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#### Abstract

A previously introduced scheme for describing integrable deformations of of algebraic curves is completed. Lenard relations are used to characterize and classify these deformations in terms of hydrodynamic type systems. A general solution of the compatibility conditions for consistent deformations is given and expressions for the solutions of the corresponding Lenard relations are provided.
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## 1 Introduction

Algebraic curves find important applications in the theory of integrable systems [1]- 3]. They are particularly relevant [4]-[7] in the study of the zero-dispersion limit of integrable systems and the analysis of Whitham equations. In [6]-7] Krichever formulated a general method to characterize dispersionless integrable systems underlying the deformations of algebraic curves in the Whitham averaging method. A different scheme to determine integrable deformations of algebraic curves $\mathcal{C}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(p, k):=p^{N}-\sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n}(k) p^{N-n}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was introduced in [8]-11. Here the coefficients (potentials) are assumed to be general polynomials in $k$. Our previous work focused on curves of degrees $N=2$ and 3 and the aim of the present paper is to complete the analysis by considering the general case of algebraic curves of arbitrary degree $N$.

The method proposed in [8]-11] applies for finding deformations $\mathcal{C}(x, t)$ of (11) such that the branches of the multiple-valued function $p(k)=\left(p_{1}(k), \ldots, p_{N}(k)\right)^{T}$ determined by (11) obey an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} p_{i}=\partial_{x}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{N} a_{r}(k, u(k)) p_{i}^{N-r}\right), \quad a_{r} \in \mathbb{C}[k], \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{r}$ are functions of $k$ and $u(k)=\left(u_{1}(k), \ldots, u_{N}(k)\right)$. As a consequence of (2) the potentials $u(k)$ satisfy an evolution equation of hydrodynamic type and the problem is to determine expressions for $a_{r}$ such that (2) is consistent with the polynomial dependence of $u$ on the variable $k$. That is to say, if $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right)$ are the degrees of the polynomials $\left(u_{1}(k), \ldots, u_{N}(k)\right)$, then degree $\left(\partial_{t} u_{n}\right) \leq d_{n}$ must be satisfied for all $n$. At this point a Lenard relation allows us to formulate a sufficient condition for the consistency of (2) in terms of a system of inequalities involving the degrees $d_{n}$ only. Thus we are led to the problem of determining the degrees satisfying the consistency condition (consistent degrees) for each $N$. In [9] it was found that for $N=2$ the consistent degrees $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ are characterized by the inequality $d_{1} \leq d_{2}+1$. For $N=3$ there is only a finite set of consistent degrees given by [11]

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
(0,0,1) & (0,1,0) & (0,1,1) & (0,1,2) & (1,0,0) & (1,0,1) \\
(1,1,0) & (1,1,1) & (1,1,2) & (1,2,1) & (1,2,2) & (1,2,3) . \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

In the present work, we complete these results. Thus, it is first shown that for $N=4$ the set of consistent degrees is

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
(0,0,0,1) & (0,0,1,0) & (0,0,1,1) & (0,1,0,0)  \tag{4}\\
(0,1,0,1) & (0,1,1,0) & (0,1,1,1) & (0,1,1,2)
\end{array}
$$

and then it is proved that for $N \geq 5$ the consistent degrees $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N-3, \quad d_{N-2}, d_{N-1}, d_{N} \leq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice the fact that no compatible degrees $d_{i} \geq 2$ arise for $N \geq 5$, so that the degree $N=5$ represents a threshold for a change in the properties of algebraic curves. This feature is reminiscent of the statement of the classical Abel theorem [12].

By substituting the branches $p_{i}$ by their Laurent series in $k$ into (2), infinite series of conservation laws follow. It means that the deformations of (II) supplied by our method are integrable. In fact, the corresponding hydrodynamic systems satisfied by the potentials $u_{n}(k)$ represent the quasiclassical (dispersionless) limits of the standard integrable models arising from the compatibility between generalized (energy-dependent) spectral problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}^{N}-\sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n}(k, x) \partial_{x}^{N-n}\right) \psi=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \psi=\left(\sum_{r=1}^{N} a_{r}(k, x, t) \partial_{x}^{N-r}\right) \psi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The work is organized as follows. We first outline our method in Section 2. Then Section 3 is devoted to determine and classify the curves (1) which admit deformations consistent with the degrees of their potentials. Finally, in Section 4 we characterize the hydrodynamic type systems which govern these deformations.

## 2 Deformations of algebraic curves

In order to write equation (21) in terms of the potentials $u_{n}$ we introduce the power sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{s}=\frac{1}{s}\left(p_{1}^{s}+\cdots+p_{N}^{s}\right), \quad s \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can relate potentials and power sums through Newton recurrence formulas, the solution of which is given by Waring's formula [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{s}=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s}^{(s)} \frac{1}{i}\left(u_{1}+\cdots+u_{N}\right)^{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript $(s)$ in the summation symbol indicates that only the terms of weight $s$ are retained, with the weights being defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { weight }\left[u_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} u_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots u_{N}^{\alpha_{N}}\right]:=\sum_{j=1}^{N} j \alpha_{j} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these variables, equation (2) can be rewritten as [10, (11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \mathbf{u}=J_{0} \mathbf{a} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{0}=T^{T} V^{T} \partial_{x} \cdot V, \quad \mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{N}\right)^{T}, \quad \mathbf{a}=\left(a_{N}, a_{N-1}, \ldots, a_{1}\right)^{T}, \\
& T:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -u_{1} & \cdots & -u_{N-1} \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & -u_{N-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad V:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & p_{1} & \cdots & p_{1}^{N-1} \\
1 & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{2}^{N-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & p_{N} & \cdots & p_{N}^{N-1}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The elements of $J_{0}$ can be easily written in terms of the power sums as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(J_{0}\right)_{11}= & N \partial_{x}, \\
\left(J_{0}\right)_{i 1}= & (i-1) \mathcal{P}_{i-1} \partial_{x}-\sum_{l=2}^{i-1} u_{i-l} \mathcal{P}_{l-1} \partial_{x}-N u_{i-1} \partial_{x}, \quad \text { if } i \neq 1, \\
\left(J_{0}\right)_{i j}= & (i+j-2) \mathcal{P}_{i+j-2} \partial_{x}+(j-1) \mathcal{P}_{i+j-2, x}  \tag{12}\\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} u_{i-k}\left[(k+j-2) \mathcal{P}_{k+j-2} \partial_{x}+(j-1) \mathcal{P}_{k+j-2, x}\right],
\end{align*} \quad \text { if } j \neq 1 .
$$

The problem now is to determine expressions for a (in (11)) depending on $k$ and $\mathbf{u}$, such that the flow (11) is consistent with the polynomial dependence of $\mathbf{u}$ on the variable $k$. That is to say, if $d_{n}:=\operatorname{degree}\left(u_{n}\right)$ are the degrees of the coefficients $u_{n}$ as polynomials in $k$, then

$$
\operatorname{degree}\left(J_{0} \mathbf{a}\right)_{n} \leq d_{n}, \quad n=1, \ldots N
$$

must be satisfied. The strategy [9]-11] for finding consistent deformations is to solve Lenard type relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0} \mathbf{r}=0, \quad \mathbf{r}:=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{N}\right)^{\top}, \quad r_{i} \in \mathbb{C}((k)), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and take $\mathbf{a}:=\mathbf{r}_{+}$, where $(\cdot)_{+}$and $(\cdot)_{-}$indicate the parts of non-negative and negative powers in $k$, respectively. Now from the identity

$$
J_{0} \mathbf{a}=J_{0} \mathbf{r}_{+}=-J_{0} \mathbf{r}_{-},
$$

it is clear that a sufficient condition for the consistency of (11) is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{m=1, \ldots, N}\left\{\operatorname{degree}\left(J_{0}\right)_{n m}\right\} \leq d_{n}+1, \quad n=1, \ldots, N \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition for consistency only depends on the curve (11) and does not refer to the particular solution of the Lenard relation

In the subsequent discussion we will use an important result concerning the branches $p_{i}(k)$ : Let $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ denote the field of Laurent series in $\lambda$ with at most a finite number of terms with positive powers, then we have [14, 15]:

Newton Theorem There exists a positive integer l such that the $N$ branches

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}(z):=\left.\left(p_{j}(k)\right)\right|_{k=z^{\prime}}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

are elements of $\mathbb{C}((z))$. Furthermore, if $F(p, k)$ is irreducible as a polynomial over the field $\mathbb{C}((k))$ then $l_{0}=N$ is the least permissible $l$ and the branches $p_{j}(z)$ can be labelled so that

$$
p_{j}(z)=p_{N}\left(\epsilon^{j} z\right), \quad \epsilon:=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi \imath}{N}\right)
$$

Notation convention Henceforth, given an algebraic curve $\mathcal{C}$ we will denote by $z$ the variable associated with the least positive integer $l_{0}$ for which the substitution $k=z^{l_{0}}$ implies $p_{j} \in \mathbb{C}((z)), \forall j$. We refer to $l_{0}$ as the Newton exponent of $\mathcal{C}$.

It was proved in [10]-[11] that the solution of the Lenard relation $J_{0} \mathbf{r}=0$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}=T \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} R, \quad R=\sum_{i=1}^{N} g_{i}(z) p_{i}, \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} R=\left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial u_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial R}{\partial u_{N}}\right)^{T}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g_{i} \in \mathbb{C}((z))$. The problem of choosing the functions $g_{i}$ such that $R \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ (and consequently $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{C}((k)))$ was solved in [11] by introducing the element $\sigma_{0}$ of the Galois group of the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}\left(p_{j}\right)(z):=p_{j}\left(\epsilon_{0} z\right), \quad \epsilon_{0}:=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi \imath}{l_{0}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus it is clear that the requirement of $R \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ is equivalent to the invariance of $R$ under $\sigma_{0}$ i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\epsilon_{0} z, \sigma_{0} \boldsymbol{p}\right)=R(z, \boldsymbol{p}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scheme now consists in using the Lagrange resolvents [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i}:=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\epsilon^{i}\right)^{j} p_{j}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

to construct functions $R$ satisfying (18) and such that $R \in \mathbb{C}((k))$.
The case $N=3$ was completely solved in [11]. There arise twelve possible choices (31) which are classified in terms of $\sigma_{0}$ and $l_{0}$ according to

Table 1: Classification of (3) according to $\sigma_{0}$ and $l_{0}$.

| $\sigma_{0}$ |  |  | $l_{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lll}p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} \\ p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{1}\end{array}\right)$ | 3 | $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ |  |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lll}p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} \\ p_{2} & p_{1} & p_{3}\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $(0,0,1)$ $(0,1,2)$ <br> $(1,0) 0)$ $(0,1,1)$ <br> $(1,1,2)$  |  |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lll}p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} \\ p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3}\end{array}\right)$ | 1 | $(1,0,1)$ $(1,1,0)$ <br> $(1,1,1)$ $(1,2,1)$ <br> $(1,2,2)$ $(1,2,3)$ |  |

and the invariant functions $R$ in (16) are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l_{0}=3, & R=z f_{1}\left(z^{3}\right) \mathcal{L}_{1}+z^{2} f_{2}\left(z^{3}\right) \mathcal{L}_{2}+f_{3}\left(z^{3}\right) \mathcal{L}_{3}, \\
l_{0}=2, & R=f_{1}\left(z^{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}+\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)+z f_{2}\left(z^{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}-\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)+f_{3}\left(z^{2}\right) \mathcal{L}_{3}  \tag{20}\\
l_{0}=1, & R=f_{1}(z) \mathcal{L}_{1}+f_{2}(z) \mathcal{L}_{2}+f_{3}(z) \mathcal{L}_{3},
\end{array}
$$

with $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ being arbitrary analytic functions of $k$.

## 3 Solutions of the consistency condition

Let us first consider condition (14) for $N=4$. Taking into account (12) we find that the elements of $J_{0}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{11}=4 \partial_{x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{12}=u_{1} \partial_{x}+u_{1 x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{13}=\left(u_{1}^{2}+2 u_{2}\right) \partial_{x}+\left(u_{1}^{2}+2 u_{2}\right)_{x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{14}=\left(u_{1}^{3}+3 u_{1} u_{2}+3 u_{3}\right) \partial_{x}+\left(u_{1}^{3}+3 u_{1} u_{2}+3 u_{3}\right)_{x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{21}=-3 u_{1} \partial_{x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{22}=2 u_{2} \partial_{x}+u_{2 x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{23}=\left(u_{1} u_{2}+3 u_{3}\right) \partial_{x}+2\left(u_{2} u_{1 x}+u_{3 x}\right), \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{24}=\left(u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+2 u_{2}^{2}+u_{1} u_{3}+4 u_{4}\right) \partial_{x}+3\left(u_{4 x}+u_{2} u_{2 x}+u_{2} u_{1} u_{1 x}+u_{3} u_{1 x}\right), \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{31}=-2 u_{2} \partial_{x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{32}=3 u_{3} \partial_{x}+u_{3 x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{33}=\left(4 u_{4}+u_{1} u_{3}\right) \partial_{x}+2\left(u_{4 x}+u_{3} u_{1 x}\right), \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{34}=\left(u_{1} u_{4}+2 u_{2} u_{3}+u_{1}^{2} u_{3}\right) \partial_{x}+3\left(u_{4} u_{1 x}+u_{3} u_{1} u_{1 x}+u_{3} u_{2 x}\right), \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{41}=-u_{3} \partial_{x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{42}=4 u_{4} \partial_{x}+u_{4 x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{43}=u_{1} u_{4} \partial_{x}+2 u_{4} u_{1 x}, \\
& \left(J_{0}\right)_{44}=\left(u_{1}^{2} u_{4}+2 u_{2} u_{4}\right) \partial_{x}+3 u_{4}\left(u_{1} u_{1 x}+u_{2 x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the compatibility condition (14) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=0, \quad d_{2} \leq 1, \quad d_{3} \leq 1 \\
& d_{4} \leq d_{2}+1, \quad d_{4} \leq d_{3}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to the proposition

Proposition 1. For $N=4$ the degrees $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}\right)$ satisfying the compatibility condition (14) are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(0,0,0,1), & (0,0,1,0), & (0,0,1,1),  \tag{21}\\
(0,1,0,0) \\
(0,1,0,1), & (0,1,1,0), & (0,1,1,1), \\
(0,1,1,2)
\end{array}
$$

In order to derive our general result for $N \geq 5$, we start by proving
Proposition 2. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}(N \geq 5)$ the degrees:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N-3, \quad d_{N-2}, d_{N-1}, d_{N}=0,1, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy the compatibility condition (14).
Proof. We extend recursively the definition of the weights (10) by

$$
\text { weight }\left[\left(\partial_{x}^{n} u_{j}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{x}, \ldots\right)\right]=j+\text { weight }\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{x}, \ldots\right)\right],
$$

where $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{x}, \ldots\right)$ denotes any differential polynomial in $\mathbf{u}$. Taking into account (9) and (12), we find that the elements of $J_{0}$ are weight homogeneous with respect to the scaling:

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{N}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda u_{1}, \lambda^{2} u_{2}, \ldots, \lambda^{N} u_{N}\right)
$$

and their weights are given by

$$
\text { weight }\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{i k}\right]=i+k-2 .
$$

For the case $i+k<2 N-2$ we have weight $\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{i k}\right]<2 N-4$ and, as a consequence, if the indexes $(i, k)$ satisfy $i+k<2 N-2$ then $\left(J_{0}\right)_{i k}$ does not involve neither terms of the form $u_{N-2}^{j+1}, u_{N-1}^{j+1}, u_{N}^{j+1}$, $u_{N-2}^{j} u_{N-1}^{l}, u_{N-2}^{j} u_{N}^{l}, u_{N-1}^{j} u_{N}^{l}, j, l \geq 1$ nor similar terms containing derivatives. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{degree}\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{i k}\right] \leq \max \quad\left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right]\right.  \tag{23}\\
&\left.d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right]$ stands for degrees of terms appearing in $\left(J_{0}\right)_{i k}$ which are linear combination of $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}$ with entire coefficients.

Now we examine the remaining elements $\left(J_{0}\right)_{i k}$, i.e.

$$
(i, k) \in\{(N-2, N),(N-1, N-1),(N-1, N),(N, N-2),(N, N-1),(N, N)\} .
$$

- weight $\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-2, N}\right]=2 N-4$, so that $\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-2, N}$ may contain terms of the form $u_{N-2}^{2}, u_{N-2} u_{N-2, x}$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { degree }\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-2, N}\right] \leq \\
& \qquad \max \left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right],\right.  \tag{24}\\
& \left.\quad d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], 2 d_{N-2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

- weight $\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-1, N-1}\right]=2 N-4$. This weight allows the presence of terms such as $u_{N-2}^{2} \partial_{x}$ and $u_{N-2} u_{N-2, x}$, which arise multiplied by the coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{coeff}\left[(2 N-4) \mathcal{P}_{2 N-4} \partial_{x}, u_{N-2}^{2} \partial_{x}\right]=N-2, \\
& \operatorname{coeff}\left[u_{N-k-1}(N+k-3) \mathcal{P}_{N+k-3} \partial_{x}, u_{N-2}^{2} \partial_{x}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
N-2 & \text { if } & k=1, \\
0 & \text { if } & k \neq 1,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Rightarrow \operatorname{coeff}\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-1 N-1}, u_{N-2}^{2} \partial_{x}\right]=0 .
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{coeff}\left[(N-2) \mathcal{P}_{2 N-4, x}, u_{N-2} u_{N-2 x}\right]=N-2, \\
& \operatorname{coeff}\left[u_{N-k-1}(N-2) \mathcal{P}_{N+k-3, x}, u_{N-2} u_{N-2 x}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
N-2 & \text { if } & k=1, \\
0 & \text { if } & k \neq 1,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \operatorname{coeff}\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-1 N-1}, u_{N-2} u_{N-2 x}\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-1 N-1}$ does not contain terms in $u_{N-2}^{2}, u_{N-2} u_{N-2 x}$ and consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { degree }\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-2, N}\right] \leq \\
& \qquad \max \left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right],\right.  \tag{25}\\
& \left.\quad d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

- weight $\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-1, N}\right]=2 N-3$. Terms of the form $u_{N-2}^{2} u_{1}, u_{N-2} u_{N-1}$, or similar terms containing derivatives may arise. A direct computation, similar to the one in the previous case proves that there are no terms $u_{N-2}^{2} u_{1}, u_{N-2}^{2} u_{1, x}, u_{N-2} u_{N-2, x} u_{1}$ in $\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-1, N-1}$. Then we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { degree }\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N-1, N}\right] \leq \\
& \qquad \max \left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right],\right.  \tag{26}\\
& \left.\quad d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+d_{N-1}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

- weight $\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N, N-2}\right]=2 N-4$. A direct computation shows that there are no terms $u_{N-2}^{2}$, $u_{N-2} u_{N-2, x}$ in $\left(J_{0}\right)_{N, N-2}$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { degree }\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N, N-2}\right] \leq \\
& \qquad \max \left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right],\right.  \tag{27}\\
& \left.\quad d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

- weight $\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N, N-1}\right]=2 N-3$. One can see that $\left(J_{0}\right)_{N, N-1}$ has no terms $u_{N-2}^{2} u_{1}, u_{N-2} u_{N-1}$ or similar terms containing derivatives. Consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { degree }\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N, N-2}\right] \leq \\
& \qquad \max \left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right],\right.  \tag{28}\\
& \left.\quad d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right]\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

- weight $\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N N}\right]=2 N-2$. This element may involve terms $u_{N-2} u_{N}, u_{N-2 x} u_{N}$ or $u_{N-2} u_{N x}$. On the other hand, it can be checked, as in the previous cases, that terms $u_{N-2}^{2} u_{2}, u_{N-2}^{2} u_{1}^{2}$, $u_{N-2} u_{N-1} u_{1}, u_{N-1}^{2}$ or similar ones containing derivatives cannot arise. Consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { degree }\left[\left(J_{0}\right)_{N, N-2}\right] \leq \\
& \qquad \max \left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right],\right.  \tag{29}\\
& \left.\quad d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right], d_{N-2}+d_{N}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

In summary, by taking into account (23)-(29), we conclude that (14) is satisfied provided that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right] \leq 1,} & 2 d_{N-2} \leq d_{N-2}+1, \\
d_{N-2}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right] \leq 1, & d_{N-2}+d_{N-1} \leq d_{N-1}+1,  \tag{30}\\
d_{N-1}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right] \leq 1, & d_{N-2}+d_{N} \leq d_{N}+1 . \\
d_{N}+\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N-3}\right] \leq 1, &
\end{array}
$$

Thus, any choice of the degrees verifying

$$
d_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N-3, \quad d_{N-2}, d_{N-1}, d_{N} \leq 1
$$

satisfies (30) and in consequence it verifies (14).

We next show that (22) constitutes the complete set of degrees satisfying (14).
Proposition 3. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}(N \geq 5)$ the compatibility condition (14) implies

$$
d_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N-3, \quad d_{N-2}, d_{N-1}, d_{N} \leq 1
$$

Proof. The cases $N$ even or odd must be considered separately. Suppose first that $N=2 M$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}(M \geq 3)$. From (12) we have that

$$
\left(J_{0}\right)_{12 M}=(2 M-1) \mathcal{P}_{2 M-1} \partial_{x}+(2 M-1) \mathcal{P}_{2 M-1, x} .
$$

Thus, it is clear that $\left(J_{0}\right)_{12 M}$ contains terms in

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}^{2 M-1} \partial_{x}, & u_{j}^{2} u_{1}^{2 M-2 j-1} \partial_{x}, \quad j=2, \ldots, M-1, \\
& u_{2 M-1} \partial_{x}, \quad u_{2 M-2} u_{1} \partial_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently, the condition (14) with $n=1$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 M-1) d_{1} \leq d_{1}+1, \quad 2 d_{j}+(2 M-2 j-1) d_{1} \leq d_{1}+1, \quad j=2, \ldots, M-1, \\
& d_{2 M-1} \leq d_{1}+1, \quad d_{2 M-2}+d_{1} \leq d_{1}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M-1, \quad d_{2 M-2}, d_{2 M-1} \leq 1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking now $i=2 l, j=2 M(l<M)$ in (12) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(J_{0}\right)_{2 l 2 M}= & 2(l+M-1) \mathcal{P}_{2(l+M-1)} \partial_{x}+(2 M-1) \mathcal{P}_{2(l+M-1), x} \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{2 l-1} u_{2 l-k}\left[(k+2 M-2) \mathcal{P}_{k+2 M-2} \partial_{x}+(2 M-1) \mathcal{P}_{k+2 M-2, x}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have that $\left(J_{0}\right)_{22 M}$ contains a term $u_{2 M} \partial_{x}$ so that

$$
d_{2 M} \leq d_{2}+1
$$

Since according to (31) $(M \geq 3) d_{2}=0$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2 M} \leq 1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we also see that $\left(J_{0}\right)_{2 l 2 M}$ contains a term $u_{l+M-1}^{2} \partial_{x}$. Hence, the condition (14) with $n=2 l$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d_{l+M-1} \leq d_{2 l}+1, \quad \text { for each } \quad l<M \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (331) we deduce:

- By setting $l=1$ in (33), we get $2 d_{M} \leq d_{2}+1$, but $d_{2}=0$ so that $d_{M}=0$. Thus,

$$
M \geq 3 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M
$$

- Suppose that $M \geq 4$, and put $l=2$ into (33), then we have that $2 d_{M+1} \leq d_{4}+1$. But under our hypothesis $d_{4}=0$, so that

$$
M \geq 4 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M+1
$$

- Suppose that $M \geq 5$, and put $l=3$ into (33), then $2 d_{M+2} \leq d_{6}+1$. Again, under our actual hypothesis $d_{6}=0$, we have that

$$
M \geq 5 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M+2
$$

Let us now use induction to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq k+3 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M+k \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have already proved (34) for $k=1,2$. Assume that it holds for $k \leq k_{0}-1$ and let us check it for $k=k_{0}$ :

Take $M \geq k_{0}+3$, and put $l=k_{0}+1$ in (33), then we have that

$$
2 d_{M+k_{0}} \leq d_{2 k_{0}+2}+1
$$

As $2 k_{0}+2 \leq M+k_{0}-1$ it follows that $d_{2 k_{0}+2}=0$, so that $d_{M+k_{0}}=0$ which proves (34).
Finally, for a given $M$, take $k=M-3$, then

$$
d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, 2 M-3
$$

Hence, by taking (31) and (32) into account, we have proved that (14) implies

$$
d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, 2 M-3, \quad d_{2 M-2}, d_{2 M-1}, d_{2 M} \leq 1
$$

We consider now the case $N=2 M+1$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}(M \geq 2)$. From (12)

$$
\left(J_{0}\right)_{12 M+1}=2 M \mathcal{P}_{2 M} \partial_{x}+2 M \mathcal{P}_{2 M, x}
$$

Consequently $\left(J_{0}\right)_{12 M+1}$ contains terms in

$$
u_{1}^{2 M} \partial_{x}, \quad u_{j}^{2} u_{1}^{2 M-2 j} \partial_{x}, \quad j=2, \ldots, M, \quad u_{2 M} \partial_{x}, \quad u_{2 M-1} u_{1} \partial_{x}
$$

and the condition (14) with $n=1$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 M d_{1} \leq d_{1}+1, \quad 2 d_{j}+(2 M-2 j) d_{1} \leq d_{1}+1, \quad j=2, \ldots, M \\
& d_{2 M} \leq d_{1}+1, \quad d_{2 M-1}+d_{1} \leq d_{1}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M, \quad d_{2 M-1}, d_{2 M} \leq 1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by setting $i=2 l+1, j=2 M+1(l<M)$ in (12) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(J_{0}\right)_{2 l+12 M+1}= & 2(l+M) \mathcal{P}_{2(l+M)} \partial_{x}+2 M \mathcal{P}_{2(l+M), x} \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{2 l} u_{2 l+1-k}\left[(k+2 M-1) \mathcal{P}_{k+2 M-1} \partial_{x}+2 M \mathcal{P}_{k+2 M-1, x}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left(J_{0}\right)_{2 l+12 M+1}$ contains the term $u_{M+l}^{2} \partial_{x}$, so that the condition (14) with $n=2 l+1$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d_{M+l} \leq d_{2 l+1}+1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By putting $l=1,2,3$ in (36) it follows

- For $l=1$ we have that $2 d_{M+1} \leq d_{3}+1$. Thus,

$$
M \geq 3 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M+1
$$

- For $l=2$ it follows that $2 d_{M+2} \leq d_{5}+1$. Consequently

$$
M \geq 4 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M+2
$$

- For $l=3$ the inequality (36) reads $2 d_{M+3} \leq d_{7}+1$ so that

$$
M \geq 5 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M+3
$$

Let us now use induction to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq k+2 \Rightarrow d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, M+k \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have proved (37) for $k=1,2,3$. Suppose that it holds for $k \leq k_{0}-1$ and let us check it for $k=k_{0}$. Take $M \geq k_{0}+2$ and $l=k_{0}$ in (36), we find

$$
2 d_{M+k_{0}} \leq d_{2 k_{0}+1}+1
$$

But $2 k_{0}+1 \leq M+k_{0}-1$, then $d_{2 k_{0}+1}=0, d_{M+k_{0}}=0$ and (37) follows. Thus, for a given $M$, if we take $k=M-2$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, 2 M-2 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(J_{0}\right)_{22 M+1}= & (2 M+1) \mathcal{P}_{2 M+1} \partial_{x}+2 M \mathcal{P}_{2 M+1, x} \\
& -u_{1}\left[2 M \mathcal{P}_{2 M} \partial_{x}+2 M \mathcal{P}_{2 M, x}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that (14) implies $d_{2 M+1} \leq d_{2}+1$, and consequently $d_{2 M+1} \leq 1$. This fact, together with (35) and (38) lead us to

$$
d_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, 2 M-2, \quad d_{2 M-1}, d_{2 M}, d_{2 M+1} \leq 1
$$

From propositions 2 and 3 it follows that

## Theorem

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}(N \geq 5)$ the degrees $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right)$ satisfy the compatibility condition 14) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N-3, \quad d_{N-2}, d_{N-1}, d_{N} \leq 1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Hierarchies of consistent deformations

Our next task is to classify all the compatible cases in terms of the corresponding Newton exponent and the element $\sigma_{0}$ (17) of the Galois group of the curve.

We start by considering the case $N \geq 5$. In order to find $l_{0}$ and $\sigma_{0}$ for each one of the seven nontrivial choices (39), we study the asymptotic behavior of the $N$ branches $p_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By writing the potentials as

$$
u_{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{d_{n}} u_{n j} k^{j}
$$

we have:

- $(0, \ldots, 0,0,0,1)$. In this case (11) can be written as

$$
k=\frac{1}{u_{N 1}}\left(p^{N}-\sum_{l=1}^{N} u_{l 0} p^{N-l}\right)
$$

so that

$$
p_{j}^{N} \sim u_{N 1} k \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

Consequently, $p_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\left(\left(k^{\frac{1}{N}}\right)\right), j=1,2, \ldots, N$ and

$$
l_{0}=N, \quad \sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\
p_{2} & p_{3} & \cdots & p_{N} & p_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $(0, \ldots, 0,0,1,0)$. Now, (11) takes the form

$$
k=\frac{1}{u_{N-11}}\left(p^{N-1}-\sum_{l=1}^{N} u_{l 0} p^{N-l-1}-\frac{u_{N 0}}{p}\right) .
$$

Thus, the roots satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j}^{N-1} \sim u_{N-11} k \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-1, \\
& p_{N} \sim-\frac{u_{N 0}}{u_{N-11}} \frac{1}{k} \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

and we find

$$
l_{0}=N-1, \quad \sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cclll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\
p_{2} & p_{3} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $(0, \ldots, 0,0,1,1)$. From (11) we can write

$$
k=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_{j} p^{j}+\frac{c_{-1}}{u_{N-11} p+u_{N 1}},
$$

for certain coefficients $c_{j}, j=-1,0,1, \ldots, N-1$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j}^{N-1} \sim \frac{1}{c_{N-1}} k \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-1, \\
& p_{N} \sim-\frac{u_{N 1}}{u_{N-11}}+\frac{c_{-1}}{u_{N-11}} \frac{1}{k} \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
l_{0}=N-1, \quad \sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\
p_{2} & p_{3} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0,0)$. The equation (11) of the curve implies

$$
k=\frac{1}{u_{N-21}}\left(p^{N-2}-\sum_{l=1}^{N-2} u_{l 0} p^{N-l-2}+\frac{u_{N-10}}{p}+\frac{u_{N 0}}{p^{2}}\right) .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j}^{N-2} \sim u_{N-21} k \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-2, \\
& p_{j}^{2} \sim \frac{u_{N 0}}{u_{N-21}} \frac{1}{k} \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=N-1, N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the corresponding Galois group element is given by

$$
\sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\
p_{2} & p_{3} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N} & p_{N-1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and the Newton exponent is

$$
l_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
N-2 & \text { if } \quad N \quad \text { is even } \\
2(N-2) & \text { if } N \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $(0, \ldots, 0,1,1,0)$. From (11) we have

$$
k=\sum_{j=0}^{N-2} c_{j} p^{j}+\frac{d_{1}}{p-b_{1}}+\frac{d_{2}}{p}
$$

for certain coefficients $c_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, N-2, b_{1}$ and $d_{k}, k=1,2$. The branches satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j}^{N-2} \sim \frac{1}{c_{N-2}} k \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-2, \\
& p_{N-1} \sim b_{1}+\frac{d_{1}}{k} \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \\
& p_{N} \sim \frac{d_{2}}{k} \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
l_{0}=N-2, \quad \sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\
p_{2} & p_{3} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N-1} & p_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0,1)$ and $(0, \ldots, 0,1,1,1)$. In these cases (11) implies

$$
k=\sum_{j=0}^{N-2} c_{j} p^{j}+\frac{d_{1}}{p-b_{1}}+\frac{d_{2}}{p-b_{2}},
$$

for certain coefficients $c_{j}, b_{k}, d_{k}, j=0,1, \ldots, N-2 ; k=1,2$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j}^{N-2} \sim \frac{1}{c_{N-2}} k \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-2, \\
& p_{N-1} \sim b_{1}+\frac{d_{1}}{k} \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \\
& p_{N} \sim b_{2}+\frac{d_{2}}{k} \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
l_{0}=N-2, \quad \sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\
p_{2} & p_{3} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N-1} & p_{N}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

These results are summarized in the following table

Table 2: Classification of (39) according to $\sigma_{0}$ and $l_{0}$.

| $\sigma_{0}$ | $l_{0}$ | $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & p_{2} & \ldots & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & p_{3} & \ldots & p_{N} & p_{1}\end{array}\right)$ | $N$ | $(0, \ldots, 0,0,0,1)$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & p_{2} & \ldots & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & p_{3} & \ldots & p_{1} & p_{N}\end{array}\right)$ | $N-1$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0, \ldots, 0,0,1,0) \\ & (0, \ldots, 0,0,1,1) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N-1} & p_{N}\end{array}\right)$ | $N-2$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0, \ldots, 0,1,1,0) \\ & (0, \ldots, 0,1,1,1) \\ & (0, \ldots, 0,1,0,1) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & \ldots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & \ldots & p_{1} & p_{N} & p_{N-1}\end{array}\right)$ | $N-2$ if $N$ even <br> $2(N-2)$ if $N$ odd | $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0,0)$ |

We end this section by completing the previous table for $N=4$. Only the special set of degrees $(0,1,1,2)$ remains to be analyzed. The corresponding branches can be expanded as

$$
p_{i}=a_{i 1} k^{\frac{1}{2}}+a_{i 0}+\frac{a_{i-1}}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\cdots, \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i 0}= & \frac{a_{i 1}^{2} u_{10}+u_{31}}{4 a_{i 1}^{2}-2 u_{21}}, \\
a_{i-1}= & \frac{1}{8 a_{i 1}\left(2 a_{i 1}^{2}-u_{21}\right)^{3}}\left[a_{i 1}^{6}\left(6 u_{10}^{2}+16 u_{20}\right)\right. \\
& +a_{i 1}^{4}\left(-5 u_{10}^{2} u_{21}+4 u_{10} u_{31}+16\left(-u_{20} u_{21}+u_{41}\right)\right) \\
& -2 a_{i 1}^{2}\left(-2 u_{20} u_{21}^{2}+3 u_{10} u_{21} u_{31}+u_{31}^{2}+8 u_{21} u_{41}\right) \\
& \left.+u_{21}\left(-u_{31}^{2}+4 u_{21} u_{41}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and $a_{i 1}, i=1,2,3,4$ are the solutions of the equation:

$$
a_{1}^{4}-u_{21} a_{1}^{2}-u_{42}=0 .
$$

By labeling its solutions so that $a_{21}=-a_{11}, a_{41}=-a_{31}$, we obtain

$$
p_{2}(z)=p_{1}(-z), \quad p_{4}(z)=p_{3}(-z), \quad k=z^{2} .
$$

Thus it follows that

$$
l_{0}=2, \quad \sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} \\
p_{2} & p_{1} & p_{4} & p_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, the table for $N=4$ is
Table 3: Classification of (4) according to $\sigma_{0}$ and $l_{0}$.

| $\sigma_{0}$ |  |  | $l_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\begin{array}{llll}p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} \\ p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} & \left.p_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}\right) \\ \hline\left(\begin{array}{llll}p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} \\ p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{1} & p_{4}\end{array}\right) & 4 & 3 & \begin{array}{c}(0,0,0,1) \\ (0,0,1,0) \\ (0,0,1,1)\end{array} \\ \hline\left(\begin{array}{llll}p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} \\ p_{2} & p_{1} & p_{3} & p_{4}\end{array}\right) & 2 & \begin{array}{c}(0,1,1,0) \\ (0,1,1,1) \\ (0,1,0,1)\end{array} \\ \hline\left(\begin{array}{llll}p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} \\ p_{2} & p_{1} & p_{4} & p_{3}\end{array}\right) & 2 & \begin{array}{c}(0,1,0,0) \\ (0,1,1,2)\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array} \mathbf{~}\right.$ |  |  |  |

Let us now turn our attention to the problem of obtaining the hierarchy of integrable deformations (11). It is required to determine the function $R$ of the form (16) satisfying the invariance condition (18). In view of (18) we discuss the different cases according to the corresponding element $\sigma_{0}$ of the Galois group of the curve.

- $\sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & p_{2} & \ldots & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & p_{3} & \cdots & p_{N} & p_{1}\end{array}\right)$.

From the tables 1,2 and 3 we have that $l_{0}=N,\left(\epsilon_{0}=\epsilon=e^{\frac{2 \pi v}{N}}\right)$. For $N \geq 4$ the only choice of degrees corresponding to $\sigma_{0}$ is $(0, \ldots, 0,0,0,1)$. We look for functions $R_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j} p_{j}$ such that $\sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{0}^{N-k} R_{k}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, N-1$. It is easy to check that

$$
\sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\alpha_{N} p_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{N} \alpha_{j-1} p_{j}
$$

so that the condition $\sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{0}^{N-k} R_{k}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{j-1}=\epsilon_{0}^{N-k} \alpha_{j}, \quad j=2, \ldots N-1, N ; \\
& \alpha_{N}=\epsilon_{0}^{N-k} \alpha_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This system admits the nontrival solutions

$$
\alpha_{j}=\epsilon_{0}^{(N-k)(N-j)} \alpha_{N}=\epsilon_{0}^{j k} \alpha_{N} .
$$

Thus the functions $R$ of the form (16) which satisfy (18) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} z^{k} f_{k}\left(z^{N}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon_{0}^{j k} p_{j} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{k} \in \mathbb{C}\left(\left(z^{N}\right)\right), k=0,1, \ldots, N-1$. Taking into account that $\epsilon_{0}=\epsilon$ and recalling (19), we see that the functions $R$ can also be written in terms of the Lagrange resolvents as

$$
R=f_{0}\left(z^{N}\right) \mathcal{L}_{N}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} z^{k} f_{k}\left(z^{N}\right) \mathcal{L}_{k}
$$

which coincides with the first equation for $N=3$ in (20).

- $\sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_{1} & p_{N}\end{array}\right)$.

The corresponding Newton exponent is $l_{0}=N-1\left(\epsilon_{0}=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{N-1}}\right)$ and for $N \geq 4$ the degrees of the potentials are $(0, \ldots, 0,0,1,0)$ and $(0, \ldots, 0,0,1,1)$. In this case we have that $\sigma_{0}\left(p_{N}\right)=p_{N}$, or equivalently $p_{N} \in \mathbb{C}((k))$. Moreover, we need $N-1$ additional functions $R$ verifying the invariance condition (18). Proceeding as in the previous case we look for functions of the form

$$
R_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \alpha_{j} p_{j}, \quad \text { such that } \quad \sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{0}^{N-1-k} R_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, N-2 .
$$

Since the action of $\sigma_{0}$ on the function $R_{k}$ is given by

$$
\sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\alpha_{N} p_{1}+\sum_{j=2}^{N-1} \alpha_{j-1} p_{j}
$$

the condition $\sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{0}^{N-1-k} R_{k}$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{j-1}=\epsilon_{0}^{N-1-k} \alpha_{j}, \quad j=N-1, N-2 \ldots, 2 \\
& \alpha_{N-1}=\epsilon_{0}^{N-1-k} \alpha_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\alpha_{j}=\epsilon_{0}^{(N-1-k)(N-1-j)} \alpha_{N}=\epsilon_{0}^{j k} \alpha_{N}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} z^{k} f_{k}\left(z^{N-1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \epsilon_{0}^{j k} p_{j}+f_{N-1}\left(z^{N-1}\right) p_{N} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example For $N=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R= & f_{0}\left(z^{3}\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)+z f_{1}\left(z^{3}\right)\left(e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} p_{1}+e^{\frac{4 \pi i}{3}} p_{2}+p_{3}\right) \\
& +z^{2} f_{2}\left(z^{3}\right)\left(e^{\frac{4 \pi i}{3}} p_{1}+e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} p_{2}+p_{3}\right)+f_{3}\left(z^{3}\right) p_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N-1} & p_{N}\end{array}\right)$.

In this case $\sigma_{0}, l_{0}=N-2,\left(\epsilon_{0}=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{N-2}}\right)$. For $N \geq 4$ it corresponds to the sets of degrees $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0,1),(0, \ldots, 0,1,1,0)$ and $(0, \ldots, 0,1,1,1)$. Notice that $p_{N-1}, p_{N} \in \mathbb{C}((k))$. Let us look for functions

$$
R_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \alpha_{j} p_{j}, \quad \text { verifying } \quad \sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{0}^{N-2-k} R_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, N-3
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{j-1}=\epsilon_{0}^{N-2-k} \alpha_{j}, \quad j=N-2, N-3 \ldots, 2 \\
& \alpha_{N-2}=\epsilon_{0}^{N-2-k} \alpha_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\alpha_{j}=\epsilon_{0}^{(N-2-k)(N-2-j)} \alpha_{N-2}=\epsilon_{0}^{j k} \alpha_{N-2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sum_{k=0}^{N-3} z^{k} f_{k}\left(z^{N-2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_{0}^{j k} p_{j}+f_{N-2}\left(z^{N-2}\right) p_{N-1}+f_{N-1}\left(z^{N-2}\right) p_{N} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}p_{1} & \ldots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_{N} \\ p_{2} & \cdots & p_{1} & p_{N} & p_{N-1}\end{array}\right)$.

This element corresponds to the sets of degrees $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0,0)$ and, in the particular case $N=4$, to the special choice $(0,1,1,2)$ too. From the discussion in Section 3 it follows that the Newton exponent of $\sigma_{0}$ depends on whether $N$ is even or odd.

* $N$ even: $l_{0}=N-2\left(\epsilon_{0}=e^{\frac{2 \pi \imath}{N-2}}\right)$. It is easy to see that $p_{N-1}+p_{N} \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ and $\sigma_{0}\left(-p_{N-1}+p_{N}\right)=$ $-\left(-p_{N-1}+p_{N}\right)$. On the other hand since $\sigma_{0}$ acts on $p_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, N-2$ and $\epsilon_{0}$ coincides with the previous one, we have again that

$$
R_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_{0}^{j k} p_{j}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, N-3,
$$

satisfy $\sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{0}^{N-2-k} R_{k}$. Thus $R$ is now given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
R=\sum_{k=0}^{N-3} z^{k} f_{k}\left(z^{N-2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_{0}^{j k} p_{j}+z^{\frac{N-2}{2}} f_{N-2}\left(z^{N-2}\right)\left(p_{N-1}-p_{N-1}\right) \\
+f_{N-1}\left(z^{N-2}\right)\left(p_{N-1}+p_{N}\right) \tag{43}
\end{gather*}
$$

Example For $N=4$

$$
R=f_{0}\left(z^{2}\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)+z f_{1}\left(z^{2}\right)\left(-p_{1}+p_{2}\right)+z f_{2}\left(z^{2}\right)\left(-p_{3}+p_{4}\right)+f_{3}\left(z^{2}\right)\left(p_{3}+p_{4}\right)
$$

* $N$ odd: $l_{0}=2(N-2)\left(\epsilon_{0}=e^{\frac{\pi i}{N-2}}\right)$. Again in this case $p_{N-1}+p_{N} \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ and $\sigma_{0}\left(-p_{N-1}+p_{N}\right)=$ $-\left(-p_{N-1}+p_{N}\right)$. Moreover, if we look for functions $R_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \alpha_{j} p_{j}$ such that

$$
\sigma_{0}\left(R_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{0}^{2(N-2-k)} R_{k}, k=0, \ldots, N-3
$$

by proceeding as in the previous cases, we find that $\alpha_{j}=\epsilon_{0}^{2(N-2-k)(N-2-j)} \alpha_{N-2}=\epsilon_{0}^{2 j k} \alpha_{N-2}$, so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
R=\sum_{k=0}^{N-3} z^{2 k} f_{k}\left(z^{2(N-2)}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_{0}^{2 j k} p_{j}+z^{N-2} f_{N-2}\left(z^{2(N-2)}\right)\left(p_{N}-p_{N-1}\right) \\
+f_{N-1}\left(z^{2(N-2)}\right)\left(p_{N-1}+p_{N}\right) \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

Example For $N=5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R= & f_{0}\left(z^{6}\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)+z^{2} f_{1}\left(z^{6}\right)\left(e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} p_{1}+e^{\frac{4 \pi i}{3}} p_{2}+p_{3}\right) \\
& +z^{4} f_{2}\left(z^{6}\right)\left(e^{\frac{4 \pi i}{3}} p_{1}+e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} p_{2}+p_{3}\right) \\
& +z^{3} f_{3}\left(z^{6}\right)\left(-p_{4}+p_{5}\right)+f_{4}\left(z^{6}\right)\left(p_{4}+p_{5}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the integrable deformations (11), (16) are determined by the expressions of $R$ in (401), (41), (42), (43) or (44) depending on $\sigma_{0}$ and the Newton exponent $l_{0}$.
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