

Accepted Manuscript

‘Trapping and binding’: A review of the factors controlling the development of fossil agglutinated microbialites and their distribution in space and time

P. Suarez-Gonzalez, M.I. Benito, I.E. Quijada, R. Mas, S. Campos-Soto



PII: S0012-8252(18)30729-3
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.05.007>
Reference: EARTH 2863
To appear in: *Earth-Science Reviews*
Received date: 17 December 2018
Revised date: 6 May 2019
Accepted date: 7 May 2019

Please cite this article as: P. Suarez-Gonzalez, M.I. Benito, I.E. Quijada, et al., ‘Trapping and binding’: A review of the factors controlling the development of fossil agglutinated microbialites and their distribution in space and time, *Earth-Science Reviews*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.05.007>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



‘Trapping and binding’: A review of the factors controlling the development of fossil agglutinated microbialites and their distribution in space and time

Suarez-Gonzalez P.^{a,*}, Benito M.I.^{b,c}, Quijada I.E.^d, Mas R.^{b,c}, Campos-Soto S.^{b,c}

^a Área de Geología, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, C/Tulipán s/n, 28933 Móstoles, Spain

^b Departamento de Geodinámica, Estratigrafía y Paleontología, Facultad de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, C/José Antonio Novais 12, 28040 Madrid, Spain

^c Instituto de Geociencias IGEO (CSIC, UCM), C/Severo Ochoa 7, 28040 Madrid, Spain

^d Departamento de Geología, Universidad de Oviedo, C/Jesús Arias de Velasco s/n, 33005 Oviedo, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Stromatolites
Oncoids
Thrombolites
Fenestral laminites
Microbial mats
EPS
Agglutinated microbialites

ABSTRACT

Trapping and binding of allochthonous grains by benthic microbial communities has been considered a fundamental process of microbialite accretion since its discovery in popular shallow-marine modern examples (Bahamas and Shark Bay). However, agglutinated textures are rare in fossil microbialites and, thus, the role of trapping and binding has been debated in the last four decades. Recently, renewed attention on this subject has produced new findings of fossil agglutinated microbialites (those mainly formed by ‘trapping and binding’ and analogous to modern examples), but they are still few and geologically recent (post-Paleozoic) when compared to the 3.5 Gyr long record of microbialites. In order to better understand this discrepancy between modern and fossil examples, an extensive literature review is presented here, providing the first thorough database of agglutinated microbialites, which shows that all of them are formed in shallow-marine environments and most under tidal influence. In addition, a Lower Cretaceous example is described, including very diverse microbialites, each of them formed in a particular paleoenvironment. Some of these microbialites developed in grainy settings, but only those formed in marginal-marine tide-influenced environments accreted mainly by trapping and binding the surrounding grains, being analogous of modern agglutinated microbialites, and matching the environmental pattern observed in the literature database. The combination of the literature review with the case study allows to discuss the factors that control and enhance ‘trapping and binding’: a) occurrence of grains in the microbialite environment; b) frequent currents that mobilize the grains and supply them onto the microbialite surface; c) high concentration and diversity of electrolytes in the water to increase the adhesiveness of the extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) of the microbialite surface; and d) a CaCO₃ saturation state not high enough to promote early and strong carbonate precipitation within EPS, which would eventually decrease its availability to adhere grains. Therefore, this review shows that the keys to solve the ‘trapping and binding’ debate may be environmental, because the conjunction of these hydrodynamic and hydrochemical parameters is preferentially achieved in shallow-marine settings and especially in those influenced by tides, at least since Mesozoic times. This explains the limited environmental and stratigraphic distribution of microbialites mainly formed by ‘trapping and binding’, and opens new ways to look, geologically and microbiologically, at this process, so often cited and yet so rare.

1. Introduction

Microbialites are organosedimentary deposits formed or influenced by benthic microbial communities since ~3500 Ma (Burne and Moore, 1987; Riding et al., 1991a; Riding, 2011a; Dupraz et al., 2009, 2011).

Many different biotic and abiotic processes are involved in the development of these deposits (e.g. Monty, 1976; Riding, 2000, 2011a; Arp et al., 2001a, 2012; Dupraz et al., 2009, 2011; Bosak et al., 2013), but the original definition of ‘microbialites’ highlighted two specific roles of the microbial communities (e.g. microbial mats, biofilms) in their ori-

* Corresponding author.

Email address: pablo.suarez@urjc.es (P. Suarez-Gonzalez).

gin: “trapping and binding detrital sediment and/or forming the locus of mineral precipitation” (Burne and Moore, 1987). Mineral precipitation within microbial communities is currently considered the main driving mechanism of microbialite formation (e.g. Dupraz et al., 2009; Bosak, 2011; Reitner, 2011; Riding, 2011a), but the process of ‘trapping and binding’ of allochthonous particles by microbialites has received historically much attention because it is the dominant accretion process in the well-studied modern specimens of the Bahamas (e.g. Black, 1933; Dill et al., 1986; Reid et al., 2000) and Shark Bay, Australia (e.g. Logan, 1961; Reid et al., 2003; Jahnert and Collins, 2012). Despite the importance of ‘trapping and binding’ in these modern microbialites (Fig. 1), fossil analogues mostly formed by this process (i.e. agglutinated microbialites) are scarce and, thus, the significance of this process in the long geological record of microbialites has been debated

for more than four decades (e.g. Monty, 1972; Serebryakov and Semikhatov, 1974), with the consequent accumulation of different views and hypotheses and confusing terminologies.

Despite this long-standing debate about the process of grain agglutination by microbialites, the literature review presented here shows that it is not yet well understood: how, where and when do agglutinated microbialites exactly form?; which factors control them?; are those factors intrinsic (biotic) or extrinsic (environmental)? At the beginning of the current decade, Browne (2011) rekindled the debate by stating the ‘sediment dilemma’: “In comparing modern stromatolites with ancient examples, what do we do with the coarse sediment [that modern marine examples so profusely agglutinate]?” (p. 307). To answer that question, Browne (2011) suggested that it may be necessary to look not only at marine examples, but to combine them with examples from other set-




Fig. 1. Modern agglutinated microbialites. A: Field view of modern agglutinated stromatolites off Carbla Point (Shark Bay, Australia), about 20 cm high and in water about 2.5 m deep. Reproduced with permission from Playford et al. (2013), fig. 320. B: Cut section of an in situ agglutinated stromatolite in Highborne Cay (Bahamas), showing internal lamination. Knife for scale. Reproduced with permission from Andres and Reid (2006), Fig. 3D. C: Polished hand specimen of an agglutinated stromatolite from Hamelin Pool (Shark Bay, Australia), showing internal lamination. Reproduced with permission from Riding (2000), Fig. 9. D: Section of the microbial mat at the top of an intertidal agglutinated stromatolite from Lee Stocking Island (Bahamas), with cyanobacterial filaments in black and trapped grains in white. Note differences in grain abundance between the lower and upper part of the mat. Reproduced with permission from Browne et al. (2000), Fig. 3B. E: Section of the microbial mat at the top of an agglutinated thrombolite from Highborne Cay (Bahamas), showing remains of cyanobacterial filaments (black arrows) between the trapped grains. Reproduced with permission from Louyakis et al. (2017), Fig. 1B. F: Photomicrograph of a sample from an agglutinated mound from Highborne Cay (Bahamas), showing calcified remains of filaments (red arrows) between the trapped grains (dark colour). Reproduced with permission from Reid et al. (1995), Plate 7, Fig. 3B. G: Detailed photomicrograph of the poor preservation of cyanobacterial filaments (red arrows) in an agglutinated mat from Highborne Cay (Bahamas). Reproduced with permission from Planavsky et al. (2009), Fig. 5C. H: Photomicrograph of an intertidal agglutinated stromatolite from Stocking Island (Bahamas) showing thin micritic crusts (red arrows) separating grainy laminae. Reproduced with permission from Browne (2011), Fig. 1A. I: Detailed photomicrograph of thin micritic crusts (red arrows) associated with truncated and micritized grains, in a subtidal agglutinated stromatolite from Iguana Cay (Bahamas). Reproduced with permission from Reid et al. (1995), plate 7, Fig. 1B. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

tings (e.g. alkaline and/or hypersaline, p. 308). Following that suggestion, this article presents a dual approach aiming to provide new keys for this long-standing debate, through the combination of a thorough literature review (covering modern and fossil microbialites formed in different settings) with a fossil case study. The case study is especially relevant because it presents Lower Cretaceous coastal deposits that show an unusual diversity of microbialites (not only stromatolites, Fig. 2), some formed by trapping and binding of grains and some others not, but with the peculiarity that each of them is associated with a different paleoenvironment, ranging from freshwater to hypersaline and including shallow-marine examples, which allows to link each microbialite type to the specific factors that influenced their accretion processes. The combination of the results of this case study with the literature review shows that a complex interplay of factors is necessary for a microbialite to sustainably develop mainly through ‘trapping and binding’ (i.e. agglutinated microbialite), and it also shows that this process seems to have a strong environmental control, being highly influenced by the hydrodynamic and hydrochemical conditions where the microbialite develops. Furthermore, the exhaustive literature review of agglutinated microbialites (conducted here for the first time) suggests that the environmental conditions suitable for grain agglutination are most easily achieved in specific environments, mainly shallow marine, and especially those with tidal influence. Therefore, this study presents an in-depth approach to the four-decade long debate of agglutinated microbialites, not only pinpointing the factors that control the often-cited process of ‘trapping and binding’, but also opening new ways to discuss and to clarify the debate about its scariness and its temporal and spatial distribution throughout the geological record.

2. Terminology

The study field of microbialite research has now more than a century of history (Riding, 2011b) and during that time the discipline has accumulated a significant amount of terminology, which is continuously being discussed, revised and updated (e.g. Hofmann, 1969; Walter, 1972, 1976; Krumbein, 1983; Grey, 1989; Riding, 1999, 2011a; Dupraz et al., 2011; Myhrall et al., 2012; Harwood Theisen and Sumner, 2016). Therefore, it is always advisable to clarify the meaning of the terms and methods used. In this article, the characterization of microbialites will follow the traditional multiscale approach (e.g. Preiss, 1976; Grey, 1989; Shapiro, 2000; Vennin et al., 2015), separately describing the macroscopic (i.e. outcrop), mesoscopic (i.e. hand specimen) and microscopic (i.e. thin section) features. The general classification of microbialites (e.g. stromatolite, thrombolite, leiolite) will be based, as commonly done, on their internal structure at mesoscale (e.g. presence/absence of lamination; Kennard and James, 1986; Braga et al., 1995; Dupraz et al., 2011). More specific classifications within the general categories (e.g. micritic stromatolite, agglutinated thrombolite) are normally carried out according to microscopic features of microbialites (e.g. Riding, 1991, 2000, 2011a; Schmid, 1996; Dupraz et al., 2011). Here, the term ‘microfabric’ will be used to refer to the microscopic features of a particular area of a microbialite (e.g. a lamina, a clot), since it is a term traditionally used in carbonate petrology (e.g. Bathurst, 1993, and references therein) that encompasses both textural and structural aspects (Flügel, 2010, p. 177). Thus, the description of the different microfabrics analyzed in this article will emphasize the relationships between the different components observed under the microscope (e.g. grains, matrix, cements; cf. Rezak and Lavoie, 1990; Vennin et al., 2015; Harwood Theisen and Sumner, 2016). A microfabric of particular interest to this study is the ‘agglutinated microfabric’, and the microbialites dominated by this microfabric will be thus classified as ‘agglutinated microbialites’. The term ‘agglutinated’ was first applied to microbialites by (Riding et al. 1991a, p. 30) to refer to “stromatolites produced by trapping of particulate sediment” (Riding, 1999,

p. 325, changed ‘particulate sediment’ for ‘allochthonous particles’ in the definition), and it is preferred here to the term ‘coarse-grained’ (sensu Awramik and Riding, 1988, and often used as somehow synonymous, cf. Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014; Frantz et al., 2015), in order to avoid confusions with the Udden-Wentworth grain-size scale (cf. Folk, 1954). Thus, ‘agglutinated’ is applied here to microfabrics that are dominated by allochthonous particles that can be confidently interpreted as trapped and bound by the original microbial community that formed the microbialite (see criteria in Frantz et al., 2015). The scarcity of fossil analogues of the modern agglutinated microbialites (e.g. Bahamas and Shark Bay) is the basis of the long-standing debate investigated here (see sections 1 and 4), and therefore it is fundamental for this study that the fossil agglutinated microfabrics are clearly comparable with the modern ones (i.e. rich in allochthonous, silt- and sand-sized material). Some micritic microfabrics within microbialites have been locally interpreted as formed by trapping and binding of allochthonous micrite (e.g. Black, 1933; Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2012; Tosti and Riding, 2017) and classified as ‘fine-grained agglutinated’ (sensu Riding et al., 1991a). However, they are not considered here because they are very rarely described, because their interpretation is challenging (Riding, 2000; Tosti and Riding, 2017) and mostly dependent on the presence of clay and silt detrital particles accompanying the micrite (Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2012), and because they are not analogues of the aforementioned modern marine agglutinated examples.

3. Material and methods

The literature review presented here covers an extensive database, compiled by the authors, of research studies about microbialites of all ages and environmental settings. From this database, all the microbialite examples showing clear evidence of the accretion process of ‘trapping and binding’ were selected. Tables 1 and 2 show a compilation of all modern and fossil agglutinated microbialites (i.e. those predominantly formed by ‘trapping and binding’ of mostly carbonate particles, being analogous of the modern examples of the Bahamas and Shark Bay where that process was first described). Examples of microbialites only partially including agglutinated microfabrics or trapped grains within their microfabrics are not listed in the tables, but are included and discussed in the text.

The results presented from the Cretaceous Leza Formation to complement the literature review are based on detailed studies (see Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015), including geological mapping of the whole outcrop area of the unit (approximately 500 km², Figs. 3–4) and logging of 12 complete stratigraphic sections (Fig. 4), measured with a dm-resolution and logged at 1:100 scale (or larger where details made it necessary, especially in microbialite-bearing deposits). Throughout these sections and in additional relevant outcrops, > 800 samples (~200 of them from microbialites) were collected and at least one thin section (of 46 × 25 mm and/or 80 × 50 mm size) was prepared for each of them.

4. A brief history of ‘trapping and binding’: an old debate still unclear

The concept of microbialite accretion due to ‘trapping and binding’ of clastic sediment is rooted on the earliest works about modern marine microbialites, and it can be traced back to Black (1933), who studied coastal microbial structures in Andros Island (Bahamas). Some of these structures were interpreted as formed by “the colonization of newly deposited sediment by filamentous algae” (i.e. cyanobacteria), which “bind together the sediment” because they are “enclosed in a mucilaginous sheath, to which mineral particles very readily adhere”, and therefore “sediment brought into the region is at once trapped




Fig. 2. Table illustrating the diversity of macro-, meso- and microstructures of the microbialites observed in the Lower Cretaceous case study (Leza Fm). Colors used in the microbialite names (left column) refer to the paleoenvironments of the microbialites, using the same colour-code as in Figs. 4, 5 and 15.

Table 1

Compilation of the examples of modern agglutinated microbialites found in the literature, including the information provided by the authors about their environment and their macro-, meso- and microstructures.

Publications	Locality		Environment	Modern agglutinated microbialites: main features		
				Macroscale	Mesoscale	Microscale
Black (1933)	Bahamas	Northern Andros Island, Bahamas	Supratidal-intertidal zones of tidal flats. Brackish-normal marine salinity	Low, rounded domes, 2.5–5 cm high and 10–13 cm in diameter	Parallel or concentric dark-light lamination	Thicker light laminae (0.5–1.75 mm), composed of soft and uncemented grains (0.1–1 mm grain-size), separated by thinner (~0.1 mm), dark, green-brown, organic-rich laminae
Monty (1965, 1967)		Eastern Andros Island, Bahamas	Intertidal-subtidal zones of back-reef lagoon. Brackish-normal marine salinity	Flat mats, mamillated structures, domes, and knobby or subspherical bodies. Up to 10 cm in diameter and 5 cm thick	Non-laminated and laminated	Non-laminated: well-sorted grains bound by filaments and eventually capped by 0.1 mm thick biofilm. Laminated: alternating grainy laminae and 0.2–0.9 mm filamentous laminae
Gebelein (1976a)		Joulters Cay, Bahamas	Subtidal (< 1 m) shoal areas and tidal channels	Oncoids (1 mm – 10 cm)	Laminated	Thicker laminae with vertical filaments and abundant trapped grains and thinner laminae with horizontal filaments and less grains
Dravis (1983)		Eleuthera Bank, Bahamas	1–5 m deep, high-energy, subtidal, oolitic bars. Normal marine salinity	0.1–1 m high hemispheroidal columns and elongated ridges	Crudely laminated with coarse fenestrae and borings	Trapped and bound, highly micritized, oolitic sediment and calcified filaments
Dill et al. (1986)		Channel between Normans Pond Cay and Lee Stocking Island, Bahamas	7–8 m deep, high energy subtidal field of giant oolitic megaripples. Normal marine salinity	> 2 m high columns, individual or coalesced in fence-like rows	Convex-upward large-scale lamination and smaller-scale 1–4 cm-wide columns. Numerous voids and borings	Organically-bound and later cemented ooid and pelletal sand. Lamination caused by variations in grain size, cementation and alignment of pores
Riding et al. (1991b)		Mail Boat Channel and Iguana Cay Cut, Bahamas	6–8 m deep inter-island channels with subtidal ooid sand dunes. Normal marine salinity	< 2.5 m high columns and domes	Conspicuous but crude and discontinuous lamination (mm-scale) with borings	Oolitic and peloidal fine-medium-grained sand, baffled, trapped and bound by microbial mat
Reid and Browne (1991)		Eastern Stocking Island, Bahamas	Lower intertidal zone landwards of a fringing-reef complex. Normal marine salinity	≤ 0.5 m high, tabular forms and domes (0.5–1 m in diameter)	Discontinuous, wavy and often bored mm-scale lamination	Laminae are 1–3 mm thick, composed of carbonate sand (0.08–0.2 mm); peloids and skeletal fragments. Lamination is defined by differential lithification
Feldmann (1995, 1997), Feldmann and McKenzie (1998)		Lee Stocking Island and its NW channel, Bahamas	Subtidal (3–8 m deep) channel with ooid-sand dune fields and intertidal sandy embayment	Subtidal: up to 2 m high columns. Intertidal: few cm high columns	Laminated (stromatolitic) and non-laminated (thrombolitic) fabrics, even within the same specimen	Stromatolites: Clastic laminae (ooids, peloids, bioclasts, 0.1–0.2 mm grain-size) > 1 mm thick, and micritic laminae < 250 μm thick. Calcified filaments. Thrombolites: Micritic mesoclots few mm in size with calcified filaments and grains in pockets between mesoclots
Reid et al. (1995), Macintyre et al. (2000)		Exuma Cays, Bahamas	Intertidal back-reefs, reef-flats and beaches, and subtidal (up to 10 m deep) sandy-embayments and passes with ooid shoals	Columnar, molar, linear, tabular and ridge-conforming shapes, up to 2.5 m high	Lamination (mm-scale) with knobby and digitate structures. Non-laminated thrombolites	Agglutinated carbonate fine sand (0.1–0.25 mm). Unlithified mm-scale laminae separated by lithified laminae, composed of thin (20–40 μm) micritic crusts overlying 0.2–1 mm of micritized grains. Some calcified filaments
Reid et al. (2000), Visscher et al. (2000), Andres and Reid (2006), Planavsky et al. (2009), Bowlin et al. (2012)		Highborne Cay, Bahamas	Back-reef zone (< 1 m deep) of fringing-reef complex. Normal marine salinity	Ridges and columnar heads up to 0.5 m high	Lamination (mm-scale) formed by differences in lithification	Unlithified 1–5 mm thick laminae of trapped carbonate sand grains and lithified laminae, composed of thin (10–60 μm) micritic crusts overlying 1–2 mm of fused, microbored and micritized grains. Poorly preserved calcified filaments

Table 1 (Continued)

Publications	Locality		Environment	Modern agglutinated microbialites: main features		
				Macroscale	Mesoscale	Microscale
Planavsky and Ginsburg (2009)	Adderley Channel, Bahamas		Subtidal (5–10 m deep) oolitic sand bar	Up to 2.5 m tall isolated columns and bioherms made up of coalesced columns	Stromatolites: poorly defined lamination. Thrombolites: patchy laminations, clotted appearance and vugs with or without sediment-filling	Stromatolites: laminae of uncemented fine-grained ooids and peloids, and laminae of cemented and micritized grains. Thrombolites: clots formed by dense clusters of sand grains and cements (micritic and fibrous aragonitic)
Ginsburg et al. (1954)	Florida, USA	Florida Keys and Florida Bay	Open intertidal rocky platforms (normal marine salinity) and island mud flats (brackish to hypersaline)	Flat mats and domes	Alternating dark organic-rich laminae and light sediment-rich laminae, with bubbles, undulations and unconformities	Laminae are 0.3–16 mm thick, and composed of detrital sediment (10–700 μ m) and microbial organic matter as interstitial matter. No carbonate precipitation
Ginsburg (1960)		Florida Bay, Rodriguez Bank, Sandy Cay and Rickenbacker Causeway	Subtidal bay and sand bars (0.5–2.5 m deep), and intertidal flats and shoals	Stromatolitic domes and algal biscuits (oncoids)	Concentric, domal or crenulated lamination with boring/burrowing cavities	Sediment-rich laminae (lime clay, silt and fine-sand) up to 1 mm thick and organic-rich laminae up to 0.6 mm
Gebelein (1977)		Cape Sable, Florida	High intertidal zone of open mud flats	Flat-topped heads and hemispherical domes up to 6 cm high	Convex upward light-dark lamination that thins and pinches out along the sides. Abundant burrows	Thicker (200–500 μ m) light laminae of peloidal bioclastic sediment (~50 μ m grain size) and thinner (50–100 μ m) dark laminae rich in microbial organic matter
Gebelein (1969, 1976a)	Castle Roads, Bermuda Islands		Subtidal (1–8 m deep) channels between islands covered by rippled sand. Normal marine salinity	Head-shaped biscuits (< 6 cm high) and ellipsoidal domes (< 30 cm high)	Biscuits: convex upward smooth lamination of alternating grain-rich and organic-rich laminae. Domes: crude lamination	Laminae rich in trapped and bound sediment particles are 0.8–3 mm thick, organic-rich laminae with less grains are 75–200 μ m thick. No carbonate precipitation
Kinsman and Park (1976)	Persian Gulf near Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates		Intertidal pools and channels and subtidal (< 40 cm deep) high-salinity areas	Smooth and flat cyanobacterial mats often desiccated and broken into polygons	Clear light-dark lamination	Thick (up to 1 cm) light layers rich in carbonate grains and thin (< 0.5 mm) dark organic layers with dispersed grains
Jones and Goodbody (1985)	Pease Bay, Grand Cayman Island, Cayman Islands		Sides of seagrass banks, up to 1.5 m deep, facing strong currents	Elongate domal oncoids, up to 42 mm long, with a flattened base	Very vague lamination	Bioclastic, medium-sized (0.2–0.5 mm) sand (often micritized), trapped and bound by mucilaginous organic matter
Logan (1961), Logan et al. (1964), Logan et al. (1974)	Shark Bay	Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Intertidal and supratidal zones of a large, enclosed, hypersaline, marine embayment. Only locally in some shallow subtidal (< 2 m deep)	Flat-lying sheets, and relief structures (up to ~2 m tall) with various shapes: ridge and rill, ellipsoidal, club-shaped, calyx and columns	Crude convex-up lamination with porous, semi-indurated to indurated structure	Silt to very-fine grained detrital debris bound into the laminated structure by microbial mats and cemented by aragonite
Davies (1970)		Gladstone Embayment, Shark Bay, Australia	Outer intertidal zone and intertidal channels	Smooth and flat cyanobacterial mats	Flat, wavy or crinkly lamination with colour banding	Sediment-rich laminae with fine- to medium-grained skeletal and pelletal sands, often graded. Organic-rich laminae show palisade filamentous structure
Playford and Cockbain (1976), Playford (1979), Playford et al. (2013)		Flagpole Landing, Carbla Point and Booladah Well in Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Subtidal (up to ~4 m deep) and intertidal zones of a barred marine embayment, especially in the more saline areas	Flat algal mats and club-shaped, conical and cylindrical columns (< 0.75 m tall), often elongate forming ridges	Unlaminated (thrombolites) and laminated (stromatolites), but most show only crude lamination	Trapped and bound sedimentary material, typically with fenestral fabrics. Lithification increases downwards due to aragonite cementation
Hoffman (1976)		Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Shallow subtidal (up to 2 m deep), intertidal and lower supratidal zones of a hypersaline marine embayment	Stratiform sheets, ovoid patches, circular and elongate columns (up to 1 m relief), and ridge-and-rill structures	Unlaminated, laminated, and digitate columnar internal structures	Trapped and/or bound sand, with irregular fenestrate fabrics, and simple-, scallop-, ribbon- or multiconvex-lamination. Intergranular aragonite precipitation

Table 1 (Continued)

Publications	Locality		Environment	Modern agglutinated microbialites: main features		
				Macroscale	Mesoscale	Microscale
Golubic (1985)	Shark Bay	Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Subtidal and intertidal zones of a hypersaline marine embayment	Often elongated, headlike and domal (up to 1 m high) stratiform structures and flat cushions	Laminated, perforated and fenestrated	Entrapped sediment (mostly carbonate skeletal fragments) and carbonate precipitation, which occurs either as crusts in distinct horizons, or as isolated concretions that later spread
Awramik and Riding (1988)		Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Subtidal and intertidal zones of a hypersaline marine embayment	Subtidal: cylindrical, domal and club-shaped columns, occasionally branching. Intertidal: Club-shaped columns.	Subtidal: poorly laminated with coarse laminoid fenestrae. Intertidal: finely laminated or unlaminated with irregular fenestrae	Subtidal: coarse- to very coarse-grained (0.5–2 mm) bioclastic-ooidal sand. Intertidal: fine-grained (125–250 μm) peloidal-ooidal sand lithified by aragonite cements
Reid et al. (2003)		Flagpole Landing and Carbla Point, Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Subtidal (up to 3–4 m deep) and intertidal zones of a shallow, broad, hypersaline marine embayment	Columns and mounds up to 1 m tall	Subtidal: lamination, although early obliterated. Lower intertidal: couplets of cemented and uncemented laminae. Mid-upper intertidal: patchy, non-laminated.	Subtidal: clotted micrite microfabrics with fenestrae. Lower intertidal: uncemented sandy laminae and thinner (20–500 μm thick) cemented and micritized laminae. Mid-upper intertidal: patches of grains with micritic matrix and fenestrae
Jahnert and Collins (2011, 2012, 2013)		Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Subtidal (up to 2.5 m deep) and intertidal zones of a hypersaline restricted embayment	Mats, ridges, subspherical heads, domes and columns with prismatic, ellipsoidal, calyx or club-shaped morphologies (up to 1.5 m high)	From well- or coarse-laminated stromatolitic fabrics to irregularly clotted thrombolitic and non-laminated cryptomicrobial fabrics	Laminated: grainy ooid-peloid-bioclastic laminae (2–10 mm thick) alternate with thin lithified micritic laminae (with micritized and fused grains). Non-laminated: subspherical patches of micrite, grainy clusters and abundant boring. Aragonite cement fills porosity
Burne and Johnson (2012)		Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Subtidal (up to ~3 m deep) and intertidal zones of a shallow, hypersaline, isolated, marine basin	Subtidal: isolated club-shaped forms and complex mounds (< 1.5 m high). Intertidal: head-like and domical forms often elongated	Subtidal: coarsely laminated (stromatolites). Intertidal: laminated (stromatolites) and unlaminated (thrombolites)	Not studied
Hagan (2015), Suosaari et al. (2016a, 2016b)		Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Australia	Subtidal (up to ~4 m deep), intertidal and supratidal zones of a shallow, hypersaline, restricted, marine embayment	Extensive stratiform stromatolite sheets, and columnar stromatolites (individual or merged in tabular or elongate microbial buildups)	Poorly lithified (stratiform sheets) and lithified (columnar forms). Both can be laminated, moderately laminated or unlaminated	Different proportions of grains (peloids, ooids, bioclasts and quartz), red/brown micrite with dark inclusions and gray clotted micrite. Micritic crusts with micritized and fused grains. Locally micritic filaments
Sprachta et al. (2001)	Tikehau Atoll, French Polynesia		Flanks of reef-pinnacles and small islets within an atoll lagoon, up to 26 m in depth. Normal marine salinity	Hemispherical and biscuit-shaped domes (up to 10 cm high)	Uneven layered fabrics with discontinuities. Locally, regular lamination	Fine-grained (up to 150 μm) detrital bioclastic particles trapped and bound within the organic network, and micropeloids and micritic patches of carbonate precipitates
Bouton et al. (2016a, 2016b)	Cayo Coco Island, Cuba		Shallow pond (< 75 cm deep) of a hypersaline lagoonal network	Flat mats and hemispheroid, cerebriform or terrace structures (up to 20 cm relief)	Laminated	Alternating laminae rich in bioclastic-peloidal sand and laminae rich in microbially induced carbonate precipitation

amongst the filaments” (p. 168). Ginsburg et al. (1954) later found microbial structures in South Florida that also accreted by ‘trapping and binding’, and they were the first to suggest an extrapolation of this process to the fossil record, proposing two main criteria for the recognition of ancient stromatolites: “detrital texture” of the laminae and “structures indicative of sediment binding” (pp. 30–31). Logan (1961) recognized columnar shallow-marine stromatolites in Shark Bay (Australia) formed by “binding of mechanically deposited sediment” (p. 517) and, using these examples as referents, Logan et al. (1964) proposed a general definition of stromatolites as “laminated organosedimentary structures composed of particulate sand, silt, and clay-size sediment, which have been formed by the trapping and binding of detrital sediment particles” (pp. 69, 81). Further studies of these modern

examples (Fig. 1), and the recognition of similar agglutinated microbialites in other modern shallow-marine settings such as Bermuda (Gebelein, 1969, 1976a), led to what Monty (1977) called the “trapping and binding dogma” (p. 22): the assumption that most fossil examples would also have mainly formed by trapping and binding of particles. Quite early in the evolution of that idea, some researchers were already opposing it (see Monty, 1972, 1977; Serebryakov and Semikhatov, 1974; and references therein), noting that agglutinated textures are very rare in fossil microbialites, a claim that kept being supported later (see Awramik and Riding, 1988; Ginsburg, 1991). In fact, it was not until the 90's (Riding et al., 1991a) that a clear fossil agglutinated microbialite, analogous to modern specimens, was described.

Table 2

Compilation of the examples of fossil agglutinated microbialites, clear analogues of the modern examples, found in the literature, including the information provided by the authors about their paleoenvironments and their macro-, meso- and microstructures. Fossil microbialites only partially including agglutinated grains are discussed in the text, but not listed in this table.

Publications	Age and locality		Environment	Fossil agglutinated microbialites: main features		
				Macroscale	Mesoscale	Microscale
Pederson et al. (2015)	Late Pliocene. Maré Island, New Caledonia		Low-energy but periodically agitated, back-reef environment	Rounded to subrounded oncoids, 0.5–6.5 mm in diameter	Crudely-laminated or massive micritic cortex (up to 3 mm thick) around lithoclastic or bioclastic nucleus	Cortices are mainly composed of dense micrite with an irregular and crude lamination, and abundant trapped and bound sediment and skeletal fragments
Riding et al. (1991a)	Messinian, Almería (Spain)	Late Messinian (Miocene). El Joyazo, Almería, Spain	Shallow, wave-swept oolitic shoals on a platform. Probably normal marine salinity	Smooth inflated hemispherical domes up to 1.5 m high and 4 m wide.	Well-laminated fabrics (stromatolites) and irregular, blotchy and porous fabrics (thrombolites). They pass vertically into each other with sharp contacts	Fine-medium-grained peloids and ooids (packstone-grainstone fabrics). Stromatolites: small fenestrae and locally encrusting forams. Thrombolites: large fenestrae and locally bushy micrite patches
Braga et al. (1995)		Late Messinian (Miocene). Gochar and Cariatiz, Almería, Spain	Shallow wave-swept shelf and deeper storm-swept slope with oolitic shoals and siliciclastic fan-deltas. High-energy environment with normal marine salinity	Hemispherical and steep-sided domes up to 3 m high and 11 m across	Laminated fabrics (stromatolites), clotted fabrics (thrombolites) and structureless (leiolites)	Different mixtures of: a) fine-grained to pebble-size (commonly medium-coarse-grained) siliciclastic grains and ooids; b) dense, bushy or clotted micrite; c) fenestrae
Bourillot (2009), Bourillot et al. (2010a, 2010b)		Late Messinian (Miocene). Sorbas, Cariatiz and Las Negras, Almería, Spain	High energy, tide-dominated oolitic shoals in the shoreface-foreshore, and moderate energy, shallow subtidal-intertidal lagoon/mud-flat. Salinity ranging from normal marine to fluctuating and slightly hypersaline	Crusts, stacked hemispheroids and columns (up to 4 m high and 3.5 m wide)	Laminated fabrics (stromatolites) and unlaminated/clotted fabrics (thrombolites). Both may occur within the same microbialite. <i>Lithophaga</i> perforations	Trapped and bound silt-sand-sized ooids, peloids, bioclasts and siliciclastic grains. Micrite precipitation, either laminated or clotted
Goldstein et al. (2013)		Late Messinian (Miocene). La Molata and Rellana-Ricardillo, Almería, Spain	High-energy, near-shore environment with normal marine salinity, and low-high energy near-shore, probably restricted, environment	Thrombolites up to 5 m thick and stromatolites up to 70 cm thick	Dark clotted texture: thrombolites. Fine planar lamination (stromatolites), locally with digitate structure	Thrombolites: peloids, ooids and bioclasts. Stromatolites: alternating coarser/finer laminae with ooids, peloids, micrite and volcanoclastic grains
Arenas and Pomar (2010)	Late Tortonian-Early Messinian (Miocene). Punta des Bous, Mirador des Pontàs and S'Estret des Temps, SE Mallorca, Spain		Shallow-water subtidal back-reef with alternating calm and agitated periods (wavy and flaser bedding). Normal marine salinity	Undulate oolitic microbial laminites (8 cm to 1 m thick) and irregular domes (up to 5 m thick), which widen from base to top (1–2.5 m wide)	Laminites: undulated and flat laminations. Domes: massive (thrombolitic) structure with crudely-laminated convex-up bands	Laminites: thick (< 2 cm) grainstone laminae (medium-grained ooids and peloids) and thin (30–60 µm) dark, massive or clotted micritic laminae. Thrombolites: grainy (ooids, peloids and bioclasts) and micritic clots with large pores and micro-cavities
Suarez-Gonzalez et al. (2014, 2016a), this article	Late Barremian-Early Aptian (Early Cretaceous). Arnedillo-Préjano area, La Rioja, Spain		Distal, shallow and tide-influenced areas of coastal wetlands. Predominance of seawater but probable changes in salinity due to freshwater input and/or evaporation	Stratiform and laterally-linked domes up to 0.4 m high and 0.7 m across	Clear lamination (stromatolites) formed by alternating dark and light laminae (0.5–4 mm thick)	Thick (< 4 mm) laminae composed by different proportions of grains (ooids, peloids, bioclasts, intraclasts and rare quartz grains), clotted-peloidal micrite, and poorly-preserved calcified filaments; and thinner (25–500 µm, average 140 µm) micritic crusts. Rare pseudomorphs after sulfates (gypsum/anhydrite)
Matyszkiewicz et al. (2006, 2012)	Oxfordian (Late Jurassic), Smoleń (Zegarowe Rocks), Czajowice, and Zabierzów, Poland		Microbial-sponge carbonate buildups on tectonic intraplatform highs. Shallow, normal marine, euphotic and high-energy environment, evolving into a more restricted periodically emerging intertidal flat	Thrombolitic buildups and agglutinated stromatolites, ca. 10 cm thick with vast lateral extent	Thrombolites: massive structure with stromatactis-like cavities. Agglutinated stromatolites: horizontal and variably dipping laminae 1–3 mm thick, with few fenestrae and local bioturbation.	Microbially-stabilized grains: ooids, peloids, micro-oncoids, bioclasts and coprolites (0.2–1 mm diameter). The top of agglutinated laminae shows thin micritized laminae or crusts of dense micropeloidal micrite

Table 2 (Continued)

Publications	Age and locality	Environment	Fossil agglutinated microbialites: main features		
			Macroscale	Mesoscale	Microscale
Mercedes Martín (2013), Mercedes-Martín et al. (2013a, 2013b, 2014)	Ladinian (Middle Triassic), Catalan Coastal Ranges, Spain	Internal fore-shoals in the inner ramp: shallow marine environment (intertidal to subtidal) with alternation of high-energy and stasis conditions (herringbone and wavy lamination)	Low-relief hemielliptical buildups, stratiform stromatolites and microbial laminites (up to 3 m thick)	Vertically-stacked, planar parallel and domal lamination (flat and undulate), mm to cm thick, with birdseye and fenestral fabrics and borings	Alternating grainy laminae (ooids, peloids, intraclasts, quartz grains), up to dm-thick, and dark massive or clotted micritic laminae, up to 2 cm thick
Woods (2013)	Spathian (late Olenekian, Early Triassic). Horse Spring Valley, Nevada, USA	Intertidal and shallow subtidal areas of a carbonate ramp	Tabular beds	Weak lamination at 2–3 mm scale, with an open fenestral fabric	Amalgamation of allochems: peloids, oncoids, ooids, intraclasts and rare skeletal grains
Vennin et al. (2015)	Smithian (early Olenekian, Early Triassic). Mineral Mountains, Utah, USA	Intertidal-subtidal high energy shoal and tide-dominated platform	Planar to wavy contorted crusts up to 50 cm thick	Vertically-stacked irregularly undulated planar, wavy to bulbous lamination with stromatactoid and sheet-like cavities	Grain-rich (ooids, oncoids and bioclasts) mm-thick laminae and thinner micritic or peloidal laminae. Small to large fenestrae
Chow and George (2004)	Famennian (Late Devonian). Chedda Cliffs, Canning Basin, W Australia	High-energy shallow-subtidal back-reef to reef-flat environment of a carbonate platform.	Nearly symmetrical (tepee-shaped) mounds, 0.3–1.3 m high and < 2 m wide, with flat bases and chevron-shaped crest	Fenestral clotted fabric (thrombolitic) and wavy laminated (laminae < 5 mm thick) fabric (stromatolitic), both within the same mound	Trapped and bound silt- to pebble-sized allochems (peloids, ooids, pisoids, oncoids, intraclasts and calcispheres). Thrombolite fabrics show clotted-micrite matrix between grains. Stromatolite fabrics show thin laminae of micrite or clotted micrite at the top of grainy laminae

In the last decades, the knowledge about modern agglutinated microbialites has increased significantly (e.g. Dravis, 1983; Dill et al., 1986; Riding et al., 1991b; Reid et al., 1995, 2000, 2003; Macintyre et al., 1996, 2000; Feldmann and McKenzie, 1998; Sprachta et al., 2001; Planavsky and Ginsburg, 2009; Jahnert and Collins, 2012, 2013; Suosaari et al., 2016a; see Table 1), but fossil counterparts are still rarely described (Table 2). Despite this scarcity, and although nowadays it is widely recognized that the main process of microbialite development is in situ mineral precipitation within microbial communities (e.g. Dupraz et al., 2009; Bosak, 2011; Reitner, 2011; Riding, 2011a), the ‘trapping and binding dogma’ seems to be still permeated in the general geological literature, as it can be seen in popular science books (e.g. Zalasiewicz, 2009, p. 97), many textbooks (e.g. Chernicoff et al., 1997, p. 934; Lunine, 1999, p. 134; Marshak, 2009, p. 301; Stanley, 2009, p. 119; Grotzinger and Jordan, 2014, p. 295; Tarbuck and Lutgens, 2014, p. 760), and even in more specialized sedimentological works (e.g. Collinson et al., 2006, p. 174). Maybe because of this persistence of the ‘dogma’, the debate about the actual relevance of ‘trapping and binding’ has recently gained new attention (Browne, 2011; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014; Frantz et al., 2015), trying to shed light on this peculiar organo-sedimentary process, ubiquitous in scientific literature but elusive through geologic time.

5. The present and past of ‘trapping and binding’

Here, the published examples of modern and ancient agglutinated microbialites (summarized in Tables 1 and 2), and even of those only partially including grains in some of their microfabrics, are compiled, compared and discussed.

5.1. Modern examples of agglutinated microbialites

The fact that sedimentary particles adhere very easily to the sticky surface of benthic microbial communities has long been realized (e.g. Carey and Oliver, 1918). Nevertheless, the discovery of agglutinated microbialites (accretionary organosedimentary structures with positive relief that build up mainly by binding successive layers of adjacent par-

ticles) is due to Black (1933), who described small domes on the coast of Andros Island (Bahamas), which were internally formed by grain-rich laminae (Table 1; Fig. 1D–I). And so was born the concept of microbialite accretion by ‘trapping and binding’, but it did not attain its later repercussion until Ginsburg et al. (1954) and Logan (1961) described similar structures in coastal settings of Florida (USA) and Shark Bay (Australia), respectively, proposing them as analogues for the whole geological record of microbialites. Since then, the specimens of the Bahamas (e.g. Monty, 1965, 1967; Dravis, 1983; Dill et al., 1986; Reid and Browne, 1991; Riding et al., 1991b; Feldmann, 1995, 1997; Feldmann and McKenzie, 1998; Reid et al., 1995, 2000; Macintyre et al., 2000; Visscher et al., 2000; Andres and Reid, 2006; Planavsky et al., 2009; Planavsky and Ginsburg, 2009; Bowlin et al., 2012), Shark Bay (e.g. Logan et al., 1964, 1974; Davies, 1970; Playford and Cockbain, 1976; Hoffman, 1976; Playford, 1979; Golubic, 1985; Awramik and Riding, 1988; Reid et al., 2003; Jahnert and Collins, 2011, 2012, 2013; Burne and Johnson, 2012; Playford et al., 2013; Hagan, 2015; Suosaari et al., 2016a, 2016b), and Florida (Ginsburg, 1960; Gebelein, 1977) have been intensively studied (Table 1; Fig. 1), but very few further examples have been found so far: Bermuda Islands (Gebelein, 1969, 1976a), Persian Gulf (Kinsman and Park, 1976), French Polynesia (Sprachta et al., 2001), and Cuba (Bouton et al., 2016a). These examples are all agglutinated stromatolites, thrombolites or flat-laminated microbial mats, but also agglutinated oncoids have locally been described on the coasts of Florida (Ginsburg, 1960), the Bahamas (Gebelein, 1976a), and the Cayman Islands (Jones and Goodbody, 1985).

The environments where all modern agglutinated microbialites have been observed are consistently coastal and very shallow marine (normally < 10 m deep, but on average < 2 m, Table 1; Fig. 1), with brackish, normal-marine or hypersaline salinities, and generally with tidal influence or within restricted lagoons (Table 1). This limited environmental distribution contrasts with the wide range of settings in which modern microbialites occur outside of the marine realm (e.g. Golubic, 1991; Dupraz et al., 2011; Della Porta, 2015; Chagas et al., 2016). In all these varied settings, ‘trapping and binding’ of grains is very rarely cited as a relevant accretion process in modern micro-




Fig. 3. Geological setting of the case study presented in this work. A: Simplified geological map of the Iberian Peninsula, highlighting the location of the Cameros Basin within the Mesozoic Iberian Extensional System (MIES). B: Geological map of the Cameros Basin (modified after Mas et al., 2011) highlighting in green the outcrops of the Leza Fm, at the northern margin of the basin. Red square marks the location of the map included in D and in Fig. 4. C: Litho-chronostratigraphic chart of the eastern area of the Cameros Basin, modified after Mas et al. (2011). DS: depositional sequences. D: Reconstruction of the stratigraphic and paleogeographic relationships of the case study presented here (Leza Fm) with its adjacent units (see Fig. 3C), showing that the Jubera and Leza Fms are associated with the faulting and erosion of the Jurassic substrate of the basin. Modified after Suarez-Gonzalez et al. (2013). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

bialites, which do not show clear well-developed agglutinated microfabrics, since grains are in general only locally or sporadically included within them (e.g. Jones, 1991; Winsborough et al., 1994; Castro-Contreras et al., 2014; Della Porta, 2015; Brasier et al., 2018). In fact, since the very first description of agglutinated microbialites, Black (1933) noted this interesting contrast in the environmental distribution of ‘trapping and binding’, describing not only the marginal-marine agglutinated specimens (see previous section 4), but also other structures developing in neighbouring freshwater settings, which consisted of “radiating filaments, without much interstitial sediment” (p. 170; and which were further studied by Monty, 1967, 1972, and Monty and Hardie, 1976). Therefore, the present-day microbialite record suggests an environmental distribution of the accretion process of ‘trapping and

binding’ and of agglutinated microbialites, limited to coastal and shallow-marine settings, commonly with tidal influence.

5.2. Fossil analogues of modern agglutinated microbialites

Despite the popularity of the ‘trapping and binding’ process in the microbialite literature since the 60’s, it was not until the 90’s that actual fossil agglutinated microbialites, clearly analogous to modern counterparts, were described, and their geological record is still relatively poor (Table 2). Riding et al. (1991a) showed that ‘trapping and binding’ was the main accretion process of large Messinian (Miocene) microbialites in Almería, SE Spain. These specimens became very popular and have been further studied (Braga et al., 1995; Bourillot, 2009; Bourillot et al., 2010a, 2010b; Goldstein et al., 2013), being interpreted




Fig. 4. Stratigraphic sections logged in the Leza Fm with their geographical location (red dots) shown in the map at the right side (see location in Fig. 3B). Modified after Suarez-Gonzalez et al. (2015). Colors in the sections and in the inset map (equivalent to the colour-code of Figs. 2, 5 and 15) are related to the different paleoenvironments interpreted for the unit, as described in the text. Colored arrows mark the stratigraphic location of the studied microbialites. Note that some paleoenvironments and some microbialites have a widespread distribution throughout the unit, whereas others only occur in particular geographical sectors (as highlighted in the inset map). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

as formed in shallow-marine high-energy environments, in which the relative influence of waves, storms and tides is debated (Table 2). Similar Miocene agglutinated microbialites have been described in Mallorca (E Spain) by Arenas and Pomar (2010), being also interpreted as formed in shallow-marine environments with alternating calm and agitated periods. Other Miocene microbialites that may not be classified as agglutinated, but which also include some allochthonous grains in their microfabrics are described by Irtem (1987) from tidal-flat paleoenvironments of E Saudi Arabia. In addition to these Miocene examples, Pliocene shallow-marine oncoids from an uplifted atoll in New Caledonia display micritic microfabrics with abundant trapped grains (Pederson et al., 2015).

Older than the Miocene, agglutinated microbialites are very scarce. Suarez-Gonzalez et al. (2014, 2016a) described Lower Cretaceous agglutinated stromatolites from La Rioja (Spain), deposited in the distal tide-influenced areas of coastal wetlands. Some Upper Jurassic agglutinated microbialites have been cited by Matyszkiewicz et al. (2006, 2012) in shallow, high-energy marine paleoenvironments from Poland, and three Triassic examples of agglutinated microbialites have been described (Woods, 2013, Nevada, USA; Vennin et al., 2015, Utah, USA; Mercedes Martín, 2013; Mercedes-Martín et al., 2013a, 2013b, 2014, NE Spain), all of them formed in environments interpreted to be shallow-marine and commonly with tidal influence. Throughout the Mesozoic, there are other examples of microbialites that include trapped grains only in some of their microfabrics (Achauer and Johnson, 1969; Leinfelder et al., 1994; Herrmann, 1996; Immenhauser et al., 2005; Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2012; Quijada et al., 2015, 2016), but which are not considered agglutinated microbialites as a whole. All of these partly-agglutinated Mesozoic examples are also interpreted to be de-

posited in shallow-marine peritidal settings, with the exception of those described by Acosta et al. (1988) and Massari and Westphal (2011) in Jurassic deep-marine *Ammonitico Rosso* facies, which also show trapped and bound grains in some of their microfabrics.

In Paleozoic rocks there is, to our knowledge, only one example of microbialites almost exclusively formed by trapping and binding of grains: the one described by Chow and George (2004) from the Upper Devonian of W Australia, interpreted as deposited in high-energy shallow-subtidal settings. Beside this case, other Paleozoic microbialites, formed in shallow-marine peritidal settings (Słowakiewicz et al., 2013, Upper Permian; Wright and Wright, 1985, Lower Carboniferous; Warusavitharana and Parcell, 2013, Lower Ordovician; Harwood Theisen and Sumner, 2016, Cambrian) have been described to include allochthonous particles only in some of their microfabrics, and therefore are not analogues of modern agglutinated examples.

Also not clearly analogous to modern agglutinated examples (which trap mainly carbonate particles) are some rare cases of microbialites that include siliciclastic sediment. These 'sandstone' (sensu Davis, 1968), 'sandy' (sensu Schwarz et al., 1975) or 'siliciclastic' (sensu Martín et al., 1993) microbialites have been described in the Miocene (Martín et al., 1993; Braga and Martín, 2000) and the Cretaceous (Marcinowski and Szulczewski, 1972) but mainly, although sporadically, through the Paleozoic: in the Cambrian (Soudry and Weissbrod, 1995), Ordovician (Davis, 1968), Devonian (Draganits and Noffke, 2004), Carboniferous (Bertrand-Sarfati, 1994), and Permian (Harwood, 1990). All these examples were formed in environments interpreted to be shallow-marine or coastal, and most of them with tidal influence.

The aforementioned examples show that purely agglutinated microbialites are quite rare during the Phanerozoic (Table 2), but their

scarcity is much more remarkable throughout their 3000 Myr-long Precambrian history. Throughout this long period, trapping and binding was an infrequent accretion process (Awramik and Riding, 1988; Sami and James, 1996; Knoll and Semikhatov, 1998; Altermann, 2008; Planavsky and Grey, 2008; Bosak et al., 2013), with few mentions of microbialites that only partially or locally include grains within their microfabrics (Walter, 1972; Horodyski, 1976; Fairchild, 1991; Allwood et al., 2009; Martindale et al., 2015; Fedorchuk et al., 2016; Siah et al., 2016), thus being not clear analogues of the modern marine examples.

In summary, the literature review presented here shows that fossil agglutinated microbialites have been continuously rare through Earth history, in comparison with the abundant and diverse spectrum of fossil microbialites. The few described agglutinated examples seem to have consistently formed in shallow- and marginal-marine settings under agitated conditions, commonly with tidal influence (Table 2). Moreover, the non-agglutinated microbialites that include trapped grains in some of their microfabrics are also mostly found in shallow-marine facies, with those of the Jurassic *Ammonitico Rosso* being the exception to this pattern. In fact, the literature also shows that in non-marine paleoenvironments allochthonous grains are rarely a significant component of microbialite microfabrics (Frantz et al., 2014; Bunevich et al., 2017; Vennin et al., 2018). When grains are observed, it is commonly not in accretionary laminae but filling primary cavities within the microbialite structure (e.g. Freytet and Plet, 1996; Freytet, 2000; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2016a), or in cases where marine influence has been suggested and should not be ruled out (Arp et al., 2005). Therefore, both the modern and ancient records of agglutinated microbialites suggest a link between the accretionary process of trapping and binding and shallow-marine environments, often agitated and commonly influenced by tidal currents, which deserves to be investigated in further detail.

6. A cretaceous microbial paradise

The Lower Cretaceous Leza Formation (La Rioja, N Spain) includes one of the few fossil examples of agglutinated stromatolites (Table 2), with some of its microfabrics being remarkably similar to those of modern agglutinated counterparts, and also formed in marginal-marine settings (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014). But besides the agglutinated specimens, this unit includes many other microbialites (Fig. 2; Table 3), some with and some without agglutinated microfabrics, and each of them formed in a different paleoenvironment, ranging from alluvial to shallow-marine (Figs. 4–5; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). Thus, comparing the agglutinating and the non-agglutinating microbialites of this unit provides an optimal opportunity to explore the environmental constraints to the trapping and binding process, and to shed light into the dilemma of why trapped and bound grains are so rare in the geological record of microbialites.

The Leza Fm belongs to the Cameros Basin (Fig. 3), which developed during the Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous extensional phases of the Iberian Plate, over a pre-extensional Jurassic substrate dominated by carbonates (Fig. 3C–D; Mas et al., 1993; Benito et al., 2001; Salas et al., 2001; Benito and Mas, 2002, 2006; Mas et al., 2002, 2011; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2013, 2016b; Omodeo Salè et al., 2014; Sacristán-Horcadada et al., 2015). Throughout its evolution, this basin recorded up to 6500 m of siliciclastic, carbonate and minor evaporite sediments deposited in continental, coastal and shallow-marine environments (Mas et al., 2011; Quijada et al., 2013a, 2013b, 2016; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2013, 2016a; Omodeo Salè et al., 2014; Mas et al., 2018). During the late Barremian–early Aptian extensional phase, the Leza Fm was deposited at the distal northernmost margin of the basin (Fig. 3), in a series of small tectonic depressions formed by faulting of the Jurassic substrate of the basin (Fig. 3D; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2013). Erosion

of the faulted carbonate-dominated substrate led to deposition of alluvial fans in the margins of the depressions, which changed laterally to carbonate coastal wetlands (Fig. 3D) formed by a complex mosaic of diverse interrelated environments with influence of both freshwater and marine water (see Fig. 12C of Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). This unit shows significant variations in thickness and facies distribution (Fig. 4). Two main paleogeographic sectors are distinguished, western and eastern, with relevant sedimentological differences between them (Fig. 4): input of clastic material was stronger in the western sector, whereas marine influence was stronger and more direct in the eastern sector (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). The complex sedimentology of the Leza Fm is grouped into five facies associations, all of them including microbialites, and each of them corresponding to a different paleoenvironmental setting (Figs. 4, 5; and see Fig. 12C of Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). Here, only the key features of each paleoenvironment are summarized, focusing later on the microbialites and their facies.

6.1. General paleoenvironmental settings of the microbialites

The *alluvial fan paleoenvironments* are characterized by conglomerates and sandstones mainly composed of lithoclasts eroded from the carbonate-dominated Jurassic basin substrate (Figs. 3D, 5A). Part of the material transported by the alluvial fans was deposited within the shallow-water bodies that formed the carbonate coastal wetlands of the Leza Fm (Figs. 4, 5; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). These paleoenvironments locally included oncoids, and paleosols were often developed over alluvial fan deposits. The *freshwater to marine-influenced wetland paleoenvironments* are characterized by black, fetid and locally bituminous limestones and marls, which are the most widespread deposits of the unit (Fig. 4), being generally arranged in shallowing-upwards sequences up to 4 m thick (Fig. 5B–D). They are interpreted as formed in wide wetland areas with abundant shallow-water bodies with recurrent periods of desiccation and inundation, surrounded by vegetated areas, and laterally associated with the alluvial fan paleoenvironments (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2013, 2015). These wetlands had a rich biota, including ostracods, charophytes, dasycladales, gastropods and vertebrates, as well as abundant microbialites (oncoids, skeletal stromatolites and thrombolites). Despite the presence of freshwater (e.g. charophytes) and marine (dasycladales) fossils, these do not typically occur together within the same sequence (Fig. 5B–D), pointing at different degrees of marine influence, which produced water bodies with salinities from fresh to near-marine (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). The *peritidal wetland paleoenvironments* are distributed only in the western sector of the studied area (Fig. 4) and are characterized by thinly-bedded gray limestone deposits, interpreted as formed in very shallow and often desiccated coastal water bodies with a marine signature stronger than the previous paleoenvironments (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). They have a distinct biotic composition, dominated by ostracods, miliolid foraminifers and gastropods, and display fenestral laminites (Fig. 5E). Peloids, intraclasts and silt-sized quartz grains are also common in these facies, together with desiccation and edaphic features, which indicate alternating episodes of inundation and desiccation. The *tide-influenced oolitic paleoenvironments* are recorded only in the eastern sector (Fig. 4) and are characterized by oolitic deposits with sedimentary structures that indicate influence of tidal currents (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015, 2016a), laterally and vertically associated with agglutinated stromatolites, flat-pebble breccias and desiccation features (Fig. 5F). Locally, pseudomorphs after evaporites occur within the stromatolite laminae. Besides the stromatolites, the biotic community of these paleoenvironments includes ostracods and miliolid foraminifers. Therefore, these deposits are interpreted as formed in shallow, tide-influenced areas of the distal part of the coastal wetland system, closer to the marine realm. These areas were commonly subaerially exposed and dominated by seawater, although salinity may have been very variable due

Table 3

Summary of the main features of the Leza Fm microbialites, the Lower Cretaceous case study presented here, including information about their paleoenvironments and their macro-, meso- and microstructures.

Microbialite type	General paleoenvironmental setting and distribution	Facies (and detailed paleoenvironments)	Main microbialites features		
			Macroscale	Mesoscale	Microscale
Oncoids	Alluvial fan and freshwater wetland paleoenvironments developed throughout the studied area	Clastic-rich limestone beds with cross-bedding and irregular bases (creeks linked to alluvial fans). Charophyte-rich black limestones (shallow freshwater bodies)	Up to 15 cm in diameter, ellipsoidal to subspherical oncoids. External surface smooth or with small protrusions	Very well-laminated cortices with planicinct and penicinct laminae (up to 2 mm thick). Truncation surfaces locally observed within cortices	Laminae have mainly filamentous microfabrics, with short and thin filaments in palisade or tuft arrangement. Micritic and clotted-peloidal microfabrics are also observed
Thrombolites	Marine-influenced wetland paleoenvironments developed in the western sector of the studied area	Black micritic limestones rich in dasycladales (quiet shallow water bodies with significant marine influence)	Laterally-continuous biostromes (up to 30 cm thick). Irregular bioherms (up to 0.5 × 1 m) within thicker beds	Non-laminated with patchy or clotted fabrics formed by interconnected mesoclots that create an open internal framework with a vague upwards-elongated distribution	Typically poorly preserved microfabrics dominated by microsparitic textures with relicts of clotted-peloidal micrite and locally of filaments
Skeletal stromatolites	Freshwater wetland paleoenvironments developed in the western sector of the studied area	Sandy black limestone and sandstone levels with quartz grains, ooids, Jurassic lithoclasts, bioclasts and intraclasts (marginal areas of shallow freshwater bodies with input of clastic material from alluvial fans)	Laterally-linked domes up to 30 cm high. Less commonly stratiform and columnar morphologies	Very well laminated, with laminae up to 8 mm thick, commonly botryoidal-shaped and with moderate to very poor inheritance	Filamentous microfabrics, commonly with long and thick filaments arranged in fan-like structures. Grain accumulations between columns and fans or filling irregularities between successive laminae
Agglutinated stromatolites	Tide-influenced oolitic paleoenvironments developed in the eastern sector of the studied area	Oolitic grainstones and gray mudstones with flaser, wavy and lenticular bedding and foraminifers (shallow tide-influenced areas in the distal parts of a coastal-wetland system)	Stratiform to domal morphologies, up to 40 cm thick	Very well laminated, with alternating dark and lighter laminae up to 4 mm thick	Grainy microfabrics, micritic (clotted, clotted-peloidal) microfabrics, and microfabrics of mixed grainy-micritic composition. Thin (~140 µm) micritic crusts at the top of many laminae, associated with micritized and truncated grains. Rare filament relicts
Micritic-evaporitic stromatolites	Evaporitic paleoenvironments developed in the eastern sector of the studied area	Thinly-bedded to laminated gray dolomites with pseudomorphs after sulfates, foraminifers and common desiccation features (very shallow and restricted marine-influenced areas often hypersaline)	Laterally-linked domes up to 10 cm tall	Very well laminated	Dense, clotted and clotted-peloidal micritic microfabrics. Pseudomorphs after sulfates commonly displace and replace the micrite.
Fenestral laminites	Peritidal wetland paleoenvironments developed in the western sector of the studied area	Gray limestone beds with foraminifers and common desiccation and edaphic features (wide, shallow and commonly desiccated near-marine water bodies)	Thin (<20 cm) laterally continuous beds	Irregular/wrinkly fenestral lamination: mm-scale alternation of micritic laminae and horizontally elongated fenestrae	Micritic laminae have dense, clotted or clotted-peloidal microfabrics, which commonly include grains. Rare filament relicts. Fenestrae have locally stromatolite-like structure

to influence of freshwater from proximal areas of the system and/or to desiccation (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014, 2015). The *evaporitic paleoenvironments* are distributed only in the eastern sector and were laterally associated with the tide-influenced oolitic paleoenvironments (Fig. 4). They are characterized by laminated dolomitic deposits with abundant pseudomorphs after gypsum and anhydrite, which locally include micritic-evaporitic stromatolites (Fig. 5G). The biotic community of these paleoenvironments was very poor (only rare ostracods and miliolid foraminifers) and desiccation episodes were very common. Thus, these paleoenvironments were very shallow and relatively restricted marine-influenced areas, which commonly reached high salinity through evaporation (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015).

6.2. Linking microbialite accretion processes and their paleoenvironments

The case study presented here includes a remarkable diversity of microbialites, comprising most of the wide array of macro-, meso- and micro-structures that characterize these organosedimentary deposits (Fig. 2; Table 3; cf. Kennard and James, 1986; Riding et al. (1991a);

Riding, 2011a; Dupraz et al., 2011). Interestingly, each microbialite variety occurs within a particular facies and in a particular paleogeographic sector (Figs. 4, 5), suggesting an important environmental control on the development of microbial structures. Here, the different microbialites will be described, highlighting their relationship with the surrounding sediment, in order to interpret their accretion processes and the environmental factors that may have controlled them.

6.2.1. Oncoids

Oncoids are the most abundant microbialites, occurring in the freshwater to marine-influenced wetland facies and in the alluvial fan facies, and in both eastern and western sectors (Figs. 4, 5B–D). They are most common in limestones rich in charophytes and in clastic-rich limestone beds with cross-bedding and irregular bases (Fig. 5B, D, 6A–B). Therefore, oncoids developed in shallow-water bodies dominated by freshwater and in small channels or creeks associated with them and linked to alluvial fans that delivered lithoclastic material eroded from the substrate of the basin (Fig. 5A–D). Most oncoids are <4 cm in diameter, but specimens up to 15 cm have been observed and they




Fig. 5. Schematic logs of the different facies associations of the Leza Fm, with the interpretation of their general paleoenvironments. Modified after Suarez-Gonzalez et al. (2015). The colour-code is equivalent to that of Figs. 2, 4, 5 and 15. A: Facies deposited in alluvial fan paleoenvironments, where oncoids occur. B-D: Facies deposited in freshwater to marine-influenced wetland paleoenvironments. B: Example of a charophyte-rich (i.e. freshwater-dominated) sequence where oncoids and skeletal stromatolites occur. C: Example of a dasyclad-rich (i.e. marine-influenced) sequence where thrombolites occur. D: Oncoid-rich facies deposited in freshwater-dominated creeks. E: Facies deposited in the peritidal wetland paleoenvironments, where fenestral laminites occur. F: Facies deposited in the tide-influenced oolitic paleoenvironments, where agglutinated stromatolites occur. G: Facies deposited in the evaporitic paleoenvironments, where micritic-evaporitic stromatolites occur.

range from ellipsoidal to subspherical (Fig. 6). Internally, oncoïd cortices are very well laminated (Fig. 6C), with laminae (50 μ m to 2 mm thick) that either surround the whole oncoïd, or cover only a portion of it (cf. Hofmann, 1969; Freytet and Plaziat, 1972). Erosive surfaces are also observed, truncating previous laminae (Fig. 6C). Various microfabrics are observed in the oncoïd laminae, including micritic and clotted-peloidal, but filamentous microfabrics are conspicuously predominant, characterized by well-preserved calcified filaments (< 1 mm long with 5–15 μ m lumina surrounded by thinner micritic walls), showing an erect orientation perpendicular to the laminae (Fig. 6C–F). Filaments are arranged either as closely-packed palisades or as tufts (Fig. 6C–D). In spite of occurring in bioclastic and clastic-rich facies, oncoids do not commonly include allochthonous material in their microfabrics, with only local and minor silt-sized quartz grains being observed within micritic laminae. Some oncolitic levels show a laminated (i.e. stromatolitic) overgrowth that covers various oncoids (Fig. 6B, E, F). They have filamentous microfabrics markedly different from those of the on-

coid cortices, with much thicker laminae (up to 7 mm thick) formed by fan-like structures of longer and thicker (13–30 μ m lumina) filaments (Fig. 6F), which are similar to those of skeletal stromatolites (see section 6.2.3, below).

6.2.1.1. Accretion processes and paleoenvironment Oncoïd filaments have sizes and shapes consistent with those traditionally considered as the calcified sheaths of fossil filamentous cyanobacteria (e.g. Pollock, 1918; Pia, 1927; Black, 1933; Johnson, 1961; Golubic, 1973; Pentecost, 1978; Riding, 1983; Merz, 1992), being almost identical to the filaments of many cyanobacterial-dominated modern freshwater and fluvial microbialites (e.g. Irion and Müller, 1968; Ordóñez et al., 1986; Freytet and Plet, 1996; Freytet and Verrecchia, 1998; Arp et al., 2001b; Shiraishi et al., 2008; Arenas et al., 2014, 2015; Auqué et al., 2014), and even to those formed in the freshwater-influenced areas of coastal systems (e.g. Bahamas, cf. Black, 1933; Monty, 1967, 1972, 1973). In fact, similar fossil filaments have been taxonomically classified as different cyanobacteria genera: *Scytonema*, *Phormidium*, *Calothrix* and/or




Fig. 6. Oncooids of the Leza Fm. A: Field image of the cross-bedded, clastic and oncooid-rich facies deposited in freshwater-dominated creeks (Fig. 5D). B: Field image of charophyte- and oncooid-rich facies deposited in freshwater bodies (Fig. 5B). Red arrows point to the stromatolitic overgrowth developing on the oncooid level. Yellow rectangle shows the location of E. Coin diameter is 23 mm. C: Photomicrograph of one of the oncooids shown in A. Note abundant grains in the matrix surrounding the oncooid. Porous microfabrics are formed by filament tufts, whereas darker and denser microfabrics are formed by filament palisades. Yellow arrows point to truncation surfaces observed within the oncooid cortex. Green rectangle marks the location of D. D: Detail of the filaments, main components of the oncooid microfabrics. Note how they grow forming branching tufts. E: Detail of B, showing the stromatolitic overgrowth developing on the oncooid level. F: Photomicrograph of a stromatolitic overgrowth developing on two oncooids (darker, in the lower part). Note that the overgrowth microfabrics differ from those of the oncooids in that they include larger filament tufts and fans. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Dichothrix (Monty, 1976; Schäfer and Stapf, 1978; Anadón and Zamarreño, 1981; Monty and Mas, 1981; Nickel, 1983; Leinfelder, 1985; Arenas et al., 2000; Hägele et al., 2006). The filaments that dominate the stromatolitic overgrowths of oncooids are clearly different and more similar to those of the cyanobacteria genus *Rivularia* (e.g. Schäfer and Stapf, 1978; Nickel, 1983; Leinfelder, 1985; Dragastan, 1985; Kuss, 1990). Thus, it is interpreted that the main process that formed these oncooids was the recurrent accretion and early mineralization of

cyanobacteria-dominated biofilms that surrounded a clastic nucleus. They developed in freshwater environments linked to alluvial discharges of material eroded from the carbonate-dominated substrate of the basin (Fig. 3D) and, thus, rich in dissolved carbonate, which matches the environmental setting of modern filamentous oncooids (e.g. Roddy, 1915; Jones and Wilkinson, 1978; Schäfer and Stapf, 1978; Rott, 1991; Frey et al., 1996; Hägele et al., 2006). In these settings, the combination of carbonate supersaturation with the metabolic

activity of cyanobacteria led to carbonate precipitation within their sheaths (e.g. Kempe and Kazmierczak, 1990; Merz-Preiß, 2000; Arp et al., 2001a). The grainy facies where the oncoids often occur, together with the presence of continuous laminae and truncations in the oncoid cortices, suggest that they accreted in agitated environments, but the presence of discontinuous laminae and of stromatolitic overgrowths indicates that there were also periods when the hydrodynamic energy was not enough to continuously overturn the oncoids (cf. Dahanayake, 1977; Lanés and Palma, 1998; Campos-Soto et al., 2016). Despite the agitation of the environment and the abundance of particles, these were very rarely incorporated within the oncoid cortices, unlike in some modern (Ginsburg, 1960; Gebelein, 1976a; Jones and Goodbody, 1985) and fossil (Pederson et al., 2015) shallow-marine agglutinated oncoids.

6.2.2. *Thrombolites*

Thrombolites also occurred in the freshwater to marine-influenced wetland paleoenvironments, but they have only been observed in one locality of the western sector (Leza River section, Fig. 4), and they occur in limestones rich in dasycladales (Fig. 5C). Thrombolites form either laterally continuous beds (biostromes), up to 30 cm thick (Fig. 7A), or irregular masses (bioherms), up to 50 cm tall and 1 m wide (Fig. 7B–D). Macro- and mesoscopically, these microbialites are not laminated and have irregular shapes with patchy or clotted fabrics, formed by interconnected mesoclots (sensu Shapiro, 2000) with a vague upwards-elongated distribution that suggests vertical growth (Fig. 7C–D) and, thus, they can be classified as thrombolites (cf. Aitken, 1967; Kennard and James, 1986; Shapiro, 2000; Riding, 2011a). The internal framework of mesoclots is very open, leaving many interstitial spaces that are currently filled by micrite and/or cement (Fig. 7E–H). In hand specimen, mesoclots are irregular, 0.1–1 cm wide masses (Fig. 7E), and under the microscope, their internal microfabric is typically poorly preserved and dominated by microsparitic textures with relicts of clotted-peloidal micrite (Fig. 7F–H). Locally, poorly preserved filamentous structures are observed (Fig. 7G). The interstitial space between mesoclots often includes dasycladales (Fig. 7H–I), and in the specimens richest in dasycladales, these are also included within the mesoclots (Fig. 7H).

6.2.2.1. Accretion processes and paleoenvironment Thrombolites formed locally in areas of the coastal-wetland system with seawater influence that allowed the development of abundant dasycladales (Fig. 4; and see Fig. 12C of Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). The clotted-peloidal microfabric of the thrombolite mesoclots is widely regarded as the product of carbonate precipitation within microbial mats (e.g. Monty, 1976; Kennard and James, 1986; Thompson et al., 1990; Chafetz and Buczynski, 1992; Reitner, 1993; Arp et al., 1998; Dupraz et al., 2004; Riding and Tomás, 2006; Spadafora et al., 2010). Thus, it is interpreted that the thrombolites represent microbial communities that accreted developing buildups or laterally continuous beds, and which were relatively early lithified, in order to preserve their original framework. The highly porous and seemingly delicate appearance of this framework, together with the general absence of clastic particles in the thrombolites and in their adjacent sediment, suggest a quiet environment and also exclude trapping and binding as a significant process. Nevertheless, the local presence of dasycladales within the mesoclots indicates that these bioclasts could be transported by episodic currents from adjacent algal meadows, being eventually bound within the microbial communities. The close interrelation between dasycladales and microbialites is extremely rare (Martin and Delgado, 1980) and deserves further work.

6.2.3. *Skeletal stromatolites*

Stromatolites also formed in the freshwater to marine-influenced wetland paleoenvironments, but only in the western sector (Fig. 4).

They occur within sandy limestone and sandstone levels, up to 50 cm thick, which are composed of poorly-sorted quartz grains, ooids, Jurassic lithoclasts, bioclasts (ostracods, charophytes and gastropods) and intraclasts (Fig. 5B), interpreted as marginal areas of shallow freshwater bodies with common input of lithoclastic material, eroded from the carbonate-dominated substrate of the basin (Fig. 3D; and see Fig. 12C of Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). Stromatolites typically show a morphology of laterally linked domes up to 30 cm high (Fig. 8A–B), and less commonly stratiform or columnar morphologies, with columns up to 10 cm high and 5 cm thick (Fig. 8D). Mesoscopically, the stromatolites are very well laminated with a clear alternation of darker and lighter laminae up to 8 mm thick (Fig. 8B–D). Laminae are wavy with very common botryoidal micro-domes up to 1 cm tall (Fig. 8C–E) and are dominated by filamentous microfabrics (Fig. 8E–G). Thus, they may be classified as biophoric stromatolites (sensu Hofmann, 1973), skeletal stromatolites (sensu Riding, 1977), porostromate stromatolites (sensu Monty, 1981), or filamentous-calcimicrobial stromatolites (sensu Turner et al., 2000). Although the term ‘skeletal stromatolite’ has been debated (Golubic and Campbell, 1981; Monty, 1981; Burne and Moore, 1987), it is preferred here due to its more widespread and recent use (e.g. Rasmussen et al., 1993; Arp, 1995; Arp et al., 2005; MacNeil and Jones, 2006; Sarg et al., 2013). Laminae of the skeletal stromatolites show different microfabrics (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2016a) but are dominated by long erect filaments (<2 mm long with lumina 9–38 µm thick surrounded by a <10 µm thick micritic wall), grouped into fan-like structures (Fig. 8C, E–F). Despite being laterally associated with grainy facies, grains are not constituents of the skeletal stromatolite microfabrics, although grain accumulations are observed in the space between stromatolite columns (Fig. 8D), in small depressions between filament fans (Figs. 8E, 9), or filling concave irregularities between successive stromatolite laminae in domal forms (Fig. 8B–C, E).

6.2.3.1. Accretion processes and paleoenvironment The filaments that dominate the skeletal stromatolites correspond to those traditionally considered as fossil filamentous cyanobacteria (e.g. Pollock, 1918; Pia, 1927; Black, 1933; Johnson, 1961; Golubic, 1973; Pentecost, 1978; Merz, 1992), and the size and shape of their abundant filament fans are equivalent to those interpreted as the extant genus *Rivularia* (e.g. Schäfer and Stapf, 1978; Nickel, 1983; Leinfelder, 1985; Dragastan, 1985; Kuss, 1990; MacNeil and Jones, 2006), similar to the filaments observed in the overgrowths of oncoids (see section 6.2.1, above; compare Fig. 8E–G with Fig. 6F). The extraordinary preservation of the filament microstructure suggests a very early precipitation of carbonate in the mucilaginous sheath of cyanobacteria, which was favored by their photosynthesis but was also controlled by environmental factors, mainly carbonate saturation state (e.g. Kempe and Kazmierczak, 1990; Merz, 1992; Arp et al., 2001a, 2001b; Arp et al., 2012; Riding and Liang, 2005; Aloisi, 2008). The paleoenvironment of these skeletal stromatolites probably had a high carbonate supersaturation, as it was linked to discharges of clastic material from the carbonate-dominated basin substrate (Figs. 3D, 5B). Clastic discharges were probably episodic, as shown by the thin grainy intercalations between stromatolite laminae or between filament fans (Figs. 8–9). However, trapping and binding did not play a significant role in the development of these stromatolites, which mainly accreted through the early calcification of cyanobacteria-dominated microbial mats (Fig. 9). Similar modern stromatolites, dominated by well-preserved filamentous microfabrics, also occur in carbonate-rich freshwater settings (e.g. Caudwell, 1983; Pentecost, 1987; Obenlünenschloss, 1991) or within the freshwater-influenced areas of coastal systems (Portman et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2005; Gischler et al., 2008, 2011; Cooper et al., 2013; Castro-Contreras et al., 2014; Saint Martin and Saint Martin, 2015; Edwards et al., 2017), such as that of the studied unit.




Fig. 7. Thrombolites of the Leza Fm. A: Field image of a thrombolite occurring as a laterally-continuous bed (biostrome). Green arrow marks bed thickness. Coin diameter is 23 mm. B: Field image of thrombolites (green arrows point to their margins) occurring as irregular masses (bioherms) within thicker beds (Fig. 5C). White rectangle marks the location of C. Tip of hammer at the top left for scale. C: Field image of the macroscopic features of thrombolites, showing the characteristic mesoclots (dark colour) and large irregular fenestrae (light colour). D: Field image of the macroscopic features of thrombolites. Note the upwards-elongated distribution of mesoclots suggesting vertical growth. E: Polished hand specimen showing the mesostructure of thrombolites and the characteristic irregular interconnected mesoclots (black) and the surrounding sediment (brown) filling fenestrae between the mesoclots. F: Photomicrograph showing a detail of the thrombolite mesostructure with irregular mesoclots (light brown) and fenestrae filled with sediment (dark brown) and/or cement (white). Note many fenestrae with geopetal fillings. Yellow arrow points to a dasycladal. G: Detail photomicrograph of a mesoclot, showing clotted-peloidal microfabric with poorly-preserved filamentous structures. Note geopetal cavities to the left. H: Photomicrograph of a thrombolite sample very rich in dasyclads, both in the sediment-filled fenestrae (dark colors, dasycladales pointed by yellow arrows) and within the mesoclots (light colors, dasycladales pointed by red arrows). I: Detail photomicrograph of the dasycladales. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 8. Skeletal stromatolites of the Leza Fm. An 'S' marks each sandy intercalation between stromatolite levels, domes, columns or laminae. A: Field image of the stromatolite-bearing facies deposited in freshwater bodies with alluvial influence (Fig. 5B). White rectangles mark the location of B and C. B: Detail of A showing domal stromatolites adjacent to sandy levels (S) and including thin sandy intervals between some of their laminae (red arrows). C: Field detail of the stromatolite lamination. Red ovals mark fan-like filamentous colonies easily visible (the black square shows a close-up of one of the colonies). Note that sandy intercalations (S) occur infilling cavities and irregularities within or over the stromatolite laminae (see E). D: Detailed field image of skeletal stromatolites with columnar macrostructure. Note how sandy material (S) fills the space between columns. E: Photomicrograph of the skeletal stromatolites showing the predominant microfabric formed by filamentous fans. Note that sandy intercalations (S) are filling the irregularities over one of the stromatolite laminae. F: Detail of one of the filamentous fan-like colonies that form the skeletal stromatolites. G: Photomicrograph of a thin section cut parallel to stromatolite lamination, showing a transverse section of the filaments. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

6.2.4. Agglutinated stromatolites

The tide-influenced oolitic paleoenvironments only occurred in the eastern sector (Fig. 4). They are characterized by lateral and vertical interrelation of oolitic deposits and stromatolites, which range from stratiform to domal, with domes up to 70 cm across and 40 cm tall (Figs. 5F, 10A–C). At mesoscale, they show a clear lamination formed by alternating darker and lighter laminae up to 4 mm thick (Fig. 10B–

C; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014). These laminae show two end-member microfabrics: grainy and micritic (Fig. 10D–E). The grains observed within the stromatolites are the same as those of the surrounding oolitic deposits (ooids, peloids, micritic intraclasts, ostracods and miliolid foraminifers) but generally finer-grained, and they occur throughout the stromatolite domes, including the steeply dipping flanks (Fig. 10D). Micritic laminae show typically clotted or clotted-peloidal microfabrics (Figs. 10D, 11D–E), and dense micrite laminae are rare. Lami-




Fig. 9. Contrasting accretion processes that generate skeletal and agglutinated stromatolites. Skeletal stromatolites accrete through the growth of colonies of filamentous microbes (probably cyanobacteria) and the early and pervasive precipitation of carbonate in the EPS sheaths of their filaments. Thus, filaments are well-preserved, whereas grains supplied on the stromatolite surface are not trapped and bound in the microbial EPS, but only deposited between the filament fans (yellow arrows in photomicrograph). In contrast, agglutinated stromatolites accrete through the development of benthic microbial communities simultaneous with abundant and cyclic grain supply. Filamentous microbes are not early calcified (and thus only locally and poorly preserved, yellow areas in photomicrograph, see Fig. 11), whereas grains are profusely trapped and bound in the uncalcified EPS. Lithification of the biofilms may occur subsequently, through precipitation of clotted-peloidal micrite probably influenced by the degradation of EPS by heterotrophic microbes. Thin micritic crusts separating successive laminae (see Figs. 10E, 11) form during interruptions in accretion and in grain supply (cf. Fig. 1H–I). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

nae of intermediate composition, including both micrite and grains, are also very common (Figs. 10D, 11, 12). In fact, lateral and vertical variations in the relative abundance of both components are often observed through the same lamina (Fig. 12). In addition, thin micritic crusts are observed topping many stromatolite laminae: they have dense micritic or clotted textures and show sharp upper surfaces commonly associated with micritized and truncated grains (Figs. 9, 10E, 11, 12; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014). Filaments are not abundant but are observed within some laminae, typically preserved as vertically elongated clusters of clotted-peloidal micrite (Fig. 11). Locally, pseudomorphs after gypsum and anhydrite have been observed displacing and replacing stromatolite laminae (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014).

6.2.4.1. Accretion processes and paleoenvironment The most distinctive feature of these stromatolites is the abundance of grains in their laminae. The facts that grains are the same as in the adjacent deposits, but finer, and that they occur both in flat and dipping stromatolite laminae, prove that these grains were not deposited merely by gravity but rather suggest that they were mobilized by currents (tides, waves and storms, Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015, 2016a; Fig. 9) and eventually stuck to the mucilaginous surface of the microbial mats that formed the stromatolites (cf. the criteria used to interpret trapping and binding in modern agglutinated examples: e.g. Gebelein, 1969; Riding, 1991; Reid and Browne, 1991; Frantz et al., 2015). Therefore, trapping and binding can be considered a significant accretion process in them, and for this reason they are classified as “agglutinated stromatolites” (sensu Riding et al., 1991a). Nevertheless, the presence of clotted and clotted-peloidal micritic laminae without trapped grains indicates that these stromatolites accreted not only when grains were supplied to the microbial mats but also during periods of sediment stasis and no grain supply (Fig. 12; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014), a fact that has only recently been suggested also for the classical examples of modern agglutinated microbialites in Shark Bay (Hagan, 2015; Suosaari et al., 2016a). The fact that filaments are scarce and poorly preserved (Fig. 11; unlike other microbialites of the same unit, i.e. oncoids and skeletal stromatolites, Figs. 6, 8) suggests that they did not undergo very early

calcification (similarly to modern analogues, Fig. 1F–G), and that the clotted and clotted-peloidal micrite precipitated during subsequent microbial degradation of the organic matter of the mat, as interpreted in many modern and ancient microbialites (Figs. 9, 12; e.g. Chafetz and Buczynski, 1992; Reitner, 1993; Arp et al., 1998; Dupraz et al., 2004; Riding and Tomás, 2006; Planavsky et al., 2009). In addition, laminae of mixed grainy-micritic composition record variations in grain supply and mat accretion even at the relatively short time-scale represented by a single stromatolite lamina (Fig. 12, compare with Fig. 1D). Settling of allochthonous micrite on the stromatolite surface may have formed the rare dense micritic laminae, as interpreted for similar laminae in other fossil examples (e.g. Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2012). Thin micritic crusts, common at the top of laminae, are strikingly similar to those thoroughly studied in modern marine agglutinated examples (compare Figs. 10E, 11, 12 with Fig. 1H–I; e.g. Monty, 1976; Reid et al., 1995, 2000, 2003; Macintyre et al., 1996; Feldmann and McKenzie, 1998; Visscher et al., 2000) and, thus, they are similarly interpreted as the product of microbially induced alteration and precipitation at the top of microbial mats during hiatuses in stromatolite accretion (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2014).

6.2.5. Micritic-evaporitic stromatolites

Another type of stromatolite occurred locally in the evaporitic paleoenvironments, which were only developed in the eastern sector (Fig. 4; see Fig. 12C of Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015). Stromatolites are laterally-linked domes up to 10 cm tall, very well laminated, and with laminae showing dense, clotted or clotted-peloidal microfabrics, which are often diagenetically altered (Fig. 13). No filaments have been observed and grains occur very scarcely in rare laminae. Displacive and replacive pseudomorphs after gypsum and anhydrite, from μm - to mm-scale, are very common within the stromatolites (Fig. 13D), and are often grouped in cm-scale macroscopic nodules (Fig. 13C).

6.2.5.1. Accretion processes and paleoenvironment The restricted and marine-influenced evaporitic paleoenvironments locally developed benthic microbial communities that produced stromatolites. The absence




Fig. 10. Agglutinated stromatolites of the Leza Fm. See further images in Suarez-Gonzalez et al. (2014). A: Field view of a stromatolite level (green arrows) with a large dome (right), overlapped by thinly bedded oolitic deposits (yellow arrows). B: Close-up of a stromatolite bed showing stratiform to domal structures and clear thin bedding. C: Domal stromatolite level developed over oolitic deposits and showing clear lamination formed by alternating dark and light laminae. Note large intraclasts included within the stromatolite (yellow arrows). D: Photomicrograph of the side of a stromatolite dome, showing very abundant grains included within the stromatolite laminae, even at steep angles. Laminae dominated by micrite are marked with a yellow M. Note that most laminae have an intermediate composition with both grains and micrite. E: Photomicrograph showing grainy stromatolite laminae separated by thin micritic crusts (green arrows). Note that the crusts are associated to micritization of the grains of the underlying laminae. Compare with micritic crusts of modern agglutinated microbialites (Fig. 1H–I). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

of clear microbial filaments suggests that these microbial communities were not very early lithified and that micrite precipitation probably took place subsequently, induced during degradation of the organic matter of the mat (cf. Chafetz and Buczynski, 1992; Reitner, 1993; Arp et al., 1998; Dupraz et al., 2004; Riding and Tomás, 2006), although settling of allochthonous micrite should not be ruled out, especially for the dense micrite laminae (cf. Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2012). The scarcity of grains in their paleoenvironment may explain that they are only rarely observed within the stromatolite laminae. Important evaporation produced significant supersaturation in the interstitial waters within the stromatolites, allowing the precipitation of intrasedimentary evaporitic sulfates (cf. Schreiber and El Tabakh, 2000; Warren, 2006; Ortí, 2010).

6.2.6. Fenestral laminites

Peritidal wetland paleoenvironments only developed in the western sector (Fig. 4; see Fig. 12C of Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015) and include very abundant fenestral laminites (Fig. 5E). They are thinly-bedded and display flat, irregular, wrinkly lamination, formed by mm-scale alternations of micritic laminae and laminae of horizontally-elongated fenestrae (Fig. 14A–B). Fenestrae typically show irregular shapes (Fig. 14C–F) and locally have stromatactis-like structures with flat bases and

irregular tops (Fig. 14D, F). Fenestral porosity is currently filled by geopetal sediment and cement (Fig. 14D–F). Micritic laminae mainly have clotted or clotted-peloidal microfabrics (Fig. 14D–F) with rare dense micritic laminae and only local and poorly-preserved relicts of calcified filaments. Micritic laminae commonly include grains (Fig. 14D–E): peloids, carbonate intraclasts, quartz grains and bioclasts (ostracods and less common foraminifers). Some micritic laminae display vertical, often v-shaped fractures filled by cement, which may represent mud-cracks (Fig. 14E).

6.2.6.1. Accretion processes and paleoenvironment Fenestral laminites are a widespread carbonate facies commonly found in peritidal carbonate settings from the Archean to the present (e.g. Logan, 1974; Hardie and Ginsburg, 1977; Pratt et al., 1992; Sumner and Grotzinger, 2004; Riding, 2008; Duda et al., 2016), being even considered “unequivocal intertidal deposits” (Tucker and Wright, 1990, p. 151). They are widely interpreted as being formed by the successive accretion, lithification and desiccation of microbial mats (e.g. Ham, 1952; Tebbutt et al., 1965; Shinn, 1983; Mazzullo and Birdwell, 1989; Demicco and Hardie, 1994). In fact, the fossil examples presented here are very similar to some flat, laminated microbial mats of modern coastal settings, some of them agglutinated (cf. Davies, 1970; Kinsman and Park, 1976). The fact that filaments are so rare and poorly-preserved, compared to other




Fig. 11. A-E Photomicrographs of the rare filament remains observed in the agglutinated stromatolites of the Leza Fm. Note that filament remains (blue arrows and ellipses) are poorly preserved, mostly as individual elongated clusters of clotted-peloidal micrite, being only locally associated in tufts (ellipses of C and E). Compare the preservation of filaments with that of modern agglutinated microbialites (Fig. 1F–G). Green arrows point to thin micritic crusts between successive laminae, strongly similar to those of modern analogues (Fig. 1H–I). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

microbialites of the unit (i.e. oncoids and skeletal stromatolites), suggests that fenestral laminites did not undergo very early cyanobacterial calcification, and that clotted and clotted-peloidal micrite precipitated during subsequent microbial degradation of the organic matter of the mat (cf. Chafetz and Buczyński, 1992; Reitner, 1993; Arp et al., 1998; Dupraz et al., 2004; Riding and Tomás, 2006), although settling of allochthonous micrite may have contributed to the formation the rare dense micritic microfabrics (cf. Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2012). The grains observed within the micritic layers are the same as those observed in laterally associated facies (see section 6.1, above) and, thus, they were most likely mobilized from adjacent areas by waves or storms. In summary, fenestral laminites studied here were deposited in very shallow and commonly desiccated near-marine areas of a coastal-wetland system, which were often colonized by thin and flat microbial communities that evolved through successive episodes of accretion and desiccation, and which underwent input of grains that were trapped and bound within some of their laminae.

7. Discussion

7.1. Are microbialite accretion processes environmentally controlled?

Microbialites are extremely diverse organosedimentary structures and their diversity can be explained by the biotic complexity and variability of the microbial communities that formed them, as well as by the many different environments in which they develop (e.g. Dupraz et al., 2011; Riding, 2011a). This is especially appreciated in modern and ancient systems in which different microbialites occur in different settings (e.g. Black, 1933; Braga et al., 1995; Bourillot, 2009; Jahnert and Collins, 2013; Vennin et al., 2015). In this regard, the case study presented here shows an unusual abundance and diversity of microbial deposits, with six types of contrasting microbialites that occurred in different paleoenvironments (Figs. 2, 4, 5, Table 3), ranging from alluvial-influenced freshwater to tide-influenced marginal marine. For this reason, this Lower Cretaceous example is a perfect field laboratory for an-




Fig. 12. The detailed analysis of stromatolite laminae reveals, even at the small temporal and spatial scale of a single lamina, the complex interplay between microbial accretion and sediment supply that produces the microfabrics of the Leza Fm agglutinated stromatolites, which are strikingly similar to those of modern analogues (Fig. 1F–I). A: During some periods, a benthic microbial community could accrete on the stromatolite surface even with relatively low sediment supply. If early calcification occurred locally, some filament remains would eventually be preserved. B: During periods of low microbial accretion relative to the sediment supply, thin levels especially rich in grains developed. C: When the rates of microbial accretion and grain supply were balanced, grainy levels were recorded. Meanwhile, precipitation of clotted-peloidal micrite could start in underlying parts of the microbial community, probably induced by the degradation of EPS by heterotrophic microbes. D: Interruption periods of both microbial accretion and grain supply are recorded by thin micritic crusts caused by intense microbial activity at the top of the microbial community, which induced micritization and truncation of underlying grains and micrite precipitation. E: Photomicrograph of the final result in the fossil record.

alyzing how biotic and abiotic factors interact in the development and in the spatial and temporal distribution of fossil microbialites. Shedding light on this question is necessary to understand why agglutinated microbialites are so scarce in the geological record and which factors control the ‘trapping and binding’ process that formed them.

The diversity and variability of the case study paleoenvironments, which constantly underwent changes in salinity and/or inundation (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015), are common to many coastal systems (Frey and Basan, 1978; Baldwin et al., 2009; Wolanski et al., 2009;




Fig. 13. Micritic-evaporitic stromatolites of the Leza Fm. A, C: Polished hand specimens. B, D: Photomicrographs of the same specimens. Note abundant pseudomorphs after evaporites disrupting lamination both at meso- and micro-scale in C (crystalline white and gray areas) and D (yellow arrows), respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Davidson-Arnott, 2010). This environmental variability generates stressful conditions for most metazoans (e.g. Brechley and Harper, 1998), which are typically favorable for the development of microbial communities (e.g. Telesh et al., 2013). This explains the relatively poor diversity of metazoan associations in the case study (Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015) and the important productivity and preservation of organic matter (i.e. non-mineralized microbes from the water column and the sediment, preserved as abundant black, fetid and locally bituminous limestones) and microbialites. But even more striking than the abundance of microbialites in the case study is their diversity (Figs. 2, 4–5). All the main macroscopic categories of microbialites (*sensu* Kennard and James, 1986; Riding, 1991, 2000; 2011a, b; Schmid, 1996; Dupraz et al., 2011) are recorded: oncoids, stromatolites, thrombolites, and fenestral laminites (Fig. 2). Each of these microbialite types occurred in a different paleoenvironment (Fig. 4, Table 3), highlighting the traditional interpretation that environmental factors are the main controls of macrostructure and external morphology of microbialites (e.g. Logan et al., 1964; Hoffman, 1976; Semikhatov et al., 1979; Trompette, 1982; Ginsburg, 1991; Andres and Reid, 2006).

However, this case study also shows that microscopic aspects of microbialites can be strongly dependent on external environmental parameters, because morphologically similar microbialites (e.g. domal and well-laminated stromatolites) may show different microfabrics (1 – skeletal; 2 – agglutinated; and 3 – micritic-evaporitic) when occurring in different paleoenvironments (1 – freshwater bodies with alluvial input; 2 – tide-influenced oolitic areas; and 3 – restricted evaporative areas), indicating that in each paleoenvironment a contrasting process dominated their accretion (1 – early filament calcification; 2 – ‘trapping and binding’ of grains; and 3 – pervasive micrite precipitation; Table 3, Figs. 2, 4, 9). Development of microbialite microfabrics has been shown to be highly controlled by the intrinsic biotic composition and processes of the microbial communities that form them (e.g. Semikhatov et al., 1979; Trompette, 1982; Andres and Reid, 2006), but

the fossil examples studied here, as well as some modern cases (Bowler et al., 2012; Jahnert and Collins, 2013), show that the influence of extrinsic environmental factors on the variability of microbial communities (and, thus, on the diversity of microfabrics they produce) should not be underrated. This environmental control on microfabrics (especially on agglutinated microfabrics) is one of the main interests of this study and is discussed in detail here.

For example, the fact that filamentous microbialites of the case study (oncoids and skeletal stromatolites, Figs. 6, 8) only occurred in freshwater-dominated paleoenvironments (Figs. 4, 5), whereas the rest of microbialites (without abundant well-preserved filaments, Figs. 7, 10–14) occurred in paleoenvironments with various degrees of marine influence (Figs. 4, 5), is a clear example of environmental influence on microfabric. The most important factor controlling strong and early calcification of filamentous microbes (mainly cyanobacteria) is supersaturation in CaCO_3 of their ambient waters (e.g. Riding, 1982; Kempe and Kazmierczak, 1990; Merz, 1992; Arp et al., 2001a, 2001b; Arp et al., 2012; Riding and Liang, 2005; Aloisi, 2008) and, therefore, this is a crucial environmental requirement for the development of microbialites with well-preserved filamentous microfabrics. In fact, it has long been noted that filamentous microbialites are extremely rare in marine environments since the Cretaceous until now, being practically restricted to freshwater settings (Monty, 1973; Gebelein, 1976b), a trend that has been explained as due to the strong and continuous decline in the CaCO_3 saturation state of surface sea water at least since the Early Cretaceous (Arp et al., 2001a, 2001b; Ridgwell, 2005; Ridgwell and Zeebe, 2005; Riding and Liang, 2005; Planavsky et al., 2009; Aloisi, 2018). Therefore, the development of Cretaceous, Cenozoic, and modern calcified filamentous microfabrics has been environmentally constrained to continental and coastal settings where freshwater could reach a strong CaCO_3 supersaturation, such as in the case study presented here (see sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.3, above).




Fig. 14. Fenestral laminites of the Leza Fm. A: Field view of a thin level of fenestral laminites. B: Close-up view of fenestral lamintes, showing the thin lamination formed by horizontally elongated fenestrae (darker colors). C: Polished slab of fenestral laminites showing the irregular, horizontal, cement-filled fenestrae (white, gray and yellow colors). D: Photomicrograph showing fenestrae (light colors, some of them with darker geopetal fillings) and the micritic texture of the laminae, some of them including grains (mainly quartz). E: Photomicrograph of grain-rich microfabrics of the fenestral laminites. Green arrows point to subvertical cracks (some of them V-shaped) in many laminae. F: Photomicrograph showing stromatolite-like fenestrae, some of them with geopetal fillings. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Another link between microstructure and paleoenvironment provided by the studied unit concerns agglutinated microbialites. Both the case study and the literature review show that microbialites dominated by agglutinated microfabrics occur, throughout geological history, mainly in marginal-marine environments, being especially abundant in those with evidence of tidal influence (see section 5 above and Tables 1–3). This pattern has not been studied in detail before and will be discussed in the following sections, since it may be crucial to understand which biotic and environmental conditions favor ‘trapping and binding’ and which hinder it.

7.2. To trap or not to trap: factors controlling grain agglutination

Two main requirements have been traditionally referred to as essential for a microbialite to trap and bind the sedimentary grains of its surroundings (Burne and Moore, 1987; Riding, 2011a): a) most obviously, the presence of grains in the environment, together with the

conditions necessary (i.e. sufficient water agitation) for the supply of those grains onto the microbialite surface; and b) a sufficient ‘stickiness’ of its surface.

7.2.1. Supplying grains

Microbialites are very often observed in grainy environments, particularly in those rich in carbonate particles (e.g. peloids, ooids, bioclasts). The association of microbialites with grainy settings goes back to the Archean (e.g. Buck, 1980) and the Proterozoic (e.g. Horodyski, 1976; Grotzinger, 1989; Planavsky and Grey, 2008), and it is also common today (e.g. Bowlin et al., 2012; Jahnert and Collins, 2012; Bouton et al., 2016b). In the case study, various microbialite paleoenvironments were rich in grains that could potentially be trapped within the microbialites (Fig. 15). Oncoids (Figs. 5A–D, 6), skeletal stromatolites (Figs. 5B, 8–9), fenestral laminites (Figs. 5E, 14), and agglutinated stromatolites (Figs. 5F, 9, 10–12) all occurred in grain-rich environments, but only those formed in marine-influenced settings (fenestral lami-

	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON TRAPPING AND BINDING					Trapping and binding
	Paleo-environment	Availability of grains	Common agitation by currents	Electrolytes to enhance EPS adhesiveness	CaCO ₃ supersaturation	
Oncoids	Small clastic-rich channels or creeks and shallow freshwater bodies	Yes	Probably constant water flow within the channels	Relatively low abundance and diversity in freshwater	Very high, due to hardwater sources, promoting early and strong precipitation	Not significant
Thrombolites	Shallow water bodies with marine influence and dasyclad meadows	No	Not significant	High abundance and diversity due to marine influence	Relatively low in sea-water, hindering early and strong precipitation	Not significant
Skeletal stromatolites	Shallow freshwater bodies with common clastic input from alluvial fans	Yes	Only sporadic, associated with discharges from fans	Relatively low abundance and diversity in freshwater	Very high, due to hardwater sources, promoting early and strong precipitation	Not significant
Agglutinated stromatolites	Marginal-marine tide-influenced water bodies	Yes	Continuous and cyclic tidal currents in addition to waves and storms	High abundance and diversity due to marine influence	Relatively low in sea-water, hindering early and strong precipitation	Major accretion process
Micritic-evap. stromatolites	Very shallow and restricted marginal-marine areas with sulfate precipitation	No	Not significant	High abundance and diversity due to marine influence	Relatively low in sea-water, hindering early and strong precipitation	Not significant
Fenestral laminites	Very shallow marine-influenced water bodies rich in ostracods and forams	Only locally	Probably waves and storms	High abundance and diversity due to marine influence	Relatively low in sea-water, hindering early and strong precipitation	Only partially in some specimens

Fig. 15. Table summarizing the environmental factors (discussed in the text) that may control the accretion process of trapping and binding of allochthonous grains by microbialites. The influence of these processes is exemplified by the diverse microbialites of the case study presented here. Colour-code of the microbialite paleoenvironments is equivalent to that of Figs. 2, 4, 5. For each microbialite type, environmental factors that favor 'trapping and binding' are highlighted in red. Note that only the case in which all the favorable factors concur (agglutinated stromatolites) has 'trapping and binding' as its main accretion process. Microbialites forming in environments where all favorable factors occur but some of them only locally or sporadically, develop some agglutinated microfabrics (i.e. fenestral laminites), but they are not predominant. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

nates and agglutinated stromatolites) trapped and bound grains, especially the agglutinated stromatolites formed in tide-influenced settings. In the freshwater examples (oncoids and skeletal stromatolites), grains are not significant components of their microstructures, dominated by filamentous textures (Figs. 6, 8–9). One possible explanation for this pattern could be that in the freshwater examples there was little and only sporadic grain supply, or that the input of grains occurred after microbialite development. It is true that in the agglutinated stromatolites, the shallow-marine tidal setting would provide continuous and recurrent water agitation (tides, waves and storms), facilitating a constant supply of grains onto the stromatolite surface. Nevertheless, many of the oncoids occur embedded in a sandy matrix, and thus it is very likely that their freshwater environment was also continuously agitated (e.g. small channels or creeks; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2015), transporting the oncoids together with other smaller particles that, however, were not commonly incorporated into their laminae (Fig. 6). In the case of skeletal stromatolites, the grainy intercalations between stromatolite laminae or between filament fans (Figs. 8–9) show that grain input, although sporadic, was simultaneous with the stromatolite accretion. Similarly, many other fossil and modern examples of microbialites that developed in grainy and commonly agitated environments do not show significant grains trapped within their microfabrics (e.g. Kalkowsky, 1908; Cole and Picard, 1978; Neuhauser et al., 1987; Camoin et al., 1997; Paul and Peryt, 2000; Seard et al., 2013; Chidsey et al., 2015; Bouton et al., 2016b). In fact, only a few examples associated with shallow-marine settings, and especially with tidal influence, do (Tables 1, 2). Therefore, other factors, in addition to the grain availability and the hydrodynamic agitation, are necessary to explain the environmental pattern observed in the distribution of agglutinated microbialites.

7.2.2. Sticking grains

Even if a microbialite accretes in a grainy environment, and if the grains are regularly and abundantly supplied onto it, those grains still have to be stuck to its surface and bound by the microbial communities that form it, in order to achieve an agglutinated microfabric (Burne and Moore, 1987; Riding, 2011a; Frantz et al., 2015). The 'sticky' quality of these microbial communities is provided by their extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), which are responsible (among many other functions) for the cohesion of microbes with each other and for their adhesion to substrates (e.g. Sutherland, 1982; Characklis and Wilderer, 1989; Meadows et al., 1994; Wingender et al., 1999). The mechanisms that provide this adhesive capacity to EPS are not fully understood yet (Gerbersdorf and Wieprecht, 2015), but many studies of microbial attachment to surfaces and of sediment biostabilization by microbial communities (e.g. Sutherland, 1982; Fletcher, 1988; Dade et al., 1990; Decho, 1990; Mayer et al., 1999; Staats et al., 1999; de Brouwer et al., 2005; Flemming et al., 2007; Spears et al., 2008; Gerbersdorf and Wieprecht, 2015; and references therein) have shown that one of the most relevant controls is the presence of abundant cations, facilitating electrostatic bridging between the anionic groups of polymers and the charged surfaces of substrates or sediment grains. Therefore, since EPS are secreted by the microbes, the adhesiveness of a microbialite surface could be viewed as an intrinsic factor controlled by biotic features. However, given the influence of electrolyte concentration, extrinsic hydrochemical conditions of the environment could play a significant role. In this regard, some studies have described a pattern that relates the adhesive property of EPS with salinity: microbial communities of coastal and marine settings seem to stabilize sediment more effectively than those of freshwater settings, even though the latter may show higher abundance of EPS (Spears et al., 2007, 2008; Gerbersdorf and Wieprecht, 2015). Nevertheless, biostabilization of sediment by micro-

bial EPS does also occur in freshwater environments (e.g. Droppo et al., 2007; Hagadorn and McDowell, 2012; Gerbersdorf and Wieprecht, 2015; Schmidt et al., 2015; Thom et al., 2015), but the higher abundance and diversity of dissolved ions in brackish and marine environments may enhance the ability of EPS to adhere to sediment grains (Spears et al., 2008). This present-day pattern is consistent with that shown by the literature review and the case study presented here, because modern and fossil agglutinated microbialites occur only in shallow-marine environments, contrasting with the many non-marine microbialites that do not accrete mainly by 'trapping and binding', despite occurring in grainy settings (e.g. Kalkowsky, 1908; Cole and Picard, 1978; Neuhauser et al., 1987; Camoin et al., 1997; Paul and Peryt, 2000; Seard et al., 2013; Chidsey et al., 2015; Bouton et al., 2016b; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2016a, 2016b; Table 3).

Furthermore, microbialite EPS form not only the sticky surface to which grains adhere, but they are also, and mainly, the locus of mineral precipitation (Burne and Moore, 1987; Dupraz et al., 2009; Riding, 2011a). Therefore, early mineral precipitation within the surface layer of microbialites should also be taken into account as another significant factor. Studies approaching the 'trapping and binding' issue experimentally (using modern stromatolitic microbial communities) have considered the importance of this factor, pointing as a limitation of their tests the fact that they "did not evaluate the impact of mat calcification and stromatolite lithification" (Frantz et al., 2015; p. 417). The Cretaceous case study presented here may help to overcome that limitation through the analysis of its microbialites dominated by filamentous microfabrics (oncoids and skeletal stromatolites, Figs. 6, 8–9). As discussed above (sections 6.2.1, 6.2.3), these microbialites developed in freshwater environments where filamentous microbial communities (most likely cyanobacteria) were heavily calcified very early in their development, maybe even during their metabolic processes, as photosynthesis is known to promote the early precipitation of carbonate within filamentous cyanobacterial communities (e.g. Merz, 1992; Merz-Preiß, 2000; Arp et al., 2001a, 2001b). Since calcification takes place within and/or upon the EPS surrounding filamentous microbes (e.g. Golubic, 1973; Pentecost, 1978; Pentecost and Riding, 1986; Merz, 1992), the strong and early calcification would imply a significant reduction in the 'sticky' EPS available at the microbialite surface. Thus, very early and pervasive mineral precipitation could further decrease the ability of a microbial community to trap and bind sediment grains. This would explain the fact that the studied oncoids and skeletal stromatolites do not include abundant grains in their microfabrics (Fig. 15), despite being formed in grainy and agitated environments (Fig. 9). Conversely, microbes of the marine-influenced microbialites of the same sedimentary system (Table 3) were not as early, rapidly or pervasively calcified as in the freshwater examples, allowing uncalcified 'sticky' EPS to be available for a longer time at the microbialite surface (Figs. 9, 12, compare with Fig. 1D–G). Therefore, the ability of the surface EPS of a microbialite to adhere and include grains seems to be at least partially controlled by the hydrochemistry of its environment (as proposed by Riding, 2011a, p. 649). In this regard, continental saline lakes are interesting environments to consider, because their hydrochemistry could provide abundant and diverse electrolytes to enhance EPS 'stickiness' (which could explain the local presence of trapped grains in sporadic examples, e.g. Brasier et al., 2018; Vennin et al., 2018), but at the same time saline lakes often have high alkalinity and reach a high CaCO_3 saturation state (e.g. Arp et al., 1998; Dupraz et al., 2004, 2013; Brasier et al., 2018), which may trigger early precipitation within EPS and, thus, hinder the 'trapping and binding' process. Moreover, although continental saline lakes may have significant currents, these are less likely to be as common as in shallow-marine settings, continuously washed by waves, tides and storms. Thus, these hydrodynamic and hydrochemical features may explain the fact that ag-

glutinated microfabrics are not widespread in modern or fossil microbialites formed in continental saline lakes.

In summary, the previous discussion suggests that trapping and binding of grains by microbialites may be a process largely controlled by the following environmental factors (Fig. 15): a) the presence of grains in the environment, together with b) sufficient and continuous water agitation; and c) significant concentration and diversity of electrolytes, to increase the EPS 'stickiness', but d) with a CaCO_3 saturation state not so high as to promote early and strong carbonate precipitation in the EPS. Of course, intrinsic biotic factors also influence trapping and binding, as taxonomic differences between microbial communities entail differences in EPS production (e.g. Hu et al., 2003; Underwood and Paterson, 2003; Bahulikar and Kroth, 2008) or mineral precipitation (e.g. Obenlünenschloss and Schneider, 1991; Gautret et al., 2004). For example, some studies have shown that microbial communities with abundant eukaryotes (e.g. diatoms and chlorophytes) are more effective in trapping and binding grains than purely bacterial communities (Awramik and Riding, 1988; Frantz, 2013; Frantz et al., 2015), suggesting the eukaryotic influence as a time-limiting factor for agglutinated microbialites (Awramik and Riding, 1988; Riding, 2011a), given the relatively recent introduction of some eukaryotes in microbial mats (e.g. diatoms, whose marine fossil record starts in the Early Cretaceous; Suzuki and Oba, 2015). However, the description of agglutinated microbialites in Devonian, Triassic and Jurassic times (Table 2), and the rarity of diatom and chlorophyte remains in fossil agglutinated microbialites qualify this intriguing hypothesis, which deserves further analysis. In addition, some of the studies that noted the relevance of eukaryotes also highlighted the role of extrinsic conditions (such as water flow) in the agglutination process (Frantz, 2013; Frantz et al., 2015). Thus, the environmental (sedimentological, hydrodynamic and hydrochemical) controls seem to be overall very strong (cf. Monty, 1972; Golubic, 1973; Gautret et al., 2006; Vennin et al., 2015).

7.3. Clearing up 'trapping and binding': a new look at an old debate

The process of 'trapping and binding' has been essential in the history of microbialite research, but it has also been misleading, because fossil agglutinated counterparts showing clear evidence of that process are extremely scarce (see section 4, above). This problem has been long debated (Monty, 1972, 1977; Serebryakov and Semikhatov, 1974; Awramik and Riding, 1988; Ginsburg, 1991) and is still unclear. Interestingly, even the preponderance of the 'trapping and binding' process in the classical modern examples is also starting to be questioned (Suosaari et al., 2016a). The present study pinpoints a series of environmental factors that seem to enable a microbialite to accrete mainly by trapping and binding allochthonous grains (see previous section 7.2). Both the literature review and the case study presented here show that only microbialites formed in environments that fully reach all the conditions show significant development of agglutinated microfabrics, and the best conjunction of conditions typically occurs in shallow-marine tide-influenced environments (Fig. 15, Tables 1–3). In fact, going back to the origin of the debate, Black's (1933) description of microbialites in the Bahamian coastal system, it is significant that he already noted how microbialites in freshwater-dominated areas of the system show early-calcified filamentous textures and lack trapped grains, whereas microbialites in adjacent shallow-marine areas (which can be even formed by the same microbes as the freshwater specimens) lack significant early filament calcification and mainly accrete by trapping and binding, generating agglutinated microfabrics (Black, 1933; Monty, 1967, 1972, 1973). Moreover, microbialites of hypersaline areas of the Bahamian coastal systems typically develop micritic microfabrics, devoid of grains and of calcified filaments (Dupraz et al., 2004, 2013; Dupraz and Visscher, 2005), as it is also observed in the Cretaceous case study (Fig. 13, Table 3).

Furthermore, the geological record of agglutinated microbialites (Table 2), supports a strong environmental control on the process of trapping and binding, indicating that this process has been most easily achieved in shallow-marine environments, especially those with tidal influence. According to the factors proposed here (Fig. 15), tides do not seem to be strictly necessary for 'trapping and binding'. In fact, other mechanisms such as waves or storms have also been proposed as relevant for the delivery of grains onto modern agglutinated microbialites (Dravis, 1983; Riding et al., 1991a; Feldmann and McKenzie, 1998; Sprachta et al., 2001). Actually, any grain-rich environment that would provide common agitation by any type of current, plus high electrolyte concentration to enhance EPS adhesiveness, and a carbonate supersaturation not too high as to promote strong early calcification of EPS, should be suitable for the development of agglutinated microbialites. Therefore, the key to the 'trapping and binding' debate may reside in the difficulty of reaching the "favorable conspiracy" (Bosak et al., 2013) of all those factors together and continuously, in order to promote 'trapping and binding' long enough to produce a whole agglutinated microbialite. This difficulty could explain why agglutinated microbialites have remained more an exception than a rule throughout the geological record. For example, the scarcity of clearly agglutinated microfabrics in Precambrian microbialites might be linked to the commonly accepted interpretation that Precambrian oceans had a carbonate saturation state much higher than modern oceans (e.g. Grotzinger and Kasting, 1993; Grotzinger and Knoll, 1999; Bosak and Newman, 2003; Ridgwell and Zeebe, 2005; Aloisi, 2008). In the metazoan-dominated Phanerozoic world, microbialites in general were much less abundant than before (e.g. Riding, 1997; Riding, 2006; Grotzinger and Knoll, 1999), but the decrease in seawater carbonate saturation allowed some agglutinated microbialites to develop, only sporadically and in local refuges: coastal or shallow-marine, often with tidal influence, where the rest of the factors controlling 'trapping and binding' were also achieved (Table 2). Furthermore, the rarity of agglutinated microfabrics outside marine or coastal settings can be due to a combination of factors: a) that strong and continuously agitated conditions are more easily achieved in wave-, tide- and storm-swiped shallow-marine areas; b) that water in non-marine settings commonly includes lower electrolyte abundance and diversity than seawater (except in saline lakes, see section 7.2 above), probably decreasing EPS adhesiveness; and c) that microbialite-bearing environments in these settings (especially in saline lakes) are often supersaturated in CaCO_3 , facilitating early mineral precipitation within EPS. Nevertheless, these factors are not necessarily unfeasible in continental settings, and the fact that some continental microbialites include sporadic grains within their microfabrics (e.g. Frantz et al., 2014; Della Porta, 2015; Bunevich et al., 2017; Brasier et al., 2018; Vennin et al., 2018) suggests that those conditions were at least locally and temporarily achieved. In fact, the recent developments on this subject together with the new perspective provided by this study allow to foresee that future research will further enlighten the four-decade long debate about 'trapping and binding' in microbialites, by finding new constraints of that process, especially if new examples of agglutinated microbialites are described in pre-Mesozoic rocks or in non-marine settings.

8. Conclusions

This study provides the first exhaustive database of agglutinated microbialites (those mainly formed by 'trapping and binding' allochthonous particles) in modern and ancient settings. The database shows that agglutination of grains by microbialites, despite being an often-cited process, is relatively rare (a fact that has been noted before in the last four decades, but not comprehensively studied yet). Modern agglutinated microbialites have been found only in eight localities worldwide, all of them in shallow-marine environments, and most un-

der tidal influence. Fossil agglutinated microbialites, analogous to modern examples, have been described only in nine localities worldwide, three Cenozoic in age, five Mesozoic and only one Paleozoic. All of them were formed in shallow-marine paleoenvironments and most are interpreted to have had tidal influence. Therefore, the literature review shows that microbialites mainly formed by 'trapping and binding' are scarce throughout the long geological history of microbialites, and that they seem to be environmentally restricted to shallow-marine settings, and especially those influenced by tides.

A Lower Cretaceous case study is presented here, which includes a wide diversity of microbialites (six different types) formed in a coastal wetland system, with paleoenvironments ranging from freshwater to shallow-marine and hypersaline. Four microbialite types occurred in grainy paleoenvironments, but those formed under freshwater conditions do not significantly include grains in their microfabrics, whereas those formed in shallow-marine settings do, especially the agglutinated stromatolites, which developed in tide-influenced paleoenvironments. Thus, the case study supports the environmental pattern observed in the database of modern and fossil agglutinated microbialites.

The combination of literature review and case study prompts discussion about the parameters that control microbialite accretion processes and the microfabrics they produce, and it shows that environmental factors may have a stronger influence on microfabric development than previously thought. In particular, microbialite accretion through agglutination of allochthonous grains is mainly controlled by two extrinsic factors (occurrence of grains and continuous water agitation) and one intrinsic factor (presence of abundant 'sticky' EPS on the microbialite surface). However, the literature suggests that the latter biotic factor may be largely influenced by two environmental parameters: high concentration and diversity of electrolytes in the water, which increase EPS adhesiveness; and a CaCO_3 saturation state not so high as to promote early and strong carbonate precipitation within EPS, which would decrease its availability to adhere grains. The combination of these hydrodynamic and hydrochemical parameters is not easily achieved, at least not prolonged enough to produce a whole agglutinated microbialite. This is exemplified by the case study presented here and by the distribution of modern agglutinated microbialites, and it would explain the scarceness of agglutinated microbialites in the geological record. In addition, these parameters may also explain the environmental and stratigraphic distribution of agglutinated microbialites, because they are more prone to be attained in commonly agitated shallow-marine settings (especially those daily washed by tides), at least in post-Paleozoic times. Therefore, this review provides answers to the long-standing debate of the rarity of agglutinated microbialites, and it also provides a starting point for future research on the widely cited but still intriguing process of 'trapping and binding'.

Acknowledgements

We dedicate this work to Laura Fernández Labrador, who was going to be an excellent scientist because she always asked the right questions. Now, her enquiring spirit will be forever in our hearts helping us to keep asking interesting questions and looking for answers. This work was funded by the research projects CGL2014-52670-P and CGL2011-22709 of the Spanish Government, the 'Sedimentary Basin Analysis' research group of the Complutense University of Madrid, a predoctoral FPU scholarship of Spanish Department of Education, and a postdoctoral fellowship of the German Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. We appreciate detailed and constructive comments by Dr. André Strasser and by an anonymous reviewer. We are thankful to Beatriz Moral, Gilberto Herrero and Juan Carlos Salamanca for thin-section preparation, and to Laura Donadeo and María Victoria Romero for help with the bibliography.

Uncited references

Paterson, 1994
 Serebryakov, 1976
 Suárez González, 2015
 Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2017

References

- Achauer, C.W., Johnson, J.H., 1969. Algal stromatolites in the James Reef Complex (Lower Cretaceous), Fairway field, Texas. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 39, 1466–1472.
- Acosta, P., García-Hernández, M., Checa, A., 1988. Biohermos de esponjas y estromatolitos en la secuencia transgresiva oxfordiense de la Sierra de Cazorla. *Geogaceta* 5, 36–39.
- Aitken, J.D., 1967. Classification and environmental significance of cryptalgal limestones and dolomites, with illustrations from the Cambrian and Ordovician of southwestern Alberta. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 37, 1163–1178.
- Allwood, A.C., Grotzinger, J.P., Knoll, A.H., Burch, I.W., Anderson, M.S., Coleman, M.L., Kanik, I., 2009. Controls on development and diversity of early Archean stromatolites. *PNAS* 106, 9548–9555.
- Aloisi, G., 2008. The calcium carbonate saturation state in cyanobacterial mats throughout Earth's history. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72, 6037–6060.
- Aloisi, G., 2018. A pronounced fall in the CaCO₃ saturation state and the total alkalinity of the surface ocean during the Mid Mesozoic. *Chem. Geol.* 487, 39–53.
- Altermann, W., 2008. Accretion, trapping and binding of sediment in Archean stromatolites – Morphological expression of the antiquity of life. *Space Sci. Rev.* 135, 55–79.
- Anadón, P., Zamarreño, I., 1981. Paleogene nonmarine algal deposits of the Ebro Basin, Northeastern Spain. In: Monty, C. (Ed.), *Phanerozoic Stromatolites*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 140–154.
- Andres, M.S., Reid, R.P., 2006. Growth morphologies of modern marine stromatolites: a case study from Highborne Cay, Bahamas. *Sediment. Geol.* 185, 319–328.
- Arenas, C., Pomar, L., 2010. Microbial deposits in upper Miocene carbonates, Mallorca, Spain. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 297, 465–485.
- Arenas, C., Gutiérrez, F., Osácar, C., Sancho, C., 2000. Sedimentology and geochemistry of fluvio-lacustrine tufa deposits controlled by evaporite solution subsidence in the Central Ebro Depression, NE Spain. *Sedimentology* 47, 883–909.
- Arenas, C., Vázquez-Urbez, M., Auqué, L., Sancho, C., Osácar, C., Pardo, G., 2014. Intrinsic and extrinsic controls of spatial and temporal variations in modern fluvial tufa sedimentation: a thirteen-year record from a semi-arid environment. *Sedimentology* 61, 90–132.
- Arenas, C., Auqué, L., Osácar, C., Sancho, C., Lozano, M.V., Vázquez-Urbez, M., Pardo, G., 2015. Current tufa sedimentation in a high discharge river: a comparison with other synchronous tufa records in the Iberian Range (Spain). *Sediment. Geol.* 325, 132–157.
- Arp, G., 1995. Lacustrine bioherms, spring mounds, and marginal carbonates of the Ries-Impact-Crater (Miocene, Southern Germany). *Facies* 33, 35–90.
- Arp, G., Hofmann, J., Reitner, J., 1998. Microbial fabric formation in spring mounds ("microbialites") of alkaline salt lakes in the Badain Jaran Sand Sea, PR China. *Palaios* 13, 581–592.
- Arp, G., Reimer, A., Reitner, J., 2001. Photosynthesis-induced biofilm calcification and calcium concentrations in Phanerozoic oceans. *Science* 292, 1701–1704.
- Arp, G., Wedemeyer, N., Reitner, J., 2001. Fluvial tufa formation in a hard-water creek (Deinschwanger Bach, Franconian Alb, Germany). *Facies* 44, 1–22.
- Arp, G., Bielert, F., Hoffmann, V.E., Löffler, T., 2005. Palaeoenvironmental significance of lacustrine stromatolites of the Arnstadt Formation ("Steinmergelkeuper", Upper Triassic, N-Germany). *Facies* 51, 419–441.
- Arp, G., Helms, G., Karlinska, K., Schumann, G., Reimer, A., Reitner, J., Trichert, J., 2012. Photosynthesis versus exopolymer degradation in the formation of microbialites on the atoll of Kiribati, Republic of Kiribati, Central Pacific. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 29, 29–65.
- Auqué, L., Arenas, C., Osácar, C., Pardo, G., Sancho, C., Vázquez-Urbez, M., 2014. Current tufa sedimentation in a changing-slope valley: the River Añamaza (Iberian Range, NE Spain). *Sediment. Geol.* 303, 26–48.
- Awramik, S.M., Riding, R., 1988. Role of algal eukaryotes in subtidal columnar stromatolite formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 85, 1327–1329.
- Bahulikar, R.A., Kroth, P.G., 2008. The complex extracellular polysaccharides of mainly chain-forming freshwater diatom species from epilithic biofilms. *J. Phycol.* 44, 1465–1475.
- Baldwin, A.H., Barendregt, A., Whigham, D., 2009. Tidal freshwater wetlands – An introduction to the ecosystem. In: Barendregt, A., Whigham, D., Baldwin, A. (Eds.), *Tidal Freshwater Wetlands*. Backhuys, Leiden, pp. 1–10.
- Bathurst, R.G.C., 1993. Microfabrics in carbonate diagenesis: a critical look at forty years in research. In: Rezak, R., Lavoie, D.L. (Eds.), *Carbonate Microfabrics*. Springer, New York, pp. 3–14.
- Benito, M.I., Mas, R., 2002. Evolución diagenética de los carbonatos arrecifales de la Formación Torrecilla en Cameros y de los carbonatos continentales suprayacentes (Kimmeridgiense inferior-Titónico) en el Sector de Soria. *Cuenca de Cameros. N. España. J. Iber. Geol.* 28, 65–92.
- Benito, M.I., Mas, R., 2006. Sedimentary evolution of the torrecilla Reef Complex in response to tectonically forced regression (Early Kimmeridgian, Northern Spain). *Sediment. Geol.* 183, 31–49.
- Benito, M.I., Lohmann, K.C., Mas, J.R., 2001. Discrimination of multiple episodes of meteoric diagenesis in a Kimmeridgian reefal complex North Iberian Basin, Spain. *J. Sediment. Res.* 70, 381–393.
- Bertrand-Sarfati, J., 1994. Siliciclastic-carbonate stromatolite domes, in the early Carboniferous of the Ajijers Basin (Eastern Sahara, Algeria). In: Bertrand-Sarfati, J., Monty, C. (Eds.), *Phanerozoic Stromatolites II*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 395–419.
- Black, M., 1933. The algal sedimentation of Andros Island Bahamas. In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological Sciences*. 222, pp. 165–192.
- Bosak, T., 2011. Microbially induced calcite precipitation. In: Reitner, J., Thiel, V. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Geobiology*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 223–227.
- Bosak, T., Newman, D.K., 2003. Microbial nucleation of calcium carbonate in the Precambrian. *Geology* 31, 577–580.
- Bosak, T., Knoll, A.H., Petroff, A.P., 2013. The meaning of stromatolites. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 41, 21–44.
- Bourillot, R., 2009. Evolution des plates-formes carbonates pendant la crise de salinité messinienne: de la déformation des évaporites aux communautés microbaliolithiques (Sud-Est de l'Espagne). PhD thesis Université de Bourgogne, France, Available Online in http://biogeosciences.u-bourgogne.fr/documents/theses/2009_these_bourillot.pdf.
- Bourillot, R., Vennin, E., Rouchy, J.M., Durlot, C., Rommevaux, V., Kolodka, C., Knap, F., 2010. Structure and evolution of a Messinian mixed carbonate-siliciclastic platform: the role of evaporites (Sorbas Basin, South-east Spain). *Sedimentology* 57, 477–512.
- Bourillot, R., Vennin, E., Rouchy, J.M., Blanc-Valleron, M.M., Caruso, A., Durlot, C., 2010. The end of the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the western Mediterranean: Insights from the carbonate platforms of South-Eastern Spain. *Sediment. Geol.* 229, 224–253.
- Bouton, A., Vennin, E., Pace, A., Bourillot, R., Dupraz, C., Thomazo, C., Brayard, A., Désaubliaux, G., Visscher, P.T., 2016. External controls on the distribution, fabrics and mineralization of modern microbial mats in a coastal hypersaline lagoon, Cayo Coco (Cuba). *Sedimentology* 63, 972–1016.
- Bouton, A., Vennin, E., Boule, J., Pace, A., Bourillot, R., Thomazo, C., Brayard, A., Désaubliaux, G., Goslar, T., Yokoyama, Y., Dupraz, C., Visscher, P.T., 2016. Linking the distribution of microbial deposits from the Great Salt Lake (Utah, USA) to tectonic and climatic processes. *Biogeosciences* 13, 5511–5526.
- Bowlin, E.M., Klaus, J.S., Foster, J.S., Andres, M.S., Custals, L., Reid, R.P., 2012. Environmental controls on microbial community cycling in modern marine stromatolites. *Sediment. Geol.* 263–264, 45–55.
- Braga, J.C., Martín, J.M., 2000. Subaqueous siliciclastic stromatolites: a case history from late Miocene beach deposits in the Sorbas Basin of SE Spain. In: Riding, R.E., Awramik, S.M. (Eds.), *Microbial Sediments*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 226–232.
- Braga, J.C., Martín, J.M., Riding, R., 1995. Controls on microbial dome fabric development along a carbonate-siliciclastic shelf-basin transect, Miocene, SE Spain. *Palaios* 10, 347–361.
- Brasier, A., Wacey, D., Rogerson, M., Guagliardo, P., Saunders, M., Kellner, S., Merced-Martín, R., Prior, T., Taylor, C., Matthews, A., Reijmer, J., 2018. A microbial role in the construction of Mono Lake carbonate chimneys?. *Geobiology* <https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12292>.
- Brenchley, P.J., Harper, D.A.T., 1998. *Palaeoecology: Ecosystems, Environments and Evolution*. vol. 402, Chapman & Hall, London.
- Browne, K.M., 2011. Modern marine stromatolitic structures: the sediment dilemma. In: Tewari, V.C., Seckbach, J. (Eds.), *Stromatolites: Interaction of Microbes with Sediments*. Springer, New York, pp. 291–312.
- Browne, K.M., Golubic, S., Seong-Joo, L., 2000. Shallow marine microbial carbonate deposits. In: Riding, R.E., Awramik, S.M. (Eds.), *Microbial Sediments*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 233–249.
- Buck, S.G., 1980. Stromatolite and ooid deposits within the fluvial and lacustrine sediments of the Precambrian Ventersdorp Supergroup of South Africa. *Precambrian Res.* 12, 311–330.
- Bunevich, R.B., Borghi, L., Gabaglia, G.P.R., Terra, G.J.S., Bento Freire, E., Lykawka, R., Frago, D.G.C., 2017. Microbialitos da Sequência Balbuena IV (Daniano), Bacia de Salta, Argentina: caracterização de intrabioaquíferos e de microciclos. *Pesquisas em Geociências* 44, 177–202.
- Burne, R.V., Johnson, K., 2012. Sea-level variation and the zonation of microbialites in Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Western Australia. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 63, 994–1003.
- Burne, R.V., Moore, L.S., 1987. Microbialites: organosedimentary deposits of benthic microbial communities. *Palaios* 2, 241–254.
- Camoin, G., Casanova, J., Rouchy, J.M., Blanc-Valleron, M.M., Deconinck, J.F., 1997. Environmental controls on perennial and ephemeral carbonate lakes: the central paleo-Andean Basin of Bolivia during late cretaceous to early Tertiary times. *Sediment. Geol.* 113, 1–26.
- Campos-Soto, S., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., Caus, E., Cobos, A., Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Quijada, I.E., 2016. Revisiting the late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous of the NW South Iberian Basin: new ages and sedimentary environments. *J. Iber. Geol.* 42, 69–94.
- Carey, A.E., Oliver, F.W., 1918. *Tidal Lands: A Study of Shore Problems*. vol. 284, Blackie and Son, Glasgow.
- Castro-Contreras, S.I., Gingras, M.K., Pecoits, E., Aubert, N.R., Petrash, D., Castro-Contreras, S.M., Dick, G., Planavsky, N., Konhauser, K.O., 2014. Textural and geochemical features of freshwater microbialites from Laguna Bacalar, Quintana Roo, Mexico. *Palaios* 29, 192–209.
- Caudwell, Ch., 1983. Les Rivulariacées actuelles: Interprétation possible de la structure zonée des concrétions stromatolitiques à Rivularia haematites. *Geobios* 16, 169–177.

- Chafetz, H.S., Buczynski, C., 1992. Bacterially induced lithification of microbial mats. *Palaios* 7, 277–293.
- Chagas, A.A.P., Webb, G.E., Burne, R.V., Southam, G., 2016. Modern lacustrine microbialites: Towards a synthesis of aqueous and carbonate geochemistry and mineralogy. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 162, 338–363.
- Characklis, W.G., Wilderer, P.A., 1989. *Structure and Function of Biofilms*. vol. 388, Wiley, Chichester.
- Chernicoff, S., Fox, H.A., Venkatakrishnan, R., 1997. *Essentials of Geology*. Worth, New York, 411.
- Chidsey, T.C., Vanden Berg, M.D., Eby, D.E., 2015. Petrography and characterization of microbial carbonates and associated facies from modern Great Salt Lake and Uinta Basin's Eocene Green River Formation in Utah, USA. In: Bosence, D.W.J., Gibbons, K.A., Le Heron, D.P., Morgan, W.A., Pritchard, T., Vining, B.A. (Eds.), *Microbial Carbonates in Space and Time* Geological Society. vol. 418, pp. 261–286, London, Special Publications.
- Cole, R.D., Picard, M.D., 1978. Comparative mineralogy of nearshore and offshore lacustrine lithofacies, Parachute Creek Member of the Green River Formation, Piceance Creek Basin, Colorado and eastern Uinta Basin, Utah. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 89, 1441–1454.
- Collinson, J., Mountney, N., Thompson, D., 2006. *Sedimentary Structures*, 3rd ed Terra Publishing, Harpenden, UK, (292 pp).
- Cooper, J.A.G., Smith, A.M., Armscheidt, J., 2013. Contemporary stromatolite formation in high intertidal rock pools, Giant's Causeway, Northern Ireland: preliminary observations. *J. Coast. Res. Spec. Issue* 65, 1675–1680.
- Dade, W.B., Davis, J.D., Nichols, P.D., Nowell, A.R.M., Thistle, D., Trexler, M.B., White, D.C., 1990. Effects of bacterial exopolymer adhesion on the entrainment of sand. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 8, 1–16.
- Dahanayake, K., 1977. Classification of oncolites from the Upper Jurassic carbonates of the French Jura. *Sediment. Geol.* 18, 337–353.
- Davidson-Arnott, R., 2010. *Introduction to Coastal Processes and Geomorphology*. vol. 442, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- Davies, G.R., 1970. Algal-laminated sediments, Gladstone Embayment, Shark Bay, Western Australia. In: Logan, B.W., Davies, G.R., Read, J.F., Cebulski, D.E. (Eds.), *Carbonate Sedimentation and Environments, Shark Bay, Western Australia*. AAPG Memoir. vol. 13, Tulsa, USA, pp. 169–205.
- Davis, R.A., 1968. Algal stromatolites composed of quartz sandstone. *J. Geol.* 74, 908–923.
- de Brouwer, J.F.C., Wolfstein, K., Ruddy, G.K., Jones, T.E.R., Stal, L.J., 2005. Biogenic stabilization of intertidal sediments: the importance of extracellular polymeric substances produced by benthic diatoms. *Microb. Ecol.* 49, 501–512.
- Decho, A.W., 1990. Microbial exopolymer secretions in ocean environments: their role(s) in food webs and marine processes. *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev.* 28, 73–153.
- Della Porta, G., 2015. Carbonate build-ups in lacustrine, hydrothermal and fluvial settings: comparing depositional geometry, fabric types and geochemical signature. In: Bosence, D.W.J., Gibbons, K.A., Le Heron, D.P., Morgan, W.A., Pritchard, T., Vining, B.A. (Eds.), *Microbial Carbonates in Space and Time: Implications for Global Exploration and Production*. vol. 418, pp. 17–68, Geological society, London, Special Publications.
- Demico, R.V., Hardie, L.A., 1994. Sedimentary structures and early diagenetic features of shallow marine carbonate deposits. *SEPM Atlas Ser.* 1, (265 pp).
- Dill, R.F., Shinn, E.A., Jones, A.T., Kelly, K., Steinen, R.P., 1986. Giant subtidal stromatolites forming in normal salinity waters. *Nature* 324, 55–58.
- Draganits, E., Noffke, N., 2004. Siliciclastic stromatolites and other microbially induced sedimentary structures in an early Devonian barrier-island environment (Muth Formation, NW Himalayas). *J. Sediment. Res.* 74, 191–202.
- Dragastan, O., 1985. Review of Tethyan Mesozoic algae of Romania. In: Toomey, D.F., Nitecki, M.H. (Eds.), *Paleoalgology: Contemporary Research and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 101–161.
- Dravis, J.J., 1983. Hardened subtidal stromatolites, Bahamas. *Science* 219, 385–386.
- Droppo, I.G., Ross, N., Skafel, M., Liss, S., 2007. Biostabilization of cohesive sediment beds in a freshwater wave-dominated environment. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 52, 577–589.
- Duda, J.P., Van Kranendonk, M.J., Thiel, V., Ionescu, D., Strauss, H., Schäfer, N., Reitner, J., 2016. A rare glimpse of paleoarchean life: Geobiology of an exceptionally preserved microbial mat facies from the 3.4 Ga Strelitz Pool Formation, Western Australia. *PLoS ONE* 11 (1), e0147629.
- Dupraz, C., Visscher, P.T., 2005. Microbial lithification in marine stromatolites and hypersaline mats. *Trends Microbiol.* 13, 429–438.
- Dupraz, C., Visscher, P.T., Baumgartner, L.K., Reid, R.P., 2004. Microbe-mineral interactions: early carbonate precipitation in a hypersaline lake (Eleuthera Island, Bahamas). *Sedimentology* 51, 745–765.
- Dupraz, C., Reid, R.P., Braissant, O., Decho, A.W., Norman, R.S., Visscher, P.T., 2009. Processes of carbonate precipitation in modern microbial mats. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 96, 141–162.
- Dupraz, C., Reid, R.P., Visscher, P.T., 2011. Modern microbialites. In: Reitner, J., Thiel, V. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Geobiology*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 617–635.
- Dupraz, C., Fowler, A., Tobias, C., Visscher, P.T., 2013. Stromatolitic knobs in Storr's Lake (San Salvador, Bahamas): a model system for formation and alteration of laminae. *Geobiology* 11, 527–548.
- Edwards, M.J.K., Anderson, C.R., Perissinotto, R., Rishworth, G.M., 2017. Macro- and meso-fabric structures of peritidal tufa stromatolites along the Eastern Cape coast of South Africa. *Sediment. Geol.* 359, 62–75.
- Fairchild, I.J., 1991. Origins of carbonate in Neoproterozoic stromatolites and the identification of modern analogues. *Precambrian Res.* 53, 281–299.
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Dornbos, S.Q., Corsetti, F.A., Isbell, J.L., Petryshyn, V.A., Bowles, J.A., Wilmet, D.T., 2016. Early non-marine life: evaluating the biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic fluvial-lacustrine stromatolites. *Precambrian Res.* 275, 105–118.
- Feldmann, M., 1995. Controls on Stromatolite Formation: A Comparative Study of Modern Stromatolites from the Bahamas with Messinian Examples from Southeast Spain, PhD Thesis. ETH Zürich, (128 p).
- Feldmann, M., 1997. Stromatolitic laminae formation and carbonate precipitation associated with microbial mats from modern Bahamian environments. *Facies* 36, 200–203.
- Feldmann, M., McKenzie, J.A., 1998. Stromatolite-Thrombolite associations in a modern environment: Lee Stocking Island, Bahamas. *Palaios* 13, 201–212.
- Flemming, H.C., Strathmann, M., Leon Morales, C.F., 2007. Biofilms and their role in sediment dynamics and pollutant mobility. In: Westrich, B., Föstner, U. (Eds.), *Sediment Dynamics and Pollutant Mobility in Rivers: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 344–357.
- Fletcher, M., 1988. Effects of electrolytes on attachment of aquatic bacteria to solid surfaces. *Estuaries* 11, 226–230.
- Flügel, E., 2010. *Microfacies of Carbonate Rocks*, 2nd edition Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (984 pp).
- Folk, R.L., 1954. The distinction between grain size and mineral composition in sedimentary-rock nomenclature. *J. Geol.* 62, 344–359.
- Frantz, C.M., 2013. Stromatolites as biosignatures and paleoenvironmental records: experiments with modern mats and examples from the Eocene Green River Formation. PhD thesis University of Southern California, USA, Available Online in <http://digitalibrary.usc.edu/cdm/ref/collection/p15799coll3/id/329275>.
- Frantz, C.M., Petryshyn, V.A., Marengo, P.J., Tripathi, A., Berelson, W.M., Corsetti, F.A., 2014. Dramatic local environmental change during the early Eocene Climatic Optimum detected using high resolution chemical analyses of Green River Formation stromatolites. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 405, 1–15.
- Frantz, C.M., Petryshyn, V.A., Corsetti, F.A., 2015. Grain trapping by filamentous cyanobacterial and algal mats: implications for stromatolite microfabrics through time. *Geobiology* 13, 409–423.
- Frey, R.W., Basan, P.B., 1978. Coastal salt marshes. In: Richard, A., Davis, Jr (Eds.), *Coastal Sedimentary Environments*. Springer-Verlag, Nueva York, pp. 101–169.
- Freytet, P., 2000. Distribution and palaeoecology of non marine algae and stromatolites: II, the Limagne of Allier Oligo-Miocene lake (Central France). *Ann. Paléontol.* 86, 3–57.
- Freytet, P., Plaziat, J.C., 1972. Les constructions algales continentales stromatolitiques. Exemples pris dans le Crétacé supérieur et le Tertiaire de France et d'Espagne du Nord. In: 24th International Geological Congress, Section 7 Paleontology. pp. 524–534.
- Freytet, P., Plet, A., 1996. Modern freshwater microbial carbonates: the Phormidium stromatolites (tufa-travertine) of southeastern Burgundy (Paris Basin, France). *Facies* 34, 219–238.
- Freytet, P., Verrecchia, E.P., 1998. Freshwater organisms that build stromatolites: a synopsis of biocrystallization by prokaryotic and eukaryotic algae. *Sedimentology* 45, 535–563.
- Gautret, P., Camoin, G., Golubic, S., Spracht, S., 2004. Biochemical control of calcium carbonate precipitation in modern lagoonal microbialites, Tikahau atoll, French Polynesia. *J. Sediment. Res.* 74, 462–478.
- Gautret, P., de Wit, R., Camoin, G., Golubic, S., 2006. Are environmental conditions recorded by the organic matrices associated with precipitated calcium carbonate in cyanobacterial microbialites? *Geobiology* 4, 93–107.
- Gebelein, C.D., 1969. Distribution, morphology, and accretion rate of recent subtidal algal stromatolites, Bermuda. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 39, 49–69.
- Gebelein, C.D., 1976. Open marine subtidal and intertidal stromatolites (Florida, the Bahamas and Bermuda). In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 381–388, Developments in Sedimentology.
- Gebelein, C.D., 1976. The effects of the physical, chemical and biological evolution of the Earth. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 499–515, Developments in Sedimentology.
- Gebelein, C.D., 1977. Dynamics of recent carbonate sedimentation and ecology. Cap Sable, Florida. E.J. Brill, Leiden, (120 pp).
- Gerbersdorf, S.U., Wieprecht, S., 2015. Biostabilization of cohesive sediments: revisiting the role of abiotic conditions, physiology and diversity of microbes, polymeric secretion, and biofilm architecture. *Geobiology* 13, 68–97.
- Ginsburg, R.N., 1960. Ancient analogues of recent stromatolites. In: Proceedings of the 21st International Geological Congress, Copenhagen. pp. 26–35, Section 22.
- Ginsburg, R.N., 1991. Controversies about stromatolites: Vices and virtues. In: Müller, D.W., McKenzie, J.A., Weissert, H. (Eds.), *Controversies in Modern Geology*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 25–36.
- Ginsburg, R.N., Isham, L.B., Bein, S.J., Kuperberg, J., 1954. Laminated algal sediments of South Florida and their recognition in the fossil record. Unpublished report 54-20 of the Marine Laboratory University of Miami, (33 pp).
- Gischler, E., Gibson, M.A., Oschmann, W., 2008. Giant Holocene freshwater microbialites, Laguna Bacalar, Quintana Roo, Mexico. *Sedimentology* 55, 1293–1309.
- Gischler, E., Golubic, S., Gibson, M.A., Oschmann, W., Hudson, J.H., 2011. Microbial mats and microbialites in the freshwater Laguna Bacalar, Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. In: Reitner, J., Quéric, N.V., Arp, G. (Eds.), *Advances in Stromatolite Geobiology*. Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences. vol. 131, Springer, Berlin, pp. 187–205.
- Goldstein, R.H., Fransen, E.K., Lipinski, C.J., 2013. Topographic and sea level controls on oolite-microbialite-coral reef sequences: the terminal carbonate complex of Southeast Spain. *AAPG Bull.* 97, 1997–2034.

- Golubic, S., 1973. The relationship between blue-green algae and carbonate deposits. In: Carr, N.G., Whitton, B.A. (Eds.), *The Biology of Blue-Green Algae*. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 434–472.
- Golubic, S., 1985. Microbial mats and modern stromatolites in Shark Bay, Western Australia. In: Caldwell, D.E., Brierley, J.A., Brierley, C.L. (Eds.), *Planetary Ecology*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company Inc., New York, pp. 3–16.
- Golubic, S., 1991. Modern stromatolites: a review. In: Riding, R. (Ed.), *Calcareous Algae and Stromatolites*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 541–561.
- Golubic, S., Campbell, S.E., 1981. Biogenically formed aragonite concretions in marine Rivularia. In: Monty, C. (Ed.), *Phanerozoic Stromatolites: Case Histories*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 209–229.
- Grey, K., 1989. Handbook for the study of stromatolites and associated structures (second draft). In: Kennard, J.M., Burne, R.V. (Eds.), *Stromatolite Newsletter*. 14, Bureau Of Mineral Resources, Geology And Geophysics, Canberra, Australia, pp. 82–171.
- Grotzinger, J.P., 1989. Facies and evolution of Precambrian carbonate depositional systems: emergence of the modern platform archetype. In: Crevello, P.D. (Ed.), *Controls on Carbonate Platform and Basin Development*. 44, SEPM Spec. Publ., pp. 79–106.
- Grotzinger, J.P., Jordan, T.H., 2014. *Understanding Earth*, 7th edition, vol. 672, W.H. Freeman & Co, New York.
- Grotzinger, J.P., Kasting, J.F., 1993. New constraints on Precambrian Ocean composition. *J. Geol.* 101, 235–243.
- Grotzinger, J.P., Knoll, A.H., 1999. Stromatolites in Precambrian carbonates: Evolutionary mileposts or environmental dipsticks? *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 27, 313–358.
- Hagadorn, J.W., McDowell, C., 2012. Microbial influence on erosion, grain transport, and bedform genesis in sandy substrates under unidirectional flow. *Sedimentology* 59, 795–808.
- Hagan, P., 2015. Internal fabrics and microbial precipitation in the stromatolites of Hamelin Pool, Western Australia. Master thesis. University of Miami, 138 pp. Available online at https://scholarlyrepository.miami.edu/oa_theses/591/.
- Hägele, D., Leinfelder, R., Grau, J., Burmeister, E.G., Struck, U., 2006. Oncoids from the river Alz (southern Germany): Tiny ecosystems in a phosphorus-limited environment. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 237, 378–395.
- Ham, W.E., 1952. Algal origin of the "birdseye" limestone in the McLish Formation: Oklahoma. *Proceed. Okla. Acad. Sci.* 33, 200–203.
- Hardie, L.A., Ginsburg, R.N., 1977. Layering: The origin and environmental significance of lamination and thin bedding. In: Hardie, L.A. (Ed.), *Sedimentation on the Modern Carbonate Tidal Flats of Northwest Andros Island, Bahamas*. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp. 50–123.
- Harwood, G., 1990. 'Sandstone Stromatolites' – An Example of Algal-Trapping of Sand Grains from the Permian Yates Formation, New Mexico, USA. *Sediments* 1990, 13th International Sedimentological Congress (Nottingham-England) Abstracts of Posters. 97.
- Harwood Theisen, C., Sumner, D.Y., 2016. Thrombolite fabrics and origins: influences of diverse microbial and metazoan processes on Cambrian thrombolite variability in the Great Basin, California and Nevada. *Sedimentology* 63, 2217–2252.
- Herrmann, R., 1996. Entwicklung einer oberjürrassischen Karbonatplattform: Biofazies, Riffe und Sedimentologie im Oxfordium der Zentralen Dobrogea (Ost-Rumänien). *Berl. Geowiss. Abh.* 19, 1–101.
- Hoffman, P., 1976. Stromatolite morphogenesis in Shark Bay, Western Australia. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 261–271, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Hofmann, H.J., 1969. Attributes of stromatolites. In: *Geological Survey of Canada Paper* 69–39, (58 pp).
- Hofmann, H.J., 1973. Stromatolites: characteristics and utility. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 9, 339–373.
- Horodyski, R.J., 1976. Stromatolites of the upper Siyeh Limestone (Middle Proterozoic), belt supergroup, Glacier National Park, Montana. *Precambrian Res.* 3, 517–536.
- Hu, C.X., Liu, Y.D., Paulsen, B.S., Petersen, D., Klavness, B., 2003. Extracellular carbohydrate polymers from five desert soil algae with different cohesion in the stabilization of fine sand grain. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 54, 33–42.
- Immenhauser, A., Hillgärtner, H., Van Bentum, E., 2005. Microbial-foraminiferal episodes in the early Aptian of the southern Tethyan margin: ecological significance and possible relation to oceanic anoxic event 1a. *Sedimentology* 52, 77–99.
- Irion, G., Müller, G., 1968. Mineralogy, petrology and chemical composition of some calcareous tufa from the Schwäbische Alb, Germany. In: Müller, G., Friedman, G.M. (Eds.), *Recent Developments in Carbonate Sedimentology in Central Europe*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 157–171.
- Irtem, O., 1987. Miocene tidal flat stromatolites of the Dam Formation, Saudi Arabia. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* 12, 145–153.
- Jahnert, R.J., Collins, L.B., 2011. Significance of subtidal microbial deposits in Shark Bay, Australia. *Mar. Geol.* 286, 106–111.
- Jahnert, R.J., Collins, L.B., 2012. Characteristics, distribution and morphogenesis of subtidal microbial systems in Shark Bay, Australia. *Mar. Geol.* 303–306, 115–136.
- Jahnert, R.J., Collins, L.B., 2013. Controls on microbial activity and tidal flat evolution in Shark Bay, Western Australia. *Sedimentology* 60, 1071–1099.
- Johnson, J.H., 1961. *Limestone-Building Algae and Algal Stromatolites*. Colorado School of Mines, Boulder, Colorado, (297 pp).
- Jones, B., 1991. Genesis of terrestrial oncoids, Cayman Islands, British West Indies. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 28, 382–397.
- Jones, B., Goodbody, Q.H., 1985. Oncolites from a shallow lagoon, Grand Cayman Island. *Bull. Can. Pet. Geol.* 32, 254–260.
- Jones, F., Wilkinson, B.H., 1978. Structure and growth of lacustrine pisoliths from recent Michigan marl lakes. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 48, 1103–1110.
- Kalkowsky, E., 1908. Oolith und Stromatolith im norddeutschen Buntsandstein. *Z. Dtsch. Geol. Ges.* 60, 68–125.
- Kempe, S., Kazmierczak, J., 1990. Calcium carbonate supersaturation and the formation of in situ calcified stromatolites. In: Ittekkot, V., Kempe, S., Michaelis, W., Spitz, A. (Eds.), *Facets of Modern Biogeochemistry*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 255–278.
- Kennard, J.M., James, N.P., 1986. Thrombolites and stromatolites: two distinct types of microbial structures. *Palaios* 1, 492–503.
- Kinsman, D.J.J., Park, R.K., 1976. Algal belt and coastal sabkha evolution, Trucial Coast, Persian Gulf. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 421–433, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Knoll, A.H., Semikhatov, M.A., 1998. The genesis and time distribution of two distinctive Proterozoic stromatolite microstructures. *Palaios* 13, 408–422.
- Krumbein, W.E., 1983. Stromatolites – the challenge of a term in space and time. *Precambrian Res.* 20, 493–531.
- Kuss, J., 1990. Middle Jurassic calcareous algae from the circum-arabian area. *Facies* 22, 59–86.
- Lanés, S., Palma, R.M., 1998. Environmental implications of oncoids and associated sediments from the Remoredo Formation (Lower Jurassic) Mendoza, Argentina. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 140, 357–366.
- Leinfelder, R.R., 1985. Cyanophyte calcification morphotypes and depositional environments (Alenquer oncolite, Upper Kimmeridgian?, Portugal). *Facies* 12, 253–274.
- Leinfelder, R.R., Krautter, M., Laternser, R., Nose, M., Schmid, D.U., Schweigert, G., Werner, W., Keupp, H., Brugger, H., Herrmann, R., Rehfeld-Kiefer, U., Schroeder, J.H., Reinhold, C., Koch, R., Zeiss, A., Schweizer, V., Christmann, H., Menges, G., Luterbacher, H., 1994. The origin of Jurassic reefs: Current research developments and reports. *Facies* 31, 1–56.
- Logan, B.W., 1961. Cryptozoön and associate stromatolites from the recent, Shark Bay, Western Australia. *J. Geol.* 69, 517–533.
- Logan, B.W., 1974. Inventory of diagenesis in Holocene-recent carbonate sediments, Shark Bay, Western Australia. In: Logan, B.W. (Ed.), *Evolution and Diagenesis of Quaternary Carbonate Sequences, Shark Bay, Western Australia*. vol. 22, AAPG Memoir, Tulsa, pp. 195–249.
- Logan, B.W., Rezak, R., Ginsburg, R.N., 1964. Classification and environmental significance of algal stromatolites. *J. Geol.* 72, 68–83.
- Logan, B.W., Hoffman, P., Gebelein, C.D., 1974. Algal mats, cryptalgal fabrics, and structures, Hamelin Pool, Western Australia. In: Logan, B.W. (Ed.), *Evolution and Diagenesis of Quaternary Carbonate Sequences, Shark Bay, Western Australia*. vol. 22, AAPG Memoir, Tulsa, pp. 140–194.
- Louyakis, A.S., Moberley, J.M., Vitek, B.E., Visscher, P.T., Hagan, P.D., Reid, R.P., Kozdon, R., Orland, J.J., Valley, J.W., Planavsky, N.J., Casaburi, G., Foster, J.S., 2017. A study of the microbial spatial heterogeneity of Bahamian thrombolites using molecular, biochemical, and stable isotope analyses. *Astrobiology* 17, 413–430.
- Lumine, J., 1999. *Earth: Evolution of a Habitable World*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 319.
- Macintyre, I.G., Reid, R.P., Steneck, R.S., 1996. Growth history of stromatolites in a Holocene fringing reef, Stocking Island, Bahamas. *J. Sediment. Res.* 66, 231–242.
- Macintyre, I.G., Prufert-Bebout, L., Reid, R.P., 2000. The role of endolithic cyanobacteria in the formation of lithified laminae in Bahamian stromatolites. *Sedimentology* 47, 915–921.
- MacNeil, A.J., Jones, B., 2006. Palustrine deposits on a late Devonian coastal plain - Sedimentary attributes and implications for concepts of carbonate sequence stratigraphy. *J. Sediment. Res.* 76, 292–309.
- Marcinowski, R., Szulcowski, M., 1972. Condensed cretaceous sequence with stromatolites in the Polish Jura Chain. *Acta Geol. Pol.* 22, 515–539.
- Marshak, S., 2009. *Essentials of Geology*, 3rd ed., vol. 518, Norton, New York.
- Martin, J.M., Delgado, F., 1980. Biostromes of dasycladacean algae and stromatolites: a peculiar interbedding. *Sediment. Geol.* 25, 117–126.
- Martin, J.M., Braga, J.C., Riding, R., 1993. Siliciclastic stromatolites and thrombolites, late Miocene, S.E. Spain. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 63, 131–139.
- Martindale, F.C., Strauss, J.V., Sperling, E.A., Johnson, J.E., Van Kranendonk, M.J., Flannery, D., French, K., Lepot, K., Mazumder, R., Rice, M.S., Schrag, D.P., Summons, R., Walter, M., Abelson, J., Knoll, A.H., 2015. Sedimentology chemostratigraphy, and stromatolites of lower Paleoproterozoic carbonates, Turee Creek Group, Western Australia. *Precambrian Res.* 266, 194–211.
- Mas, R., Alonso, J., Guimerà, J., 1993. Evolución tectonosedimentaria de una cuenca extensional intraplaca: La cuenca finijurásica-eocretácica de Los Cameros (La Rioja-Soria). *Rev. Soc. Geol. Esp.* 6, 129–144.
- Mas, R., Benito, M.I., Arribas, J., Serrano, A., Guimerà, J., Alonso, J., Alonso-Azcárate, J., 2002. La Cuenca de Cameros: desde la extensión finijurásica-eocretácica a la inversión Terciaria - Implicaciones en la exploración de hidrocarburos. *Zubia Monográfico* 14, 9–64.
- Mas, R., Benito, M.I., Arribas, J., Alonso, A., Arribas, M.E., Lohmann, K.C., Hernán, J., Quijada, E., Suárez, P., Omodeo, S., 2011. Evolution of an intraplate rift basin: the latest Jurassic–early cretaceous Cameros Basin (Northwest Iberian Ranges, North Spain). *Geo-Guías* 8, 117–154.
- Mas, R., Arribas, M.E., González-Acebrón, L., Quijada, I.E., Campos-Soto, S., Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Sacristán-Horcadada, S., Arribas, J., Benito, M.I., Pérez-Garrido, C., Alonso, A., 2018. Coastal wetlands as markers of transgression in proximal extensional systems (Berriasian, W Cameros Basin, Spain). *J. Iber. Geol.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41513-018-0086-y>.
- Massari, F., Westphal, H., 2011. Microbials in the Middle-Upper Jurassic Ammonitico Rosso of the Southern Alps (Italy). In: Tewari, V.C., Seckbach, J. (Eds.), *Stromatolites: Interaction of Microbes with Sediments*. Springer, New York, pp. 225–250.

- Matyszkiewicz, J., Krajewski, M., Kedzierski, J., 2006. Origin and evolution of an Upper Jurassic complex of carbonate buildups from Zegarowe Rocks (Kraków-Wielun Upland, Poland). *Facies* 52, 249–263.
- Matyszkiewicz, J., Kochman, A., Duś, A., 2012. Influence of local sedimentary conditions on development of microbialites in the Oxfordian carbonate buildups from the southern part of the Kraków-Czestochowa Upland (South Poland). *Sediment. Geol.* 263–264, 109–132.
- Mayer, C., Moritz, R., Kirschner, C., Borchard, W., Maibaum, R., Wingender, J., Flemming, H.C., 1999. The role of intermolecular interactions: studies on model systems for bacterial biofilms. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 26, 3–16.
- Mazzullo, S.J., Birdwell, B.A., 1989. Syngenetic formation of grainstones and pisolites from fenestral carbonates in peritidal settings. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 59, 605–611.
- Meadows, A., Meadows, P.S., Wood, D.M., Murray, J.M.H., 1994. Microbiological effects on slope stability: an experimental analysis. *Sedimentology* 41, 423–435.
- Mercedes Martín, R., 2013. Estudio de Carbonatos Microbiales en Afloramiento Como Análogos de la Caracterización y Modelización de Reservorios de Hidrocarburos. Universitat de Barcelona, PhD Thesis, Available Online in <http://hdl.handle.net/2445/53206>.
- Mercedes-Martín, R., Salas, R., Arenas, C., 2013. Facies heterogeneity and depositional models of a Ladinian (Middle Triassic) microbial-dominated carbonate ramp system (Catalan Coastal Ranges, NE Spain). *Mar. Pet. Geol.* 46, 107–128.
- Mercedes-Martín, R., Salas, R., Arenas, C., 2013. Microbial-dominated carbonate platforms during the Ladinian rifting: sequence stratigraphy and evolution of accommodation in a fault-controlled setting (Catalan Coastal Ranges, NE Spain). *Basin Res.* 25, 1–28.
- Mercedes-Martín, R., Arenas, C., Salas, R., 2014. Diversity and factors controlling widespread occurrence of syn-rift Ladinian microbialites in the western Tethys (Triassic Catalan Basin, NE Spain). *Sediment. Geol.* 313, 68–90.
- Merz, M.U.E., 1992. The biology of carbonate precipitation by cyanobacteria. *Facies* 26, 81–102.
- Merz-Pfeiß, M., 2000. Calcification in cyanobacteria. In: Riding, R.E., Awramik, S.M. (Eds.), *Microbial Sediments*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 50–56.
- Monty, C., 1965. Recent algal stromatolites in the Windward Lagoon, Andros Island. *Ann. Soc. Geol. Belg.* 88, 269–276.
- Monty, C.L.V., 1967. Distribution and structure of recent stromatolitic algal mats, eastern Andros Island, Bahamas. In: *Annales de la Société Géologique de Belgique*. 90, pp. 55–102.
- Monty, C.L.V., 1972. Recent algal stromatolitic deposits, Andros Island, Bahamas. Preliminary report. *Geol. Rundsch.* 61, 742–783.
- Monty, C.L.V., 1973. Precambrian background and Phanerozoic history of stromatolitic communities, an overview. *Ann. Soc. Geol. Belg.* 96, 585–624.
- Monty, C.L.V., 1976. The origin and development of cryptalgal fabrics. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 193–249, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Monty, C., 1977. Evolving concepts on the nature and the ecological significance of stromatolites. In: Flügel, E. (Ed.), *Fossil Algae: Recent Results and Developments*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 15–35.
- Monty, C., 1981. Spongiostromate vs. Porostromate stromatolites and oncolites. In: Monty, C. (Ed.), *Phanerozoic Stromatolites: Case Histories*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 1–4.
- Monty, C.L.V., Hardie, L.A., 1976. The geological significance of the freshwater blue-green algal calcareous marsh. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 447–477, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Monty, C.L., Mas, R., 1981. Lower cretaceous (Wealdian) blue-green algal deposits of the province of Valencia, Eastern Spain. In: Monty, C. (Ed.), *Phanerozoic Stromatolites*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 85–120.
- Myshrall, K.L., Stork, N.J., Dupraz, C.P., Visscher, P.T., 2012. A step towards a more cohesive definition of microbialite fabrics. *GSA Abstr. Programs* 44 (2), 57, paper no. 13–4.
- Neuhauser, K.R., Lucas, S.G., de Albuquerque, J.S., Loudon, R.J., Hayden, S.N., Kietzke, K.K., Oakes, W., Des Marais, D., 1987. Stromatolites of the Morrison Formation (Upper Jurassic), Union County, New Mexico: a preliminary report. *N. M. Geol. Soc. Guideb.* 38, 153–159.
- Nickel, E., 1983. Environmental significance of freshwater oncoids, Eocene Guarga Formation, Southern Pyrenees, Spain. In: Peryt, T.M. (Ed.), *Coated Grains*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 308–329.
- Obentlinschloss, J., 1991. Biologie und Ökologie von drei rezenten Süßwasser-Rivularien (Cyanobakterien) - Übertragbarkeit artspezifischer Verkalkungsstrukturen auf fossile Formen. *Göttinger Arb. Geol. Paläont.* 50, 86.
- Obentlinschloss, J., Schneider, J., 1991. Ecology and calcification patterns of *Rivularia* (Cyanobacteria). *Algol. Stud.* 64, 489–502.
- Omodeo Salé, S., Guimerà, J., Mas, R., Arribas, J., 2014. Tectono-stratigraphic evolution of an inverted extensional basin: the Cameros Basin (North of Spain). *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 103, 1597–1620.
- Ordóñez, S., González Martín, J.A., García del Cura, M.A., 1986. Sedimentación carbonática actual y paraactual en las Lagunas de Ruidera. *Revista de Materiales y Procesos Geológicos* 6, 229–255.
- Ortí, F., 2010. Evaporitas: introducción a la sedimentología evaporítica. In: Arche, A. (Ed.), *Sedimentología. Del Proceso Físico a la Cuenca Sedimentaria*. CSIC, Madrid, pp. 675–769.
- Paterson, D.M., 1994. Microbiological mediation of sediment structure and behaviour. In: Stal, L.J., Caumette, P. (Eds.), *Microbial Mats*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 97–109.
- Paul, J., Peryt, T.M., 2000. Kalkowsky's stromatolites revisited (lower Triassic Buntsandstein, Harz Mountains, Germany). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 161, 435–458.
- Pederson, C.L., McNeill, D.F., Klaus, J.S., Swart, P.K., 2015. Deposition and diagenesis of marine oncoids: Implications for development of carbonate porosity. *J. Sediment. Res.* 85, 1323–1333.
- Pentecost, A., 1978. Blue-green algae and freshwater carbonate deposits. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 200, 43–61.
- Pentecost, A., 1987. Growth and calcification of the freshwater cyanobacterium *Rivularia haematites*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 232, 125–136.
- Pentecost, A., Riding, R., 1986. Calcification in cyanobacteria. In: Leadbeater, B.S.C., Riding, R. (Eds.), *Biomining in Lower Plants and Animals*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 73–90.
- Pia, J., 1927. Thallophyta. In: Hirmer, M. (Ed.), *Handbuch der Paläobotanik*. Oldenbourg Verlag, Munich, pp. 31–136.
- Planavsky, N., Ginsburg, R.N., 2009. Taphonomy of modern marine Bahamian microbialites. *Palaios* 24, 5–17.
- Planavsky, N., Grey, K., 2008. Stromatolite branching in the Neoproterozoic of the Cretaceous Superbasin, Australia: an investigation into sedimentary and microbial control of stromatolite morphology. *Geobiology* 6, 33–45.
- Planavsky, N., Reid, R.P., Lyons, T.W., Myshrall, K.L., Visscher, P.T., 2009. Formation and diagenesis of modern marine calcified cyanobacteria. *Geobiology* 7, 566–576.
- Playford, P.E., 1979. Stromatolite research in Western Australia. *J. R. Soc. West. Aust.* 62, 13–20.
- Playford, P.E., Cockbain, A.E., 1976. Modern algal stromatolites at Hamelin Pool, a hypersaline barred basin in Shark Bay, Western Australia. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 389–411, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Playford, P.E., Cockbain, A.E., Berry, P.F., Roberts, A.P., Haines, P.W., Brooke, B.P., 2013. The geology of Shark Bay. *Bull. Geol. Surv. West. Aust.* 146, (281 pp).
- Pollock, J.B., 1918. Blue-green algae as agents in the deposition of marl in a Michigan lake. *Ann. Rep. Mich. Acad. Sci.* 20, 247–259.
- Portman, C., Andrews, J.E., Rowe, P.J., Leeder, M.R., Hoogewerf, J., 2005. Submarine-spring controlled calcification and growth of large *Rivularia* bioherms, late Pleistocene (MIS 5e), Gulf of Corinth Greece. *Sedimentology* 52, 441–465.
- Pratt, B.R., James, N.P., Cowan, C.A., 1992. Peritidal carbonates. In: Walker, R.G., James, N.P. (Eds.), *Facies Models: Response to Sea Level Change*. Geological Association of Canada, Toronto, pp. 303–322.
- Preiss, W.V., 1976. Basic field and laboratory methods for the study of stromatolites. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 5–13, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Quijada, I.E., Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., 2013. New insights on stratigraphy and sedimentology of the Oncala Group (eastern Cameros Basin): implications for the paleogeographic reconstruction of NE Iberia at Berriasian times. *J. Iber. Geol.* 39, 313–334.
- Quijada, I.E., Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., 2013. Depositional depth of laminated carbonate deposits: Insights from the lower cretaceous Valdeprado Formation (Cameros Basin, Northern Spain). *J. Sediment. Res.* 83, 241–257.
- Quijada, I.E., Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Benito, M.I., Rodríguez-Martínez, S., Campos-Soto, S., Mas, R., 2015. Deciphering ancient sabkhas: The outstanding stromatolite-bearing carbonate-evaporite sequences of the Oncala Group (early cretaceous, N Spain). In: Perillo, G.M.E., et al. (Eds.), *Tidalites*. vol. 2015, pp. 42–45.
- Quijada, I.E., Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Benito, M.I., Mas, J.R., 2016. Tidal versus continental sandy-muddy flat deposits: Evidence from the Oncala Group (early cretaceous, N Spain). In: Tessier, B., Reynaud, J.Y. (Eds.), *Contributions to Modern and Ancient Tidal Sedimentology*. IAS Special Publication 47. Wiley, Oxford, pp. 133–159.
- Rasmussen, K.A., Macintyre, I.G., Prufert, L., 1993. Modern stromatolite reefs fringing a brackish coastline, Chetumal Bay, Belize. *Geology* 21, 199–202.
- Reid, R.P., Browne, K.M., 1991. Intertidal stromatolites in a fringing holocene reef complex, Bahamas. *Geology* 19, 15–18.
- Reid, R.P., Macintyre, I.G., Browne, K.M., Steneck, R.S., Miller, T., 1995. Modern marine stromatolites in the Exuma Cays, Bahamas: uncommonly common. *Facies* 33, 1–18.
- Reid, R.P., Visscher, P.T., Decho, A.W., Stolz, J.F., Bebout, B.M., Dupraz, C., Macintyre, I.G., Paerl, H.W., Pinckney, J.L., Prufert-Bebout, L., Stepp, T.F., DesMarais, D.J., 2000. The role of microbes in accretion, lamination and early lithification of modern marine stromatolites. *Nature* 406, 989–992.
- Reid, R.P., James, N.P., Macintyre, I.G., Dupraz, C.P., Burne, R.V., 2003. Shark Bay stromatolites: microfossils and reinterpretation of origins. *Facies* 49, 299–324.
- Reitner, J., 1993. Modern cryptic microbialite/Metazoan facies from Lizard Island (Great Barrier Reef, Australia). *Formation and concepts*. *Facies* 29, 3–40.
- Reitner, J., 2011. Microbial Mats. In: Reitner, J., Thiel, V. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Geobiology*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 606–608.
- Rezak, R., Lavoie, D.L., 1990. Consolidation-related fabric changes of periplatform sediments. *Geo-Mar. Lett.* 10, 101–109.
- Ridgwell, A., 2005. A mid-Mesozoic revolution in the regulation of ocean chemistry. *Mar. Geol.* 217, 339–357.
- Ridgwell, A., Zeebe, R.E., 2005. The role of the global carbonate cycle in the regulation and evolution of the Earth system. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 234, 299–315.
- Riding, R., 1977. Skeletal stromatolites. In: Flügel, E. (Ed.), *Fossil Algae: Recent Results and Developments*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 57–60.
- Riding, R., 1982. Cyanophyte calcification and changes in ocean chemistry. *Nature* 299, 814–815.
- Riding, R., 1983. Cyanoliths (Cyanoids): Oncoids formed by calcified cyanophytes. In: Peryt, T.M. (Ed.), *Coated Grains*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 276–283.

- Riding, R., 1991. Classification of microbial carbonates. In: Riding, R. (Ed.), *Calcareous Algae and Stromatolites*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 21–52.
- Riding, R., 1997. Stromatolite decline: A brief reassessment. *Facies* 36, 227–230.
- Riding, R., 1999. The term stromatolite: towards an essential definition. *Lethaia* 32, 321–330.
- Riding, R., 2000. Microbial carbonates: the geological record of calcified bacterial-algal mats and biofilms. *Sedimentology* 47 (Supplement 1), 179–214.
- Riding, R., 2006. Microbial carbonate abundance compared with fluctuations in metazoan diversity over geological time. *Sediment. Geol.* 185, 229–238.
- Riding, R., 2008. Abiogenic, microbial and hybrid authigenic carbonate crusts: components of Precambrian stromatolites. *Geologica Croatica* 61, 73–103.
- Riding, R., 2011. Microbialites, stromatolites, and thrombolites. In: Reitner, J., Thiel, V. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Geobiology*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 635–654.
- Riding, R., 2011. The nature of stromatolites: 3,500 million years of history and a century of research. In: Reitner, J., Quéric, N.V., Arp, G. (Eds.), *Advances in Stromatolite Geobiology. Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences*. vol. 131, Springer, Berlin, pp. 29–74.
- Riding, R., Liang, L., 2005. Seawater chemistry control of marine limestone accumulation over the past 550 million years. *Rev. Esp. Micropaleontol.* 37, 1–11.
- Riding, R., Tomás, S., 2006. Stromatolite reef crusts, early cretaceous, Spain: bacterial origin of in situ-precipitated peloid microspar? *Sedimentology* 53, 23–34.
- Riding, R., Braga, J.C., Martín, J.M., 1991. Oolite stromatolites and thrombolites, Miocene, Spain: analogues of recent giant Bahamian examples. *Sediment. Geol.* 71, 121–127.
- Riding, R., Awramik, S.M., Winsborough, B.M., Griffin, K.M., Dill, R.F., 1991. Bahamian giant stromatolites: microbial composition of surface mats. *Geol. Mag.* 128, 227–234.
- Roddy, H.J., 1915. Concretions in streams formed by the agency of blue green alae and related plants. *Proc. Am. Philos. Soc.* 54, 246–258.
- Rodríguez-Martínez, M., Sánchez, F., Walliser, E.O., Reitner, J., 2012. An Upper Turonian fine-grained shallow marine stromatolite bed from the Muñecas Formation, Northern Iberian Ranges, Spain. *Sediment. Geol.* 263–264, 96–108.
- Rott, E., 1991. Oncoids from the summer-warm River Alz (Bavaria) – morphology and dominant cyanophytes. *Algol. Stud.* 64, 469–482.
- Sacristán-Horcadada, S., Mas, R., Arribas, M.E., 2015. Early syn-rift evolution in the West Cameros Basin (Upper Jurassic, NW Iberian Range), Spain. *J. Sediment. Res.* 85, 794–819.
- Saint Martin, J.P., Saint Martin, S., 2015. Discovery of calcareous microbialites in coastal ponds of western Sardinia (Italy). *Geo-Eco-Marina* 21, 35–53.
- Salas, R., Guimerà, J., Mas, R., Martín-Closas, C., Meléndez, A., Alonso, , 2001. Evolution of the Mesozoic Central Iberian rift system and its Cainozoic inversion (Iberian chain). In: Ziegler, P.A., Cavazza, W., Robertson, A.H.F., Crasquin-Soleau, S. (Eds.), *Peri-Tethys Memoir 6: Peri-Tethyan Rift/Wrench Basins and Passive Margins. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle*. pp. 145–186.
- Sami, T.T., James, N.P., 1996. Synsedimentary cements as Paleoproterozoic platform building blocks, Pethi Group, Northwestern Canada. *J. Sediment. Res.* 66, 209–222.
- Sarg, J.F., Suriamin, Tānavsu-Milkeviciene, K., Humphrey, J.D., 2013. Lithofacies, stable isotopic composition, and stratigraphic evolution of microbial and associated carbonates, Green River Formation (Eocene), Piceance Basin, Colorado. *AAPG Bull.* 91, 1937–1966.
- Schäfer, A., Stapf, K.R., 1978. Permian Saar-Nahe Basin and recent Lake Constance (Germany): two environments of lacustrine algal carbonates. In: Matter, A., Tucker, M.E. (Eds.), *Modern and Ancient Lake Sediments*. vol. 2, pp. 83–107, Int. Assoc. Sedimentol. Spec. Publ..
- Schmid, D.U., 1996. Marine Microbolithe und Mikroinkrustierer aus dem Oberjura. *Profil* 9 101–251.
- Schmidt, H., Thom, M., Matthies, K., Behrens, S., Obst, U., Wieprecht, S., Gerbersdorf, S.U., 2015. A multi-disciplinarily designed mesocosm to address the complex flow-sediment-ecology tripartite relationship on the microscale. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* 27 (2).
- Schreiber, B.C., El Tabakh, M., 2000. Deposition and early alteration of evaporites. *Sedimentology* 47 (Suppl. 1), 215–238.
- Schwarz, H.U., Einsele, G., Herm, D., 1975. Quartz-sandy, grazing-contoured stromatolites from coastal embayments of Mauritania, West Africa. *Sedimentology* 22, 539–561.
- Seard, C., Camoin, G., Rouchy, J.M., Virgone, A., 2013. Composition, structure and evolution of a lacustrine carbonate margin dominated by microbialites: Case study from the Green River formation (Eocene, Wyoming, USA). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 381–382, 128–144.
- Semikhatov, M.A., Gebelein, C.D., Cloud, P., Awramik, S.M., Benmore, W.C., 1979. Stromatolite morphogenesis – progress and problems. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 16, 992–1015.
- Serebryakov, S.N., 1976. Biotic and abiotic factors controlling the morphology of Riphean stromatolites. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 321–336, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Serebryakov, S.N., Semikhatov, M.A., 1974. Riphean and recent stromatolites: a comparison. *Am. J. Sci.* 274, 556–574.
- Shapiro, R.S., 2000. A comment on the systematic confusion of thrombolites. *Palaios* 15, 166–169.
- Shinn, E.A., 1983. Birdseyes, fenestrae, shrinkage pores, and loferites: a reevaluation. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 53, 619–628.
- Shiraishi, F., Reimer, A., Bissett, A., de Beer, D., Arp, G., 2008. Microbial effects on biofilm calcification, ambient water chemistry and stable isotope records in a highly supersaturated setting (Westerhöfer Bach, Germany). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 262, 91–106.
- Siahi, M., Hofmann, A., Hegner, E., Master, S., 2016. Sedimentology and facies analysis of Mesoproterozoic stromatolitic carbonate rocks of the Pongola Supergroup, South Africa. *Precambrian Res.* 2789, 244–264.
- Ślowski, M., Tucker, M.E., Pancost, R.D., Perri, E., Mawson, M., 2013. Upper Permian (Zechstein) microbialites: Supratidal through deep subtidal deposition, source rock, and reservoir potential. *AAPG Bull.* 97, 1921–1936.
- Smith, A.M., Uken, R., Thackeray, Z., 2005. Cape Morgan peritidal stromatolites: the origin of lamination. *S. Afr. J. Sci.* 101, 107–108.
- Soudry, D., Weissbrod, T., 1995. Morphogenesis and facies relationships of thrombolites and siliciclastic stromatolites in a Cambrian tidal sequence (Elat area, southern Israel). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 114, 339–355.
- Spadafora, A., Perri, E., Mckenzie, J.A., Vasconcelos, C., 2010. Microbial biomineralization processes forming modern Ca:Mg carbonate stromatolites. *Sedimentology* 57, 27–40.
- Spears, B.M., Funnell, J., Saunders, J., Paterson, D.M., 2007. On the boundaries: Sediment stability measurements across aquatic ecosystems. In: Westrich, B., Föster, U. (Eds.), *Sediment Dynamics and Pollutant Mobility in Rivers: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 68–79.
- Spears, B.M., Saunders, J.E., Davidson, I., Paterson, D.M., 2008. Microalgal sediment biostabilisation along a salinity gradient in the Eden Estuary, Scotland: unravelling a paradox. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 59, 313–321.
- Sprachta, S., Camoin, G., Golubic, S., Le Campion, Th., 2001. Microbialites in a modern lagoonal environment: nature and distribution, Tikehay atoll (French Polynesia). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 175, 102–124.
- Staats, N., De Winder, B., Stal, L., Mur, L., 1999. Isolation and characterization of extracellular polysaccharides from the epipelagic diatoms *Cylindrotheca closterium* and *Navicula salinarum*. *Eur. J. Phycol.* 34, 161–169.
- Stanley, S.M., 2009. *Earth System History*, 3rd edition, vol. 551, W.H. Freeman & Co, New York.
- Suárez González, P., 2015. *Sedimentology and Paleogeography of the Coastal Wetland Systems of the Leza Fm (Early Cretaceous, Cameros Basin): Implications on the Origin and Development of Associated Microbial Deposits*. PhD thesis Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain, Available Online in <http://eprints.ucm.es/33027/>.
- Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Quijada, I.E., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., 2013. Eustatic versus tectonic control in an intraplate rift basin (Leza Fm, Cameros Basin). *Chronostratigraphic and paleogeographic implications for the Aptian of Iberia*. *J. Iber. Geol.* 39, 285–312.
- Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Quijada, I.E., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., Merinero, R., Riding, R., 2014. Origin and significance of lamination in lower cretaceous stromatolites and proposal for a quantitative approach. *Sediment. Geol.* 300, 11–27.
- Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Quijada, I.E., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., 2015. Sedimentology of ancient coastal wetlands: insights from a cretaceous multifaceted depositional system. *J. Sediment. Res.* 85, 95–117.
- Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Quijada, I.E., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., 2016. Do stromatolites need tides to trap ooids? Insights from a cretaceous system of coastal-wetlands. In: Tessier, B., Reynaud, J.Y. (Eds.), *Contributions to Modern and Ancient Tidal Sedimentology. IAS Special Publication 47*, Wiley, Oxford, pp. 161–190.
- Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Benito, M.I., Mas, R., Quijada, I.E., Campos-Soto, S., 2016. Influencia del Keuper y de la estructuración tardivariscana en la arquitectura de las unidades sin-extensionales del borde norte de la Cuenca de Cameros. *Geotemas* 16, 185–188.
- Suarez-Gonzalez, P., Arenas-Abad, C., Pomar, L., Benito, M.I., 2017. Giant microbialites: from thrombolites to stromatolites and back again. Upper Miocene, Mallorca (Spain). In: Parsons, D., Rogerson, M., Arenas-Abad, C., Arp, G., Baas, J. (Eds.), *Lyell Meeting 2017: Sticking Together: Microbes and their Role in Forming Sediments. Abstract Book*. pp. 104–105.
- Sumner, D.Y., Grotzinger, J.P., 2004. Implications for Neoproterozoic Ocean chemistry from primary carbonate mineralogy of the Campbellrand-Malmani Platform, South Africa. *Sedimentology* 51, 1273–1299.
- Suosari, E.P., Reid, R.P., Playford, P.E., Foster, J.S., Stolz, J.F., Casaburi, G., Hagan, P.D., Chirayath, V., Macintyre, I.G., Planavsky, N.J., Eberli, G.P., 2016. New multi-scale perspectives on the stromatolites of Shark Bay, Western Australia. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 20557.
- Suosari, E.P., Reid, R.P., Abreu Araujo, T.A., Playford, P.E., Holley, D.K., Mcnamara, K.J., Eberli, G.P., 2016. Environmental pressures influencing living stromatolites in Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay, Western Australia. *PALAIOS* 31, 483–496.
- Sutherland, I.W., 1982. Microbial exopolysaccharides - their role in microbial adhesion in aqueous systems. *CRC Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 173–201.
- Suzuki, N., Oba, M., 2015. Oldest fossil records of marine protists and the geologic history toward the establishment of the modern-type marine protist world. In: Ohtsuka, S., Suzuki, T., Horiguchi, T., Suzuki, N., Not, F. (Eds.), *Marine Protists*. Springer, Tokyo, pp. 359–394.
- Tarbut, E.J., Lutgens, F.K., 2014. *Earth. An Introduction to Physical Geology*, 11th edition Pearson, Boston, 876.
- Tebbutt, G.E., Conley, C.D., Boyd, D.W., 1965. Lithogenesis of a Distinctive Carbonate rock Fabric. 4, University of Wyoming Contributions to Geology, 1–13.
- Telesh, I., Schubert, H., Skarlato, S., 2013. Life in the salinity gradient: discovering mechanisms behind a new biodiversity pattern. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 135, 317–327.
- Thom, M., Schmidt, H., Gerbersdorf, S.U., Wieprecht, S., 2015. Seasonal biostabilization and erosion behavior of fluvial biofilms under different hydrodynamic and light conditions. *Int. J. Sediment Res.* 30, 273–284.
- Thompson, J.B., Ferris, F.G., Smith, D.A., 1990. Geomicrobiology and sedimentology of the micollimnion and chemocline in Fayetteville Green Lake, New York. *Palaios* 5, 52–75.
- Tosti, F., Riding, R., 2017. Fine-grained agglutinated elongate columnar stromatolites: Tieling Formation, ca 1420 Ma, North China. *Sedimentology* 64, 871–902.
- Trompette, R., 1982. Upper Proterozoic (1800–570 Ma) stratigraphy: a survey of lithostratigraphic, paleontological, radiochronological and magnetic correlations. *Precambrian Res.* 18, 27–52.

- Tucker, M.E., Wright, V.P., 1990. Carbonate Sedimentology. Blackwell, Oxford, (482 pp).
- Turner, E.C., James, N.P., Narbonne, G.M., 2000. Taphonomic control on microstructure in early Neoproterozoic reefal stromatolites and thrombolites. *Palaos* 15, 87–111.
- Underwood, G.J.C., Paterson, D.M., 2003. The importance of extracellular carbohydrate production by marine epipelagic diatoms. *Adv. Bot. Res.* 40, 183–240.
- Vennin, E., Olivier, N., Brayard, A., Bour, I., Thomazo, C., Escarguel, G., Fara, E., Bylunds, K.G., Jenks, J.F., Stephen, D.A., Hofmann, R., 2015. Microbial deposits in the aftermath of the end-Permian mass extinction: a diverging case from the Mineral Mountains (Utah, USA). *Sedimentology* 62, 753–792.
- Vennin, E., Bouton, A., Bourillot, R., Pace, A., Roche, A., Brayard, A., Thomazo, C., Virgone, A., Gaucher, E., Desaubliaux, G., Visscher, P.T., 2018. The lacustrine microbial carbonate factory of the successive Lake Boneville and Great Salt Lake, Utah, USA. *Sedimentology* <https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12499>.
- Visscher, P.T., Reid, R.P., Bebout, B.M., 2000. Microscale observations of sulfate reduction: Correlation of microbial activity with lithified micritic laminae in modern marine stromatolites. *Geology* 28, 919–922.
- Walter, M.R., 1972. Stromatolites and the biostratigraphy of the Australian Precambrian and Cambrian. In: *Special Papers in Palaeontology*. 11, The Palaeontological Association, Londres, (190 pp).
- Walter, M.R., 1976. Glossary of selected terms. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.), *Stromatolites*. 20, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 687–692, *Developments in Sedimentology*.
- Warren, J.K., 2006. *Evaporites: Sediments, Resources and Hydrocarbons*. Springer, Berlin, 1035.
- Warusavitharana, C., Parcell, W., 2013. Sedimentary features, occurrence, and cyclicity of microbialite facies in the Roubidoux and Jefferson City Formations of Missouri and Kansas. *AAPG Bull.* 97, 1849–1870.
- Wingender, J., Neu, T.R., Flemming, H.C., 1999. What are bacterial extracellular polymeric substances?. In: Wingender, J., Neu, T.R., Flemming, H.C. (Eds.), *Microbial Extracellular Polymeric Substances. Characterization, Structure and Function*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 1–19.
- Winsborough, B.M., Seeler, J.S., Golubic, S., Folk, R.L., Maguire Jr., B., 1994. Recent fresh-water lacustrine stromatolites, stromatolitic mats and oncoids from northeastern Mexico. In: Bertrand-Sarfati, J., Monty, C. (Eds.), *Phanerozoic Stromatolites II*. pp. 71–100.
- Wolanski, E., Brinson, M.M., Cahoon, D.R., Perillo, G.M.E., 2009. Coastal wetlands: a synthesis. In: Perillo, G.M.E., Wolanski, E., Cahoon, D.R., Brinson, M.M. (Eds.), *Coastal Wetlands*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 1–62.
- Woods, A.D., 2013. Microbial ooids and cortoids from the lower Triassic (Spathian) Virgin Limestone, Nevada, USA: evidence for an early Triassic microbial bloom in shallow depositional environments. *Glob. Planet. Chang.* 105, 91–101.
- Wright, V.P., Wright, J.M., 1985. A stromatolite built by a Phormidium-like alga from the lower carboniferous of South Wales. In: Toomey, D.F., Nitecki, M.H. (Eds.), *Paleoalgebra: Contemporary Research and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 40–54.
- Zalasiewicz, J., 2009. The Earth after us. In: *What Legacy Will Humans Leave in the Rocks?*. Oxford University Press, (paperback edition. 251 pp).