.390.360.270.450.250.270.190.30P/F0.500.480.440.350.470.500.390.390.490.54		Rg/Rt	●.2●	●.5●	●.46	●.46	1.00	1.00	1.00	●.22	●.67	●.75		
P/F 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.39 0.49 0.54		F/Qm	0.19	• .23	0.39	0.36	0.27	0.45	0.25	0 .27	0.19	●.3●		
		P/F	●.5●	0.48	●.44	0.35	●.47	●.5●	0.39	0.39	0.49	●.54		

Table 2.	(Continued)
----------	-------------

		Panún											
		PAN-	PAN-	PAN-	PAN-	PAN-	PAN-	PAN-	PAN-	PAN-	PAN-		
		16,6	14,7	12,7	11,2	10,4	8,6	6,6	4,6	2,6	1,5		
AN													
Q	Manacrystalling nan undulase suartz	135	164	127	154	14	154	121	14.1	128	127		
Ome	Monocrystalline undulose quartz	24	31	23	44	36	4	27	40	25	37		
Op2-3	Polycrystalline quartz (2-3 crystals)	15	7	9	11	14	10	4	10	15	14		
Qp>3	Polycrystalline quartz (>3 crystals)	11	11	17	13	9	8	15	20	28	32		
Qm	Quartz in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm.	2	2						2	2	2		
Qrp	Quartz in plutonic rock fragment			2	1		1		3	2	1		
Qrg	Quartz in gneissic rock fragment												
Qrs	Quartz in sandstone												
K		16	16	1.	22	22	14	22	22	27	24		
KS L	K-ielaspar (single crystal)	16	16	19	23	22	14	22	22	31	29		
КТШ	K-ieldspar in low and medium meta.			1	1		2				1		
Km	K-feldsnar in nlutanic rack fragment								2				
Krø	K-feldspar in gneissic rock fragment								2				
P													
Ps	Plagieclase (single crystal)	10	11	18	10	14	15	15	15	16	22		
Prm	Plagioclase in low and medium meta.	1							1				
Deer	grade rock fragm.												
м	Plagioclase in plutonic rock tragment						1		1				
Bi	Biotite (single crystal)												
Mu	Muscovite (single crystal)	4	2						1		1		
C1	Chlorite (single crystal)		-										
Mrm	Mica in low and medium meta.			1	1				1	2			
	grade rock fragm.												
L													
Ch	Unspecified chert												
Sh	Shale and fillites	2	1	2				1	2	2	3		
Dm	Dense minerals (unspecified)	6	14	9	18	10	10	9	9	19	13		
MC	Mahaa	57	1.	20	14	22	1.	26	17	16	14		
	Echinederm	5	10	30	14	23	10	20	17	10	14		
	Foram · red algae: briozoo · ostracods	3	1	5		5	4	5	4				
	Indeterminate bioclast	27	24	37	13	20	27	56	22	15	11		
MN													
	Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite Fe-Oxides, alterite												
	Total	314	307	306	303	300	304	302	313	309	308		
	MC/T	0.28	0.15	0.25	0.09	0.15	0.16	0.29	0.14	0.10	0.08		
	AN/T	• 72		• 75		. 85	. 84	• 71	. 86		?		
	0	85.6	87.3	80.4	86.0	85.2	86.5	81.5	81.2	76.3	78.1		
	F	12.0	11.1	16.9	12.8	14.8	11.8	18.0	14.2	20.6	19.0		
	R	2.3	1.6	2.7	1.2	0.0	1.6	0.5	4.6	3.1	3.0		
	Om	86.6	88.1	817	86.8	85.2	86 9	81.5	834	784	79 2		
	F	12.5	11.5	17.4	13.2	14.8	13.1	18.0	15.8	20.8	19.7		
	Lt	0.9	●.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.8	1.1		
	Om	87.4	88.5	82.4	86.8	85.2	86.9	81.9	84.0	79.1	80.1		
	K K	7.5	7.0	9.3	9.3	9.0	6.5	10.8	9.3	14.6	11.7		
	Р	5.1	4.5	8.3	3.9	5.7	6.5	7.4	6.6	6.3	8.3		
	Qmr	73.0	77.0	72.2	69.4	71.6	72.6	72.5	66.8	65.3	60.5		
	Qm●	13.0	14.6	13.1	19.8	17.3	18.9	16.2	19.0	12.8	17.6		
	Qp	14.1	8.5	14.8	10.8	11.1	8.5	11.4	14.2	21.9	21.9		
	Rg/Rt	●.2●	0.25	0.33	0.33	<u>~</u>	0.50	0.00	●.5●	0.25	0.25		
	F/Qm	• .14	• .13	• .21	• .15	• .17	0.15	• .22	0.19	0.27	0.25		
	P/F	● .41	0.39	• .47	0.29	0.39	●.5●	• .41	• .41	0.30	• .42		

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

		Vivere - Pte.					Vivere - Ind.						Navia		
		E2-9- 23,5	E2-5- 12,75	E2-4- 10,5	E2- 2-6	E2- 1-4	VIV- I-3,6	VIV- I-2,85	VIV- I-2,75	VIV- I-2,45	VIV- I-1,5	NAV- 19,2	NAV- 17,6	NAV- 16,8	
AN															
Q															
Qmr	Monocrystalline non-undulose quartz	108	134	116	110	146	132	135	132	130	153	79	150	39	
Qm•	Monocrystalline undulose quartz	18	31	1•	37	23	21	22	19	24	38	43	43	23	
Qp2-3	Polycrystalline euartz (2-3 crystals)	8	13	10	16	13	1•	8	12	12	13	24	23	12	
Qp>3	Polycrystalline euartz (>3 crystals)	5	7	11	7	7	16	8	13	6	6	22	18	19	
Qrm	Quartz in low and medium meta, grade rock fragm.	2	2		1		1		1			6	6	3	
Qrp	Quartz in plutonic rock fragment	7	2	5	5	2	6	6	6	5	5				
Qrg	Quartz in gneissic														
Qrs K	Quartz in sandstone													4	
Ks Krm	K-feldspar (single crystal) K-feldspar in low and medium meta. grade	74	43	61	64	81	56	59	51	57	42	8 2	12	6	
Ктр	rock fragm. K-feldspar in plutonic rock fragment	2	4	6	2	4	3	2	4	7	2		ī		
Клу	K-feldspar in gneissic rock fragment														
Р															
Ps Prm	Plagieclase (single crystal) Plagieclase in lew and medium meta. grade	29	28	17	39	23	17	19	24	19	18	4	4	5	
Prp	Plagieclase in plutenic reck fragment	2	2	1	1	1	3	2	4	3			1		
Μ															
Bi	Biotite (single crystal)	38	1	8	20	1	10	10	12	7	9				
Mu Cl	Muscevite (single crystal) Chlerite (single crystal)	18	3	13	13	5	14	14	19	5	6	8	6	4	
Mrm	Mica in low and medium meta. grade rock fragm.	11		2	2		1	3	3	1	3	1	3		
L	Unanasified shart														
Sh	Shale and fillites	1.	2	3	11		3	3	4	4	5	30	47	20	
Dm	Dense minerals (unspecified)	17	9	11	7	5	1	5	7	4	3	5	8	2	
MC															
	Melusc	1	16	30			14	17	10	18	6	16		58	
	Echinoderm Foram.; red algae;		10	7 2			6 2	4	1 3	2	1	10 43		12 46	
	Indeterminate bioclast	1	17	24		1	10	18	26	26	15	21		76	
MN															
	Silty-clay soft grains, glauconite Fe-Oxides, alterite		1						1	1		1	3		
	Total	351	325	337	335	313	326	337	351	332	325	323	325	329	
	MC/T AN/T	•.•1 •.99	●.13 ●.86	●.19 ●.81	0.00 1.00	0.00 1.00	0.10 0.90	.12.88	•.11 •.89	.14.86	0.07 0.93	●.28 ●.72	0.00 0.99	●.58 ●.42	
	Q	50.4	69.0	60.7	57.6	62.8	66.5	64.8	64.5	64.2	73.7	76.7	76.	71.	
	F	37.3	26.5	32.2	34.9	34.6	27.1	29.2	27.5	28.4	21.1	5.5	5.2	8.4	
	R	12.3	4.5	7.0	7.5	2.7	6.3	6.0	8.1	7.5	5.3	17.8	18.8	20.6	
	Qm	55.8	70.5	63.3	60.1	63.5	69.4	67.8	67.8	66.3	76.2	79.8	78.7	76.3	
	F L+	40.4	28.7	35.4	36.2	36.2	29.5	31.1	30.7	32.2	22.	6.4	5.9	8.4	
	ьt	3.8	•./	1.5	5.0	♥.3	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.8	13.8	15.4	13.5	

	Vivere	Viver• - Pte.								Navia			
	E2-9- 23,5	E2-5- 12,75	E2-4- 1€,5	E2- 2-6	E2- 1-4	VIV- I-3,6	VIV- I-2,85	VIV- I-2,75	VIV- I-2,45	VIV- I-1,5	NAV- 19,2	NAV- 17,6	NAV- 16,8
Qm K P	58.0 29.8 12.2	71.1 17.7 11.3	64.1 28.3 7.6	62.4 23.4 14.2	63.7 28.3 8.0	70.2 22.3 7.5	68.6 23.4 8.0	68.8 20.7 10.5	67.3 24.3 8.4	77.6 15.9 6.5	9 2.6 5.3 2.1	93.0 5.0 1.9	90 .1 5.4 4.5
Qmr Qm∙ Qp	77.7 12.9 9.4	72.4 16.8 10.8	78.9 6.8 14.3	64.7 21.8 13.5	77.2 12.2 10.6	73.7 11.7 14.5	78.0 12.7 9.2	75.0 10.8 14.2	75.6 14.0 10.5	72.9 18.1 9.0	47. • 25.6 27.4	64.1 18.4 17.5	41.9 24.7 33.3
Rg/Rt F/Qm P/F	.32.72.29	.67.41.39	●.71●.56●.21	.36.60.38	.88.57.22	.71.42.25	.63.46.26	.64.45.34	.75.49.26	●.47 ●.29 ●.29	<	3828	<l< td=""></l<>

The main composition of sands in the Navia Holocene record differs drastically from that of the Galician coast. These sands constitute a quartzolithic petrofacies with greater contents of rock fragments (18–20% R) than feldspars (5–8%). This composition also reflects the sedimentary and low-grade metamorphic nature of their source areas (WALZ of the Iberian Massif). No differences exist in the Navia QFR and QmFLt diagrams, suggesting that rock fragments in these sands are shales and other fine-grained lithic components.

Sand from the Galician Holocene record shows a homogeneous distribution of their composition in the QFR and QmFLt diagrams (Figure 3). However, it is also clear that the composition of sand from each location constitutes an individual cluster related to characteristics of the given source area. Sands from Vivero (Puente and Indiano) show the largest amount of feldspars, and are associated with abundant granitoids in their sources (Table 1). In contrast, sands from Pantín are the most quartzose and are related to the lowest occurrence of granitoids in their sources (Table 1). In other locations (Pto. Coruña and Miño), feldspar contents vary through the stratigraphic record. These variations could be associated with mixing processes between fluvial sands and sands of a marine origin.

In spite of the quartzofeldspathic character of the Galician Holocene sands, fine-grained lithic fragments (shales and phyllites) are also present. These components appear in low percentages (<4%) at the sites where these sedimentary and low-grade metamorphic rocks are abundantly represented in their sources, such as at Vivero, Pto. Coruña and Miño. This under-representation of such sources in sands is due to the great potential of granitoids to produce sand (Sand Generation Index of Palomares and Arribas, 1993), intensely diluting supplies from metasedimentary lithologies.

Quartzofeldspathic composition of Holocene Galician sands is consistent with the composition of other sands of the western coast of the Iberian Peninsula (Marsaglia *et al.*, 1996, 2007; Le Pera and Arribas, 2005).

Feldspar composition (QmKP)

The feldspar grain population is dominated by K-feldspars (P/F 0.5 to 0.21, Table 2). Monocrystalline untwinned albite grains are also present and occur in association with twinned plagioclase grains. Samples with higher contents of plagioclase grains are mainly those from Miño, Pto. Coruña and Pantín (P/F>0.4), where

amphibolites are the source rocks. Samples from Vivero (Puente and Indiano sites) have greater K-feldspar contents (mean P/ F<0.3). Petrographic relations between K and P grains could be correlated with the lithology and characteristics of granitoids and related metamorphic rocks as the sources of sand (sea cliffs and drainage basins). Analyses performed on Galician granitic rocks (Cuesta, 1991) indicate a mean modal composition close to $\mathbf{Q}_{36}\mathbf{K}_{40}\mathbf{P}_{30}$. Thus, the P/F ratios obtained in Holocene sand samples are in line with the mean P/F ratio for granites. Local fluctuations in this ratio in sands can be explained by additional supplies from other mafic lithologies (e.g. amphibolites) or by maturation processes during transport.

The Holocene Galician sands mainly plot above the 65% \P m line in the \P mKP ternary diagram (Figure 4a). This suggests their high compositional maturity, compared with the modal composition of Galician granitoids or other pure plutoniclastic sands (figure 10 in Le Pera *et al.*, 2001). In addition, plots for Holocene sands from each locality exhibit a line distribution with near constant P/F values, while \P m contents increase (Figure 4a). These plots suggest no significant processes of differential loss between the two types of feldspar, as both disappear simultaneously during quartz enrichment, and thus during sand maturation. This sort of process can be related to mechanical abrasion in coastal environments (e.g. Le Pera and Arribas, 2005; Fillali *et al.*, 2005).

Rock fragments

Rock fragments occur in low proportion because of the dominance of monomineral clasts from plutonites. They include coarse crystalline rock fragments and some fine-grained metamorphic rocks.

The relative proportions of coarse crystalline rock fragments (Rg) versus the total population of rock fragments (Rt=Rg+Rm) in sands from all the sites are provided in Figure 5. Sands from Traba and Navia show the two extremes of the distribution of total sands, suggesting the monolithologic nature of their sources. At the Traba site, the lithic population is entirely composed of coarse-grained rock fragments, while fine-grained rocks predominate at the Navia site. The nature of the lithic population of each location varies with shifts to the right or left area of the diagram. Locations with consistent coarse-grained lithologies (granitoids) in their sources (Vivero and Baldaio) plot in the area to the right. Sands from locations in which fine-grained rocks predominate (Pantín), plot to the left. Sands from Miño and Pto.

Table 3. Sedimentary environments, ages and sand textures (grain size, sorting and quartz roundness) inferred from the Holocene records examined

				Grain size (Hm)		
	Depth	Envirenment		89 88 195 177 950 350 500 710 1000	Sorting	Quartz Ro
Traha	0.8			GE 00 120 117 200 000 110 1000	v well	High
naba	32				v wali	High
	6.2	Acolian sands Dunes			in wolf	High
	6.0	Acenarisanes. Paros			a mol	High
	0.0		22		v. wee	1 March
	0.0	Packhamior deamins	5000 cel 120		Y. Well	righ
	0.6	Databairasi swamps	5000 cai BP		. v. weit	High
Nasia	16.8		6500 cal BP		Moderate	Moderate
	17.6	Intertidal sands and channels			poor	Moderate
	19.21		7590 cal BP		Moderate	Moderale
Viv-Ind	1.5		-		well	Mederate
	2.45				well	Mederate
	2.75	Intertidal sands			well	Mederate
	2.85				well	Mederate
	3.6	3) 			well	Moderals
Vir-Pue	4	Intertidal bars and channels	Today		Moderale	Mederate
	6				V Deer	Mederate
	10.5	Intertidal sandy flats			well	Mederate
	12.75	inter a an our ay hate			Moderale	Mederate
	23.5	Marshes	9700 cal BP		Bimodal	Moderale
Dablain		60 (64) 23 (64) (13) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14)	1900 col 00		Mederale	-inst-
Datatio	0.7		5000 (2) cal HP		Moderate	Lligh
	2.1		nouo (r) carbr		Moderale	High
	0.4	to be all data and the second strength of the	5000 - 4000 cal BP		Mederate	High
	1.5	intertidal sands and channels	The second states	4	Moderate	High
	9.6		7500 cal BP	-	Moderate	High
	11.4		10000 - 7500 cal		Mederate	High
	13				well	High
	14.2		30000 BP		v.p●●r	Mederate
	10.1		C. C		p●●r	High
	17.2	Fluvial channels (?)	Plaistoann (cold)		p●●r	mixed
	18.25				p●●r	mixed
	19.6		36000 BP		poor	mixed
Panlin	1.5				v. weli	High
	2.0				well	High
	4.6				well	High
	6.6				well	High
	8.6	A section seconds Boundary			well	High
	10.4	Aconan sands. Dunes			v. well	High
	11.2				v. well	High
	12.7				well	High
	14.7				v. well	High
	16.6				well	High
		Backbarrier swamp	8500 - 6700 cal BP			
Miñ●	2		100000		v. well	Moderate
	2.8		3000 cal BP		v. well	High
	4.5				well	Moderate
	5.6	Intertidal sands	5000 cal BP		well	Mederate
	7.1	Back-barrier mixed flats			Mederate	Mederate
	92				Mederate	Moderate
	10.6		or sources and		p●●r	Lew
	12.8		8500 cal BP		v. poor	Very Lew
	14.4	Fluvial channels	35000 BP	A second s	v. well	Lew
	21.85	Swamp – fluvial flats	43000 BP		poor	Low
Puerte	35.8		9500 cal BP		poor	VervLow
	37 8				poor	Low
	389	Intertidal mixed flats			v poor	Verv I ev
	40.4		2		V Deer	Verv Lev
	40.8		11000 cal BP		Deer	Verv L ew
	42.6	Channels	12000 cal BPY. Dryas		V. poor	Verv Lew
	44.3				v. poor	Very Low
	45.1	Διιάνιαι	Pleistocene		V. poor	Very Lew
	10.4 Arr. 1	Make a Responsed and the sharehouse of the			and the second second	the second se



Figure 2. MC/T versus AN/T binary diagram (modified from Di Giulio and Valloni, 1992) showing the composition of the Holocene sands examined

Coruña show highly variable lithic nature, with fluvial sands showing the greatest abundance of fine-grained rock fragments (Figure 5 and Table 2).

Quartz grain typologies

Monocrystalline is the main quartz grain typology of the analysed sands (Figure 6), with a predominance of the non-undulose variety (Qmr). Sands from Vivero, Pantín, Miño and Pto. Coruña show the highest contents of this quartz grain type. In contrast, sands from Traba have greater proportions of the undulose variety (Qmo). The predominance of monocrystalline typologies can be related to their origin, associated with coarse-grained plutonites, mainly granitoids (Basu *et al.*, 1975; Tortosa, 1988; Tortosa *et al.*, 1991; Heins, 1993). Differences between undulose and non-undulose types may suggest differences in deformation of the original source rock (e.g. Tortosa *et al.*, 1991). In addition, the population of monocrystalline nonundulose quartz grains may be increased by supplies from sedimentary sources (Blatt *et al.*, 1980; Arribas and Tortosa, 2003).

Polycrystalline quartz grain typologies are also present. Sands from the Navia, Baldaio and Pantín records exhibit the highest amounts of polycrystalline quartz grains (Figure 6), but with percentages of 15 to 35% of total quartz. The presence of these types is related to fine-grained metamorphic sources (Basu et al., 1975; Tortosa et al., 1991). The great drainage basin of Navia is mainly composed of sedimentary and metasedimentary rocks from the Western Asturian Leonese Zone of the Iberian Massif, and thus, the proportion of quartz types in sands is consistent with the composition of their sources (Table 1). The relatively high contents of polycrystalline types in the Baldaio and Pantín sands could be related to the presence of schists and paragneiss in their near sources (Bastida et al., 1984; González Lodeiro et al., 1984). In other localities, the presence of fine-grained metamorphic rocks does not lead to large quantities of polycrystalline quartz types in their derived sands (e.g. Vivero), suggesting dilution of these supplies by plutoniclastic sands derived from granitoids in the same



Figure 3. (A) Holecene sand compositions represented in a QFR diagram (Pettijohn *et al.*, 1972). Polygons in the inset diagram provide the means and standard deviations obtained for samples grouped by deposition site. (B) Holecene sand compositions represented in a QmFLt diagram (Dickinson *et al.*, 1983)

source, or alternatively, their downstream mechanical destruction during transport (e.g. Cameron and Blatt, 1971; Mack, 1978).

Discussion

Environments, sand textures and composition of modern clasts

As mentioned in the above sections, the textures of Holocene sands are highly dependent on several mechanical processes acting on specific environments. Hydrodynamic and aeolian sorting produces narrow grain-size intervals in intertidal and dune environments (mainly 177 to $350 \ \mu m$), generating well- and very well-sorted grain populations, respectively. Intense mechanical abrasion in dunes leads to high quartz roundness; in intertidal deposits, quartz roundness is moderate. An intense contrast exists with fluviatile sand deposits (channel fills and marshes). These last populations show wider grain-size intervals with common coarser clasts and poorly sorted deposits with scarcely rounded quartz grains. Locally, the presence of embayed quartz grains reveals the development of soil profiles in fluvial drainage basins (Cleary and Conolly, 1971).



Figure 4. (a) Holocene sand compositions represented in a QmKP diagram (Dickinson *et al.*, 1983). Also plotted are mean Galician granitoid compositions obtained from data in Cuesta (1991). (b) Similar diagram to (a) in which fluvial sands are discriminated from coastal sands

In addition, the presence of several modern non-carbonate grain types (MN), such as alterites, Fe-oxides and silty-clayey soft grains, characterizes fluviatile deposits and highlights the landderived nature of these sands. In contrast, the occurrence of modern carbonate grains (MC) is correlated with sands from marine sources. In intertidal deposits, the amount of MC fluctuates (e.g. Baldaio, Pto. Coruña), but in aeolian sands, this amount is nearly constant through the Holocene record (e.g. Traba, Pantín) (see Figure 7). This could be due to a homogenization process of aeolian deposits due to reworking and incorporation of intertidal sands in the aeolian environment (e.g. Cann *et al.*, 1999; Clemmensen *et al.*, 2001; Pease and Tchakerian, 2002). However, at some sites (Pto. Coruña and Baldaio), MC grains increase toward the top of the Holocene sequence, suggesting the progressive influence of marine supplies.

Source lithology and sand composition

The main modal composition of Galician Holocene sands reflects a homogeneous mature quartzofeldspathic petrofacies contrasting with the quartzolithic petrofacies of the Navia sands. In both cases, the main control on sand composition is the source lithology: dominance of coarse granitoids from the GTMZ and gneisses from the CIZ for the Galician sands, and dominance of metasedimentary rocks from the Asturian Leonese Zone for the Navia sands (Figure 3 and Table 1).

Despite the homogeneous composition of the Galician sands, differences among individual locations exist. These differences reflect variations in local source rock. Aeolian sands and those generated in areas with small drainage basins show more restricted clusters (Traba, Pantín and Baldaio), while locations with more developed drainage basins display more variable sand composition (Pto. Coruña, Miño and Vivero). These features are confirmed in the **Q**FR, **Q**mFLt, **Q**mKP and **R**g/Rt diagrams (Figures 3, 4 and 5), and suggest processes such as mixing and maturation during transport acting mainly on the larger fluvial drainage basins. An exception is the great drainage basin of Navia, which gives rise to sands of similar composition. This finding can be attributed to the monotonous lithological nature of the Navia drainage basin (metasedimentary rocks), which is unable to produce consistent variations in the composition of its sandy products by maturation during transport.

It is also clear that the presence of coarse-grained source rocks generates large quantities of monomineral grains (quartz and feldspar), diluting supplies from other parent rocks of lower SGI such as schists or metasediments (Palomares and Arribas, 1993). The



Figure 5. Rg/Rt ratios (coarse grained rock fragments / total rock fragments) determined in the Holocene sands grouped by deposition site



Figure 6. Distribution of quartz typologies in the Holocene sands (\P mr, monocrystalline quartz; \P mo, monocrystalline non-undulatory quartz; \P p, pollycrystalline quartz). Polygons in the inset diagram show the means and standard deviations of samples grouped by deposition site

identification of these under-represented source rocks in sands is difficult, the Rg/Rt diagram being a very useful tool for this purpose. In addition, quartz typologies may help discriminate deformed (e.g. Traba) from non-deformed (i.e. Pantín) granitoids at the sources (Qmr versus Qmo types), and to identify supplies from fine-grained metamorphic rocks (i.e. Navia, Baldaio) with greater Qp/Qm values.

Evolving sand composition: fluvial versus marine supplies

Changes in sand composition produced during Holocene deposition can be analysed through variations in petrographic indices such as carbonate clasts to total clasts, MC/T; feldspars to monocrystalline quartz, F/Qm; and plagioclase to total feldspars, P/F (Figure 7). Most significant variations in composition are reflected by the MC/T index, which is related to changes in the sedimentary environment.

Aeolian sands (Traba and Pantín) show trends of near constant values in their F/Qm: 0.3 and 0.2, respectively. These constant records are consistent with the homogenization process that affects aeolian sands, discussed above. A more variable trend in F/ Qm was recorded for Baldaio, with values close to 0.4. Fluvial and intertidal sands at this location show good fit with the MC/T index, suggesting the better preservation of feldspars in sands with greater marine supplies. Maxima of both indices have been recorded at depths of 3.7 m (5000 BP) and 13 m (10 000 BP) and could be related to important transgressive events. In other sites, F/Qm values are highly variable, as occurs in the Pto. Coruña and Miño records. In these places, a rougher fitting to MC/T exists, and fluvial sands are those showing greater quartz enrichment. Among the trends shown by Vivero and Navia, we find that in few samples did petrographic indices show high variation; thus, no consistent pattern can be inferred for these localities.

Trends in P/F exhibit similar variation, mainly from 0.3 to 0.5. Navia and Vivero are the sites showing more enrichment in K-feldspar sands (P/F<0.4), while the Miño sands and sands from the upper part of Pto. Coruña section include the highest P/F (>0.4). These data are related to the source lithology and the absence (i.e. Vivero) or presence (i.e. Miño, Pto. Coruña) of mafic rocks (i.e. amphibolites) accompanying the main granitic sources. These basic sources provide plagioclase-enriched sands, with higher P/F. Many sands with maximum P/F in their local trend concur with maximum F/Qm values, suggesting good conditions for feldspar preservation, even for the more unstable feldspars such as plagioclase. These sands occur at depths of 13 m in Baldaie; 7.1 m in Miñe; and 12.7 m in Pantín, and might be correlated with transgressive events.

It may be concluded that sands from each location show an individual composition that may change over time but is always within a given range. This suggests that long-distance transport of marine sand can be disregarded. Thus, the irregular Galician coast produces short-distance transport from western areas and deposition on sediment-trap sites.

Weathering of land sources and provenance of supplies

The presence of embayed quartz and alterite grains suggests weathering processes acting on drainage basins. In addition, the most mature sands represent fluvial supplies at the Pto. Coruña and Miño localities, and significant changes in composition occur where intertidal deposits occur. As a whole, fluvial sands are more mature in their QmKP composition than intertidal/aeolian sands (Figure 4b), with greater Qm contents (more than 70%) and lower values of P (less than 12%). This means that marine deposits cannot be considered simply as products reworked from fluvial deposits. Thus, there must be substantial differences in the origin of sands between fluvial and marine deposits.

Nesbitt *et al.* (1997) demonstrated that weathering processes in soils produce severe reduction in plagioclase sand grains.



Figure 7. Variations in MC/T (modern carbonates/total clasts), F/Qm (feldspar/monocrystalline quartz), and P/F (plagioclase/total feldspars) ratios observed for sands from the different deposition sites throughout the Holecene sedimentary record

This reduction leads to shifts in the K- $\mathbb{Q}m$ line in the $\mathbb{Q}mKP$ diagram, while $\mathbb{Q}m$ increases. This has been observed by several authors in fluvial plutoniclastic sands (e.g. Le Pera *et al.*, 2001; Le Pera and Arribas, 2005) highlighting that the origin of fluvial sands is mainly controlled by supplies from soils developed in their drainage areas (Suttner *et al.*, 1981). These assertions concur with the high proportions of quartz grains and lesser amounts of plagioclase that fluvial sands exhibit. Taboada and García (1999) have documented the decay of plagioclase in granites by weathering in the humid climate of Galicia. In addition, coastal sands (aeolian and intertidal) show similar P/F to the mean Galician granite composition (Figure 4a), suggesting that mechanical abrasion could be the main factor responsible for the simultaneous loss of both feldspars.

These data suggest that the main origin of Galician Holocene sands is not fluvial supplies from their drainage basins, but rather supplies from cliff erosion in coastal and head deposits near the final depositional site. Sand supplies from cliff erosion may explain the fresh mineral appearance and maturity in composition due to abrasion in the absence of chemical weathering decay of feldspars in coastal sands. In addition, fluvial supplies would be extremely diluted by marine-generated sands, occluding estuarine mouths and coastal wetlands.

Conclusions

(1) The textures of Holocene sands from seven localities on the Galician and western Asturian coast mainly reflect depositional environment. Fluvial sands are texturally immature (poorly sorted and with low quartz grain roundness), while intertidal and aeolian sands exhibit mature textures (well to very well sorted and with high quartz-grain roundness). In addition, differences in composition emerge between fluvial environments and intertidal plus aeolian deposits. Modern carbonates (bioclasts) characterize marine deposits, and embayed quartz, alterites and modern silty clayey clasts are more conspicuous in fluvial sands.

(2) The ancient non-carbonate grain composition of the Galician Holocene sands indicates quartzofeldspathic petrofacies as a product of the erosion of granitoids and gneisses from the GTMZ and CIZ of the Iberian Massif. However, differences in composition between individual localities exist, reflecting variations in local source rocks. On the Asturian coast (Navia), a quartzolithic petrofacies developed through the erosion of metasediments from the Western Asturian Leonese Zone of the Iberian Massif.

(3) Coarse-grained source rocks generate great quantities of monomineral grains (quartz and feldspars) that strongly dilute sandy supplies from other parent rocks of lower SGI. This conclusion is supported if we compare sand composition and area distributions of the lithologies at their sources.

(4) Petrographic indices such as MC/T, F/Qm and P/F are useful for analysing changes in sand composition produced during Holocene deposition. MC/T values increase because of the increased marine influence during Holocene transgression. In contrast, aeolian sands show near constant F/Qm values because of the homogenization process of reworking intertidal sands. However, fluvial and intertidal sands show a good fit of F/Qm and MC/T indices, suggesting episodes of better preservation of feldspars with greater marine supplies. In addition, plagioclase is the better-preserved feldspar in marine sands. These episodes can be associated with stages of faster sea-level rises and subsequent erosion of surrounding cliffs. In contrast, fluvial sands mainly show greater quartz enrichment.

(5) The near constant P/F in marine Holocene sands with variable quartz contents suggests that mechanical abrasion is the main factor controlling the maturity of these sands. As a consequence, the provenance of beach, intertidal and aeolian sands must be related to the erosion of coastal cliff and head deposits, with the scarce contribution of fluvial drainage supplies.

(6) Sand composition reflects local composition of its sources. Accordingly, we can rule out the long-distance transport of Galician coastal sands and infer that the rugged Galician coast produces local erosion, short transport of sediments from the west, and deposition on sediment-trap zones.

(7) Collectively our data support the idea that the origin of Holocene sands from the northwest coast of the Iberian Peninsula cannot be fluvial supplies from further inland, but rather that these sands were generated by coastal erosion of cliff and head deposits close to the final depositional site. As the Holocene transgression ensued, these deposits closed coastal wetlands and occluded estuarine mouths.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Dawson, S. Critelli and R. Ingersoll for their comments and revision of the manuscript. This research was funded by project CGL2004-0048BTE awarded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology and is also a contribution to IGCP Project No. 495. Quaternary Land-Ocean interactions: Driving Mechanisms and Coastal Responses.

References

- Alonso, A. and Pagés, J.L. 2000: El registro sedimentario del final del Cuaternario en el litoral noroeste de la Península Ibérica.
 Márgenes Cantábrico y Atlántico. Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España 13, 17-29.
- Alonso, A., Pagés, J.L., López García, M.J. and Cearreta, A. 2003: Cronoestratigrafía de la transgresiónholocena en el Golfo Ártabro (La Coruña, NO de España). In Flor, G., editor, Actas de la XI

Reunión Nacional del Cuaternario. Universidad de Cantabria, 33-38.

- Anderson, N.J. 1995: Using the past to predict the future: lake sediments and the modelling of limnological disturbance. *Ecological Modelling* 78, 149-72.
- Arenas, R., Gil Ibarguchi, J.L., González Lodeiro, F., Klein, E., Marúnez Catalán, J.R., Ortega Girones, E., Pablo Maciá, J.G. and Peinado, M. 1986: Tectonostratigraphic units in the complexes with mafic and related Rocks of the NW of the Iberian Massif. *Hercynica* II, 87-110.
- Arribas, J. and Tortosa, A. 2003: Detrital modes in sedimentoclastic sands from low-order streams in the Iberian Range, Spain: the potential for sand generation by different sedimentary rocks. Sedimentary Geology 159, 275-303.
- Bao, R., Alonso, A., Delgado, C. and Pagés, J.L. 2007: Identification of the main driving mechanisms in the evolution of a small coastal wetland (Traba, Galicia, NW Spain) since its origin 5,700 cal yrBP. *Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology* 247, 296-312.
- Bastida, F., Marcos, A., Marquínez, J., Pérez-Estaún, A. and Pulgar, J.A. 1984: Mapa Geológico de España E. 1:200.000. Hoja 1 La Coruña. Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, 155 pp.
- Basu, A., Young, S.W., Suttner, L.J., James, C.W. and Mack, G.H. 1975: Re-evaluation of the use of undulatory extinction and polycrystallinity in detrital quartz provenance interpretation. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 45, 873-82.
- Birks, H.H. 2003: The importance of plant macrofossils in the reconstruction of Lateglacial vegetation and climate: examples from Scotland, western Norway, and Minnesota, USA. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22, 453-73.
- Blatt, H., Middleton, G.V. and Murray, R.C. 1980: Origin of sedimentary rocks. Prentice-Hall, 634 pp.
- Cameron, K.L. and Blatt, H. 1971: Durabilities of sand size schist and 'volcanic' rock fragments during fluvial transport, Elk Creek, Black Hills, South Dakota. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 41, 565-76.
- Cann, J.H., Murray-Wallance, C.V., Belpeiro, A.P. and Brenchley, A.J. 1999: Evolution of Holocene coastal environments near Robe, southeastern South Australia. *Quaternary International* 56, 81–97.
- Castillo Rodríguez, F., Martínez Cortizas, A. and Blanco Chao, R. 2006: clima de Galicia. In Naranjo, L. and Pérez Muñuzuri, V., coordinators, A variabilidade natural do clima en Galicia. Consellería de Medio Ambiente e Desenvolvemento Sostible, Xunta de Galicia, Fundación CaixaGalicia, 17-92.
- Chayes, F. 1952: Notes on the staining of potash feldspar with sodium cobaltonitrite in thin section. *American Mineralogist* 37, 337-40.
- Cleary, W.J. and Connolly, J.R. 1971: Distribution and genesis of quartz in a piedmont-coastal plain environment. Geological Society of America Bulletin 82, 2755-66.
- Clemmensen, L.B., Pye, K., Murray, A. and Heinemeier, J. 2001: Sedimentology, stratigraphy and landscape evolution of a Holocene coastal dune system, Lodbjerg, NW Jutland, Denmark. Sedimentology 48, 3-27.
- Cuesta, A. 1991: Petrología granítica del plutón de Caldas de Reyes (Pontevedra, España): estructura, mineralogía, geoquímica y petrogénesis. Serie Nova Terra, 5, Edicios do Castro, 363 pp.
- Culver, S.J., Pre, C.A.G., Mallinson, D.J., Riggs, S.R., Corbett, D.R., Foley, J., Hale, M., Metger, L., Ricardo, J., Rosenberger, J., Smith, Ch.G., Smith, C.W., Snyder, S.W., Twamley, D., Farrell, K. and

Horton, B. 2007: Late Holocene barrier island collapse: Outer Banks, North Carolina, USA. Sedimentary Record 5, 4-8.

- Delgado, C., Bao, R., Alonso, A. and Pagés, J.L. 2003: Evolución paleoambiental inferida por diatomeas de la laguna costera de Traba (A Coruña, NO España) durante los últimos 5.000 años. XI Reunión Nacional de Cuaternario, 347-352.
- Dickinson, W.R., Beard, L.S., Brakenridge, G.R., Erjavec, J.L., Ferguson, R.C., Inman, K.F., Knepp, R.A., Lindberg, F.A. and Ryberg, R.T. 1983: Provenance of North American Phanerozoic sandstones in relation to tectonic setting. *Geological Society of America, Bulletin* 94, 222-35.
- Di Giulio, A. and Valloni, R. 1992: Sabbie e areniti: Analisi ottica e classificazione. Acta Naturalia Ateneo Parmense 28, 101 pp.
- Fillali, L., Arribas, J. and Garzón, G. 2005: Petrografía y morfología de los cauces fluviales y del litoral atlántico de la Península de Tánger. Análisis de procedencia en relación con la Cordillera del Rif (Maruecos). Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España 18, 195-205.
- Froyd, C.A. 2005: Fossil stomata reveal early pine presence in Scotland: implications for postglacial colonization analyses. *Ecology* 86, 579-86.
- GarcíaAntón, M., Gil Romera, G., Pagés, J.L. and Alonso, A. 2006: The Holocene pollen record in the Villaviciosa Estuary (Asturias, North Spain). Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology 237, 280–92.
- González Lodeiro, F., Hernández Urroz, J., Martinez Catalán, J.R., Naval Balbín, A., Ortega Girones, E. and de Pablo Macia, J.G. 1984: Mapa Geológico de España E. 1:200.000. Hoja 7 Santiago de Compostela. Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, 99 pp.
- Grantham, J.H. and Velbel, M.A. 1988: The influence of climate and topography on rock-fragment abundance in modern fluvial sands of the southern Blue Ridge Mountains, North Carolina. *Journal* of Sedimentary Petrology 58, 219–27.
- Heins, W.A. 1993: Source rock texture versus climate and topography controls on the composition of modern, plutoniclastic sand. In Johnsson, M.J. and Basu, A., editors, *Processes controlling the* composition of clastic sediments. Geological Society of America, Special Paper 284, 135-46.
- Hong, W., Keppens, E., Nielsen, P. and van Riet, A. 1995: Oxygen and carbon isotope study of the Holocene oyster reefs and paleoenvironmental reconstruction on the northwest coast of Bohai Bay, China. Marine Geology 124, 289-302.
- Ibbeken, H. and Schleyer, R. 1991: Source and sediment. A case study of provenance and mass balance at an active plate margin (Calabria, Southern Italy). Springer, 286 pp.
- Ingersoll, R.V., Bullard, T.F., Ford, R.L., Grimm, J.P., Pickle, J.D. and Sares, S.W. 1984: The effect of grain size on detrital modes: a test of the Gazzi-Dickinson point-counting method. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 54, 103-16.
- Johnsson, M.J. 1990: Overlooked sedimentary particles from tropical weathering environments. *Geology* 18, 107-10.
- 1993: The system controlling the composition of clastic sediments. In Johnsson, M.J. and Basu, A., editors, *Processes controlling the composition of clastic sediments*. Geological Society of America, Special Paper 284, 1–19.
- Johnsson, M.J., Stallard, R.F. and Lundberg, N. 1991: Controls on the composition of fluvial sands from a tropical weathering environment: sands of the Orinoco River drainage basin, Venezuela and Colombia. Journal of Geology 96, 263-77.

- Krynine, P.D. 1950: Petrology, stratigraphy, and origin of the Triassic sedimentary rocks of Connecticut. Connecticut State Geological and Natural History Survey Bulletin 73, 247 pp.
- Le Pera, E. and Arribas, J. 2005: Sand composition in an Iberian passive-margin fluvial course: the Tajo River. Sedimentary Geology 171, 261-81.
- Le Pera, E., Arribas, J., Critelli, S. and Tortosa, A. 2001: The effects of source rocks and chemical weathering on the petrogenesis of siliciclastic sand (Calabria, Italy): implications for provenance studies. Sedimentology 48, 357-78.
- Lindholm, R.C. and Finkelman, R.B. 1972: Calcite staining: semiquantitative determination of ferrous iron. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 42, 239-45.
- Lorenzo, F., Alonso, A. and Pagés, J.L. 2007: Erosion and accretion of beach and spit systems in northwest Spain: a response to human activity. *Journal of Coastal Research* 23, 834-45.
- Mack, G.H. 1978: The survivability of labile light mineral grains Permian Cutler and Cedar Mesa Formations, Moab, Utah. Sedimentology 25, 587-606.
- Marsaglia, K.M., García y Barragán, J.C., Padilla, I. and Milliken, K.L. 1996: Evolution of the Iberian passive margin as reflected in sand provenance. In Whitmarsh, R.B., Sawyer, D.S., Klaus, A. and Masson, D.G., editors, *Proceedings ODP*, scientific results, 149. Ocean Drilling Program, 269-80.
- Marsaglia, K.M., Pavia, J.A. and Maloney, S.J. 2007: Petrology and provenance of Eocene-Albian sandstones and grainstones recovered during ODP Leg 210: implications for passive margin (rift-to-drift) sandstone provenance models. In Tucholke, B.E., Sibuet, J.-C. and Klaus, A., editors, Proceedings ODP, scientific results, 210. Ocean Drilling Program, 1-47.
- Nesbitt, H.W., Fedo, C.M. and Young, G.M. 1997: Quartz and feldspar stability, steady and non-steady-state weathering, and petrogenesis of siliciclastic sands and muds. *Journal of Geology* 105, 173-91.
- Pagés, J.L., Alonso, A., Cearreta, A., Hacar, M. and Bao, R. 2003: The Holocene record in the Villaviciosa Estuary (Asturias, Spain). In Ruiz Zapata, M.B., Dorado Valiño, M., Valdeolmillos Rodríguez, A., Gil García, M.J., Bardají Azcárate, T., de Bustamante Gutiérrez, I and Martínez Mendizábal, I., editors, *Quaternary* climatic changes and environmental crises in the Mediterranean region. Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, 249-56.
- Pagés, J.L., Alonso, A. and Garzón, G. 2005: Holocene transgression in the western Bay of Biscay, Spain. In Baeteman, C., editor, INQUA – IGCP international conference. Abstract book. Late Quaternary coastal changes, sea level, sedimentary forcing and anthro pogenic impacts. Belgian Geological Survey, 77–78.
- Palomares, M. and Arribas, J. 1993: Modern stream sands from compound crystalline sources: composition and sand generation index. In Johnsson, M.J. and Basu, A., editors, *Processes con*trolling the composition of clastic sediments. Geological Society of America, Special Paper 284, 313-22.
- Pease, P.P. and Tchakerian, V.P. 2002: Composition and sources of sand in the Wahiba sand sea, Sultanate of Oman. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 92, 416-34.
- Pettijohn, F.J., Potter, P.E. and Siever, R. 1972: Sand and sandstone. Springer-Verlag, 618 pp.
- Smol, J.P. and Cumming, B.F. 2000: Tracking long-term changes in climate using algal indicators in lake sediments. *Journal of Phycology* 36, 986-1011.

- Suttner, L. and Dutta, P.K. 1986: Alluvial sandstone composition and climate: I. Framework mineralogy. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 56, 329-45.
- Suttner, L.J., Basu, A. and Mack, G.H. 1981: Climate and the origin of quartz arenites. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 51, 21-29.
- Taboada, T. and García, C. 1999: Pseudomorphic transformation of plagioclases during the weathering of granitic rocks in Galicia (NW Spain). Catena 35, 291-302.
- Todd, T.W. 1968: Paleoclimatology and the relative stability of feldspar minerals under atmospheric conditions. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 38, 832-44.
- Tortosa, A. 1988: Análisis de las arenas actuales derivadas de rocas graníticas del Sistema Central: aplicación a los estudios de procedencia. Tesis de Licenciatura. Facultad de CC. Geológicas. Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 125 pp.
- Tortosa, A., Palomares, M. and Arribas, J. 1991: Quartz grain types in Holocene deposits from the Spanish Central System: some problems in provenance analysis. In Morton, A.C., Todd, S.P. and Haughton, P.D.W., editors, *Developments in sedimentary provenance studies*. Geological Society of London Special Publication 57, 47-54.
- Wilson, L. 1969: Les relations entre les processus geomorphologiques et le climatmoderne comme methode de paleoclimatologie. *Revue* de Geographie Physique et de Geologie Dynamique 11, 303-14.
- Zerbini, S. 2000: Regional and local sea level variations. In Smith, D., Raper, S.B., Zerbini, S. and Sánchez-Arcilla, A., editors, Sea level change and coastal processes. Implications for Europe. European Commission, 81-133.
- Zuffa, G.G. 1985: Optical analyses of arenites: influence of methodology on compositional results. In Zuffa, G.G., editor, Provenance of arenites. Reidel, 165-89.