



Correction to: Positive Solutions for Slightly Subcritical Elliptic Problems Via Orlicz Spaces

Mabel Cuesta and Rosa Pardo

Correction to: Milan J. Math. Vol. 90 (2022) 229–255
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00032-022-00354-1>

The authors would like to correct an error in the original paper [1], which was kindly pointed out to us by Prof. Alfonso Castro. In the proof of Proposition 3.1, the two last lines in p. 8, and in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the two last lines in p. 18 were wrong, and so the conclusions. Indeed, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N > 2$, be a bounded, connected open subset, with C^2 boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\int_\Omega g_k \psi \rightarrow 0$ for any $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Density alone is not enough and the above do not imply that $\int_\Omega g_k \psi \rightarrow 0$ for any $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$, it will hold if $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded.

We present here a proof based on a different argument. The consideration presented below should modify part of the proof of Proposition 3.1, and part of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Replace line 3 in page 3, by:

Assume that

- (A1) Ω^+ , and Ω^- are non-empty sets,
- (A2) $\overline{\Omega}^+ \cap \overline{\Omega}^- = \emptyset$.

We add hypothesis (A1)–(A2) to the statement of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies hypothesis (H). Let $C_0 > 0$ be defined by (1.6). Assume that a changes sign in Ω , that (A1)–(A2), and that (1.5) hold, then there exists a $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lambda_1 < \Lambda \leq \min \left\{ \lambda_1(\text{int}(\Omega^0)), \quad \lambda_1(\text{int}(\Omega^+ \cup \Omega^0)) + C_0 \sup a^+ \right\}$$

such that (1.1) has a classical positive solution if $\lambda < \Lambda$ and there is no positive solutions if $\lambda > \Lambda$.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00032-022-00354-1>.

Moreover, there exists a continuum (a closed and connected set) \mathcal{C} of classical positive solutions to (1.1) emanating from the trivial solution set at the bifurcation point $(\lambda, u) = (\lambda_1, 0)$ which is unbounded. Besides,

- (a) For every, $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \Lambda)$, (1.1) admits at least two classical ordered positive solutions.
- (b) Assume $\Lambda < \lambda_1(\text{int}(\Omega^0))$, for $\lambda = \Lambda$ problem (1.1) admits at least one classical positive solution.
- (c) For every $\lambda \leq \lambda_1$, problem (1.1) admits at least one classical positive solution.

We also add hypothesis **(A1)**–**(A2)** to the statement of Proposition 3.1. We present here a more general result, with a new (J_2) condition, more general than usual.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ fulfills hypothesis (H) and that $\lambda < \lambda_1(\text{int}\Omega^0) < +\infty$. Assume that $a \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, and Ω^\pm, Ω^0 satisfy hypothesis **(A1)**–**(A2)**.*

Then any (PS) sequence, that is, a sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfying the conditions

$$(J_1) \quad J_\lambda[u_n] \leq C,$$

$$(J_2) \quad |J'_\lambda[u_n]\psi| \leq \varepsilon_n \|u_n\| \|\psi\|, \text{ where } \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

is a bounded sequence.

Proof. A careful reading of Step 1 show that the conclusion holds under new hypothesis (J_2) assumed here, just changing l. 15–16, p. 7 by the following:

$$\left| -\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n^-|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x) f(u_n^+) u_n^- dx \right| = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n^-|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_n \|u_n\| \|u_n^-\|$$

so $\|v_n^-\| \rightarrow 0$ and then $v^- \equiv 0$, and we conclude the proof of the claim.

2. *Claim:* $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \frac{f(u_n^+) u_n^+}{\|u_n\|^2} dx = 1$.

Taking into account that $v \equiv 0$ a.e. in Ω , it follows from (J_2) applied to $\psi = u_n$ that

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) \frac{f(u_n^+) u_n^+}{\|u_n\|^2} dx = 1 + o(1).$$

3. *Claim:* $0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a^-(x) \frac{f(u_n^+) u_n^+}{\|u_n\|^2} dx < +\infty$.

To prove this claim we will make use of hypothesis. It comes from this hypothesis that there exists $0 \leq \psi \in C^1(\Omega)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on Ω^- and $\psi = 0$ on Ω^+ . Taking $\phi = u_n \psi$ as a test function in (J_2) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} v_n \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} a^-(x) \frac{f(u_n^+) u_n^+}{\|u_n\|^2} dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} (v_n^+)^2 \psi dx + o(1)$$

from which it comes directly that the sequence $\left\{ \int_{\Omega} a^-(x) \frac{f(u_n^+) u_n^+}{\|u_n\|^2} dx \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and non-negative, so the claim 3 is proved.

In order to achieve a contradiction, we use (J_1) and (J_2) . Multiplying by $1/2$ the inequality (J_2) applied to u_n and adding (J_1)

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u_n^+) u_n^+ - F(u_n^+) \right] dx \leq C + \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|^2.$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2} f(u_n^+) u_n^+ - F(u_n^+)}{\|u_n\|^2} \right] dx \leq 0. \quad (0.1)$$

Since hypothesis (H) $_{g'}$, and using l'Hôpital's rule, we can write

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2} s f(s) - F(s)}{s f(s)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} =: \frac{1}{N} > 0. \quad (0.2)$$

Fixing $\varepsilon > 0$ and using (0.2), there exists C_ε such that

$$\begin{aligned} -C_\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon \right) \int_{\Omega} a^\pm(x) f(u_n^+) u_n^+ dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} a^\pm(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u_n^+) u_n^+ - F(u_n^+) \right] dx \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{N} + \varepsilon \right) \int_{\Omega} a^\pm(x) f(u_n^+) u_n^+ dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (a^+(x) - a^-(x)) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u_n^+) u_n^+ - F(u_n^+) \right] dx \\ &\geq -2C_\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon \right) \int_{\Omega} (a^+(x) - a^-(x)) f(u_n^+) u_n^+ dx \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a^-(x) f(u_n^+) u_n^+ dx, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon \right) \int_{\Omega} a(x) f(u_n^+) u_n^+ dx &\leq 2C_\varepsilon + \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u_n^+) u_n^+ - F(u_n^+) \right] dx \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a^-(x) f(u_n^+) u_n^+ dx, \end{aligned}$$

so, using (0.1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \frac{f(u_n^+) u_n^+}{\|u_n\|^2} dx \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a^-(x) \frac{f(u_n^+) u_n^+}{\|u_n\|^2} dx.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get a contradiction with claim 2 and claim 3. We have just proved that any Palais–Smale sequence (PS) is bounded. \square

We observe that Theorem 3.2 still holds under the more general (J_2) condition.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (b) Step 2. Existence of a classical positive solution for $\lambda = \Lambda$. We prove the existence of a solution for $\lambda = \Lambda$. First notice that for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \Lambda)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} J_\lambda[t\varphi_1]/t^2 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_1^2 dx < 0.$$

Consequently there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $J_\lambda[t\varphi_1] \leq 0$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$. Besides, we recall that $t\varphi_1$ is a subsolution of problem (1.1) for all $t > 0$ small enough. It follows that for each $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \Lambda)$, problem (1.1) $_\lambda$ admits a positive weak

solution \bar{u}_λ minimising J_λ in some interval of the form $[t\varphi_1, \bar{u}]$ with $t < t_0$ and \bar{u} a positive solution of (1.1) for a $\mu \in (\lambda, \Lambda)$. Hence $J_\lambda[\bar{u}_\lambda] \leq J_\lambda[t\varphi_1]$ and

$$J_\Lambda[\bar{u}_\lambda] = J_\lambda[\bar{u}_\lambda] + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \Lambda) \int_\Omega \bar{u}_\lambda^2 dx \leq J_\lambda[t\varphi_1] \leq 0.$$

Moreover

$$J'_\Lambda[\bar{u}_\lambda]\psi = J'_\lambda[\bar{u}_\lambda]\psi + (\lambda - \Lambda) \int_\Omega \bar{u}_\lambda \psi dx = (\lambda - \Lambda) \int_\Omega \bar{u}_\lambda \psi dx$$

so, for any sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda$, the sequence $(\bar{u}_{\lambda_n})_n$ satisfies (J_1) and (J_2) for the functional J_Λ . Since $\Lambda < \lambda_1(\text{int}(\Omega^0))$, by Proposition 3.1 the sequence $(\bar{u}_{\lambda_n})_n$ is bounded. Hence, using Theorem 3.2 of [1], there exists a function \bar{u}_Λ such that, up to a subsequence, $\bar{u}_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u_\Lambda$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. The possibility of $u_\Lambda = 0$ is ruled out by considering the sequence $v_n = \frac{\bar{u}_{\lambda_n}}{\|\bar{u}_{\lambda_n}\|}$ which will converge weakly to some $0 \leq v \neq 0$ since $1 = \Lambda\|v\|^2$, and satisfying, weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $-\Delta v = \Lambda v$, contradicting that $\Lambda > \lambda_1$. We conclude that \bar{u}_Λ is a positive solution of (1.1) for $\lambda = \Lambda$.

(c) *Case $\lambda = \lambda_1$.* A solution can be obtained by a Mountain pass as follows. As above, any sequence of solutions $\{u\}_n$ converging to 0 in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfies, up to a sub-sequence, $\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|} \rightarrow c\varphi_1$ for some $c > 0$. Then, using $(H)_0$ and l'Hôpital rule we have,

$$\lim_{\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_{\lambda_1}[u_n]}{\|u_n\|^p} = -L_1 c^p \int_\Omega a(x)\varphi_1^p dx > 0$$

so 0 is a strict local minimum of J_{λ_1} . Besides, by choosing suitably $u_0 \in C_0^1(\Omega^+)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J_{\lambda_1}[tu_0] = -\infty$. Since $\lambda_1 < \lambda_1(\text{int}(\Omega^0))$, J_{λ_1} satisfies the (PS) condition and we then infer the existence of a nontrivial solution of (1.1) for $\lambda = \lambda_1$. By the strong maximum principle, this solution is > 0 . \square

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Reference

[1] Cuesta, M., Pardo, R.: Positive solutions for slightly subcritical elliptic problems via Orlicz spaces. *Milan J. Math.* **90**(1), 229–255 (2022)

Correction to: Positive Solutions for Slightly

Mabel Cuesta
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées
Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale
62100 Calais
France
e-mail: mabel.cuesta@univ-littoral.fr

Rosa Pardo
Departamento de Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada
Universidad Complutense de Madrid
28040 Madrid
Spain
e-mail: rpardo@ucm.es

Received: July 13, 2023.
Accepted: August 17, 2023.