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## SUMMARY

The main purpose of this end of degree project is to assess the value of Inditex stock and to make an investment recommendation based on the contrast between the estimated price and the market price. First of all, an analysis of the sector would be carried out in order to understand the definition of the industry, the possible threats and opportunities that the company will face in the future and understand the main growth factors which are likely to shape the future of the clothing industry. In addition, we will provide a company description by analyzing the main features of the business model as well as the different commercial formats of the company. In addition, an economic and financial analysis of the company's track record would be elaborated based on the financial statements disclosed by the company.

These three topics would give us a theoretical framework to project three different scenarios: an optimistic scenario, a neutral scenario and a pessimistic scenario. The value of the company would be calculated in each scenario according to a discounted cash flow method, afterwards the price per share will be also calculated. Those three scenarios would be unified into a single price assuming three different distributions. Once the expected price has been obtained, we will introduce the risk in the analysis by two different ways. The first way would be to compute a sensitivity analysis in order to understand how changes in the discount rate and in the growth rate modify substantially the price per share obtained. The second will be based on stating confidence intervals at different confidence levels and establish a range of values in which the share will move. To check the results obtained by DFC method, we will use relative valuation based on three main multiples: price to earnings, price to sales and price to book value. To conclude I will provide a recommendation for the stock and a range of possible values.

## 1. ANLYSIS OF THE CLOTHING SECTOR

### 1.1. INTRODUCTION

Clothing has proved to be a resilient sector to the economic crisis. In fact, despite the low or even negative growth rates in the GDP of the most developed countries this sector has been able to outperform the wider retail sector, showing a modest but steady growth. The sector of apparel retail is characterized by its unpredictability. Over the years the rate of growth has been volatile and is expected to continue as it was.

The global apparel retail industry generated in 2008 1.025,9 \$ , growing a 3\% compared with 2007, and it is expected to be worth in $20131.184,1$ billion by this year (2013), this will represent a CAGR* of $15,4 \%$.

There are three key themes in clothing at the moment: international growth, internet and inflation.

### 1.2 KEY DRIVERS IN THE CLOTHING SECTOR

First of all, forecast growth is set to continue on a global basis but will be skewed to emerging economies like Asia and Russia. With respect to developed countries, the growth will come mainly from virtual retailing. In Spain, the situation is much more dramatic. According to EAE business school, the global expenditure per capita on clothes has been reduced a $22 \%$ since 2007.

- International expansion is a key issue in retailing, but it is even more relevant now that the growth comes from outside of developed countries and customers tastes have globalized. Fashion is more homogenous than before, this should make international expansion easier than in the recent past. In contrast to the traditional model of international expansion, retailers are directing their efforts to developing countries like Russia and China which are predicted to deliver clothing consumption growth of $10 \%$ and $8,8 \%$ per annum (source: Geohive). The scenario of developed countries is becoming highly unattractive because of high saturation, anemic demand and the difficulties for the suppliers to maintain the traditional broad margins.

FIgure 1: PERCENTAGE OF SALES GENERATED OUTSIDE THE DOMESTIC MARKET


- Deflation in the sector has been one of the most powerful drivers of growth. The growth of value retailer driven for the demand of inexpensive and fast fashion has led to an overall decrease in prices over the past decade. However, the inflation is beginning to appear within the sector. We are going to analyze the main costs that apparel retailers have to face and asses how the price of those factors have evolved in the recent years and how they are likely to evolve in the upcoming years:

1. At a rough estimate, fabric accounts for $40 \%$ of the price of a garment. Within fabric, the most relevant commodity is cotton which accounts a $30 \%$ of the fabric cost.
2. Rising transport costs affect negatively supplier's margins. As we will see later, this sector is dominated by business models which are based on fragmented value chains. The logical consequence is that clothing companies depend heavily on transport costs to connect the different parts of the value chain.
3. Wages costs pressures and currency movements increasing. It was low cost labor in china and developing countries the factors that have allowed large increases in clothing retail gross margin over the last decade. However, the era of cheap labor appears to be drawing to a close.

Figure 2: Monthly wage rate in Asian countries (£)


Source: Planet retail

- Internet will represent a significant part of the growth in both developed and developing countries. Online clothing has growth at an average of $24,7 \%$ in the last decade, However, this growth can represent problem for retailers. On the one hand, internet provides huge opportunities for growth, allowing to reach customers in distant geographical locations at a relative low price. On the other hand, It will increase substantially the overall level of competition within the sector. Customers will be bounded no more by their geographical location and will have at their disposal unlimited choices.


### 1.3STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF THE CLOTHING INDUSTRY

Porter's five forces in an analytical tool designed mainly to assess the degree of attractiveness of a certain industry from its structural point of view, this framework views the profitability within a given industry as determined by five forces of competitive pressure ${ }^{1}$. For valuation purposes, understanding the forces that shape competition within the sector is useful to forecast future profitability, to project cash flows and to assess the quality of strategies followed by the management.

The first step is to define the industry, for this purpose we are going to use the NACE (European classification of economic activities). According to the database SABI, it is classified with NACE REV. 2 Code: 4642 as a group devoted to the production and retailing of textile products. The international scope of Inditex's industry is global because of its international diversification in terms of sales. The global apparel and textile industry could be split down into three major segments: Footwear, textiles and apparel, accessories and luxury goods.

FIGURE 3 : COMPOSItION OF THE INTERNATIONAL TEXTILE INDUSTRY

$\square$ Apparel,accesories and luxury goods $\square$ Textiles $\square$ Footwear

Source: Datamonitor.

## Structural determinants:

Competition: Apparel industry has been traditionally considered as a typical example of fragmented industry. However, in the last decades large firms have emerged in this sector concentrating upon them a higher proportion of the overall sales. The companies within this industry tend to be diverse because of brand, prices, business model, size and with a great perceived product differentiation. The efficiency in Inditex's segment is driven by large economies of scale, storage costs, and transportation costs among others. Consequently, we should consider that Inditex does not compete with all the companies within the industry but only with those that are characterized by large volumes and international presence. Considering all the before mentioned requirements, Inditex's main competitors of are: Next PLC, H\&M, GAP, Abercrombie \& Fitch and Fast retail co. Apparel industry is highly competitive in terms of price and quality but affordable prices do not always mean low margins and low profitability. In fact, Inditex has exploited

[^0]its "low prices" to achieve high levels of rotation and what is more, Inditex has adapted their strategy to differentiate from competitors through the offering of a unique bundle of benefits.

Bargaining power of buyers: Inditex targets nearly all the segments within this market through its corporate portfolio of commercial trademarks. The companies need to adapt to customer's tastes, as in every industry, however in this industry adapting to the customer is even more crucial than in others. The demand of these kind of products tend to be fragmented, there is no customer that concentrates a high share of the purchases. Thus, the demand is dominated by individual customers who have no bargaining power.

Bargaining power of suppliers: The bargaining power of suppliers depends on some structural characteristics like the number and concentration of suppliers, the switching cost for the buyer, the importance of the input in buyer's value chain...In our case as the suppliers are small companies which have to adapt to the requirements of the buyer and which provide commodity type products, thus suppliers lack bargaining power. As we will see later, Inditex has adopted a high degree of vertical integration its production process remains inside the company.

Competition from substitutes: It is difficult to find substitutes coming out of the industry. However, there is a significant degree of substitution between segments of the market. For example, sportswear is often a substitute for other more traditional clothes.

Threat of entry: In Inditex's segment the barriers to entry are high. Competition requires large amounts of capital investment, large output to benefit from economies of scale, information technologies, economies of scope and strong brand reputation. Newcomers may accept competing in niches not covered by top companies or entering on a big scale with very few survival possibilities. These barriers could be overcome by international companies that decide to enter in new markets where Inditex holds a substantial market share. This is the case of GAP, the second biggest retailer of textiles and apparel, which has focused its efforts on penetrating into European market.

FIGURE 4: INTENSITY OF STRUCTURAL FORCES


## 2. INVESTMENT CASE

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

Until here, we have defined, explained and analyzed the main growth drivers that are likely to dominate the sector in the next upcoming years. Indeed, through the use of Porter's five forces we have concluded that the competition within the sector is fierce but the other dimensions make the industry to be moderately attractive.

The principal mission of this end of degree project lies on valuating Inditex, providing a recommendation upon the stock and stating a range of values where the share will be. However, before starting with the numbers it is necessary to go through company's strategy to understand its objectives, business model, international strategy and commercial formats. All the before mentioned elements will be incorporated into cash flow projections and into the valuation against its peers.

The investment case is going to be based on three main areas:

- Strategy analysis: Company description, Business model, international strategy and commercial formats. The goal would be to understand the possible evolution of Inditex's strategy and how their outcomes would affect the company.
- A complete analysis of the track record is fundamental to project the different scenarios with consistency.
- Valuation: Discounted free cash flow framework with three scenarios including risk measures and Relative valuation against its peers.


### 2.2 STRATEGY ANALYSIS

### 2.2.1 Company description:

- Mission: Maintain the leadership in the sector by offering the most fashionable trends at the right time at affordable prices
- Vision: To reach all the places, customers and niches where growth opportunities exist.

Inditex is a global retailer headquartered in Spain. In terms of volume, it is the first textile group in the world. The group, represented by its parent company inditex S.A, is a Spain-based organization primarily engaged in the apparel sector. The group's core activities include manufacturing, distribution and commercialization of fashion items, mainly clothing, but their scope includes also items like footwear accessories and household textile products. It operates 8 independent formats across 4780 stores in 77 countries. Its key
point of differentiation is its business model, which is unique in the industry. It operates a vertically integrated model encompassing design, manufacture and sourcing, distribution and logistics right through to store and internet retail, but where I believe its true advantage lies is that its approach is about "pull" rather than "push".

### 2.2.2 The business model at a glance:

Figure 5: THE BUSINESS MODEL AT A GLANCE


Source: company reports

## The pull approach: a supply driven by demand

In contrast with most of the clothing companies, Inditex do not tries to sell what they manufacture. They try to manufacture what it is going to be sold. Thus, products are pulled by demand rather than pushed by the company.

This model puts the store manager at the center of its business model. The store manager is in constant communication with the customer and sends information to the product team daily. The product team together with the design and sourcing team then synthesize all this information into the product development. Once product is in stores then the collation of daily store feedback by the product store teams on what is selling and what is not allows Inditex to constantly modify its collections in way that other less well connected retailers cannot. $40 \%$ of ranges are open-to-buy at the start of each season, leaving the teams with considerable flexibility.

The stores only hold three days of stock and if a design is not sold within a week, the item is withdrawn and further orders are cancelled. This substantially reduces Inditex' markdown versus peers - the company claims it to be half that of the midmarket retailers ie at $15-20 \%$ vs $30-40 \%$ industry average.

Another example of the pull model comes in the stores. Inditex operates stores all around the world, but is careful to ensure that stores and headquarter product teams are staffed with locals, who understand local preferences and tastes and
can adapt stores accordingly. While there is a global look for each store, collections differ from place to place.

## The reconciliation of speed and cost

Inditex describes its business model as "creativity and quality design together with a rapid response to market demands" .To deliver rapid responses to customer demands at reasonable prices, Inditex has abandoned the fashion industry's traditional model of seasonal lines of clothing designed by star designers, manufactured by low cost subcontractors months earlier, and marketed with a push rather than pull system. In contrast, the Inditex holding company operates over one hundred subsidiaries, vertically integrated design, just-in-time production, distribution, and retail sales to speed communication from customers to designers.

The fundamentals of Inditex's business model are:

1. Vertical integration: The main objective is to match actual buyer's choices to actual supply through rapid responses. This approach requires a deviation from the traditional business model which has dominated apparel industry and which was based on a substantially outsourced value chain. Inditex policy states that "production shall be adapted to customer demand. If this is achieved, production will be able to focus on trend changes happening inside each season"
The traditional business model combines design and sale but outsources manufacturing, often to low-wage companies in Asia and elsewhere. Those companies, with the aim of minimizing their overhead costs, use networks of subcontractors that may buy, dye, embroider, and sew fabric each in a different country. Of course, the cost advantage obtained by this value chain fragmentation is clear. However, there is a tradeoff in terms of time. This process can stretch the design to manufacture cycle to 8 months.
In contrast, Inditex produces a large proportion of its products in its own factories. Typically, Inditex performs internally the more capital-intensive and value-added- intensive stages of production, such as purchasing raw materials, designing, cutting, dyeing, quality control, ironing, packaging, labeling, distribution, and logistics and outsources more labor-intensive and less value-added-intensive stages of production, such as sewing.
2. The reconciliation of Speed and costs: Whereas most producers view fashion products as consumer durables, Inditex considers them nondurables. This approach implies that the customer would buy apparel frequently adapting to the changing fashion trends at inexpensive prices. If we believe this idea, the two key success factors are speed and cheap prices.

On the one hand, Inditex makes every effort to reduce its design-to-retail cycle. These efforts include vertically integrating design and manufacturing far more than its competitors, using mostly local subcontractors in near geographical areas, and developing with Toyota "just in time" production lines that can be modified based on demand. While the standard design-to-retail cycle in the industry is five to six months, Inditex's cycle is only five weeks. The shorter design-to-retail cycle allows Inditex to bring more styles to its stores and to update them constantly. While many competitors ship products to stores every twelve weeks, Inditex does so twice a week. Changing its offerings quickly gives them scarcity value, encouraging customers to visit their stores more often and to buy more.
On the other hand, Inditex is highly price concerned. Inditex maintains its prices below competitor's prices, the company analyzes the price that buyers are willing to pay for competitors' products. Then, the company establishes target prices for its own products, often 15 percent below those of competitors, and searches for suppliers through which adequate margins can be maintained. In addition, cost savings policies like little advertising, no star designers, no complex technologies, low storage costs, low proportion of discounted sales... contribute to reconcile flexibility and speed with cost.

## International strategy:

Inditex begun its operations in 1975 with the first opening of Zara in Coruña, Spain, and in 1988 started its expansion for international territory. Nowadays, Inditex is present in more than 87 countries, and has intention to continue the internationalization progress in order to increase the diversification.

With the process of internationalization, Spain has been losing sales' share, increasing the share for the remaining Europe, which accounts for almost 50\% and is now considered Inditex's domestic market. In Western Europe there is still opportunities for growth in markets such as Italy, France, Germany and United Kingdom where the market share of Inditex is still small - bellow 1\%.

Besides, Eastern Europe, in particular Russia, which in fact was the fastest growing country in apparel retail with a CAGR of 10,4\%2 from 2005 and 2009 within the BRIC ${ }^{2}$ group. In addition, Asia-Pacific is the region where Inditex has been more focused given the high prospects of growth and where demand for apparel products is increasing due to the increase of household wealth. The countries of major focus in Asia are China, Japan, South Korea and more recently India, where Inditex recently opened its third Zara store. Despite the different

[^1]culture in Asia, globalization has been a great impulse in the acceptance of Western culture, smoothing Inditex's penetration in that region.


Source: company reports
In my opinion, Inditex's strategy has been really smart in terms of geographical diversification. They have been able to build a stable base of sales combined with an exceptional position in high growth markets that are likely to drive the growth of the global economy in the next few years. In terms of diversification, the peer $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{M}$ is lagging Inditex's expansion into high growth markets, like Asia, Russia and Brazil. While Inditex has an exposure of more than $20 \%$ to Asia and Russia, H\&M's exposure to this markets is relatively small, around $5 \%$, and is more concentrated in German and Nordic countries with around $40 \%$ of sales in that region.

Figure 7: Number of stores in markets with high potential for growth


Source: Inditex \& HM webpages.
In conclusion, Inditex has built an extraordinary position in high growth countries and avoided the saturation of most developed markets like United States and Europe where the competition is fierce. If this strategy is successful, it would be able to capture the growth of those high growth countries. However, this strategy entails some risks because of the instability of those countries with respect to the most developed ones. In my opinion, Inditex would be able to benefit from its incumbency advantage ${ }^{3}$ in the following years and would continue its policy of

[^2]growth through space expansion in Asia and specially in China. According to company's presentation the capital expenditure for the next year is expected to be 1,25 billion with the opening of 440-480 stores across the different formats, 110-115 will account for Zara stores and 95-100 to Stradivarius stores. All this new space will account for international stores.

## Online Business

Internet currently is one of the main drivers of growth in the apparel retail, offering growth opportunities within the existing markets and also allowing further penetration in the market.

During the financial crisis, some statistics showed that while in store sales were contracting, the sales via Internet were increasing. For instance, in the United Kingdom, although sales in stores were falling by 1,4\% in December 2008 compared with the previous year, sales online increased by $30 \% 5$. During the year of 2009 until October even though overall sales in the United States had fallen, the online sales increased.

The Internet is also being used as a platform to boost sales as more and more customers use it to research products and buy them in store afterwards. However, the ability of the shopper to easily compare products online can be seen as a threat as it increases competitiveness.

I have not found data about the percentage of sales that these online platforms represent of the total sales. In fact most of the selling platforms have been recently opened, the sales impact are still small compared with the overall result of the company. According to some analysts Inditex sells in Spain through this platform twenty million euros with an estimated result after taxes of 320.000 euros. In the fiscal year 2011-2012 ITX ${ }^{4}$ fashion sold 143,5 million euros, the final net income was about 18,6 million. Inditex has not disclosed information about its online sales in fiscal year 2012-2013 but some analysts expect this figure to double.

At the beginning of financial year 2012 Inditex has implement its online sales platform in 18 markets across Europe and has launched its online platforms for Zara in the US, for Zara in china and Massimo dutti, Zara home and Zara in Canada.

### 2.2.3 Inditex breakdown by concept:

The best way to evaluate the business would be by assessing each concept separately since not all the business are in the same stage of maturity neither they operate in the same geographical areas and some of them sell substantially different products. Inditex's annual reports disclose only information from some key magnitudes like sales and EBIT in each format. Thus, I consider it is not

[^3]possible elaborate cash flow projections for different business lines. However, there is enough information to analyze some key magnitudes like sales and operating margins.

Inditex reaches a high proportion of the market through eight commercial formats targeting different customers' profiles. The eight commercial formats are managed under the same principles and with a similar commercial approach, however, those subsidiaries maintain a high level of autonomy in their decisions. Many duplicities can be avoided because of the integration of this companies under common ownership as well as marketing, financial and production synergies.

Figure 8: Inditex's commercial formats.

| Format | Description | Financials ${ }^{\text {º }}$ | No of <br> stores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zara | Flagship brand, encompassing many styles from daywear, <br> workwear, eveningwear and including shoes and <br> accessories. Fashion for women, men and children | Sales:10.541 <br> EBITt:2.233 | 1863 |
| Massimo <br> dutti | Elegant, classic design targeted at women, men and children <br> and with a more up- market positioning that the remainder of <br> the group (except for Uterque) | Sales: 1.013 <br> EBIT: 238 | 592 |
| Pull and <br> bear | Casual, urban, laid back fashion for young men and women <br> (14-28). Pricing is competitive with more of a value <br> positioning than Zara | Sales: 1.086 <br> Ebit: 182 | 770 |
| Bershka | Lastest fashion for young women and men (13 to 23) | Sales: 1.300 <br> EBIT: 159 m | 839 |
| Stradivarius | Women only - urban fashion at attractive prices (15-25 yrs) <br> Innovative approach to fashion | Sales: 871 <br> Ebit:192 | 716 |
| Oysho | Lingerie and loungewear for women and girls | Sales: 313 <br> EBIT: 36 | 498 |
| Uterque | Affordable luxury format. Probably limited to fashion capitals. <br> Pricing is mid market for high end look product eg EUR 70- <br> 150 for shoes and EUR 90 to 250 for bags | Sales: 68 <br> EBIT: nd | 90 |
| Zara home | Homeware | Sales: 317 <br> Ebit 39 | 325 |

Source: annual report
Figure 9: PERCENTAGE OF SALES AND EBIT THAT EACH COMMERCIAL FORMAT REPRESENTS.


Source: Company data

[^4]The format with the highest contribution to group`s sales and EBIT is Zara. There is a second group of companies with a substantial contribution, they are: Berskha, Massimo dutti, Stradivarious and pull and bear ranked by order. The third group is composed by the least important companies in terms of contribution to sales and EBIT which are Zara home, Oysho and Uterque.

Once the contribution of each format has been analyzed we might continue by analyzing the evolution of sales and margins across the past five years. EBIT ${ }^{6}$ represents operating profit before taxes, or in other words, the operating capacity of each format to generate operating profits. EBIT growth has been strong over the past five years. This growth has been mainly driven by Zara which has shown a positive evolution in its core operations across the past five years. The top performer in terms of margins is Stradivarious with small fluctuations from year to year. In general, all the commercial formats show a positive evolution when compared with the EBIT margins of 2008.

Figure 10: EBIT margin progression by format.


Source: Company data

### 2.3. TRACK RECORD

### 2.3.1 Introduction

In this section we are going to analyze the past results which Inditex have disclosed in their financial statements. Track record analysis would be based on the following points:

1. Introduction.
2. Growth rates
3. P\&L margins:
4. ROE, ROIC, financial leverage \& Dupont analysis.
5. Net balance sheet analysis through structure ratios.
6. Working capital analysis.
7. Breakeven point.

[^5]8. Operating leverage.
9. Cash flow.
10. Debt analysis.
11. Earnings per share, Dividends per share, payout ratio and retention ratio.
12. Free cash flow, debt service \& equity service.
13. Some highlights.

Please, note that all the elements which have been used in the financial analysis are displayed in appendix 1: financial analysis

### 2.3.1 GROWTH RATES:

Inditex has a strong track record of top line growth. It has delivered an average growth rate of $11,45 \%$ in sales driven primarily by space expansion. Growth in sales can be divided in two major magnitudes: LFL ${ }^{7}$ and space expansion. With respect to the first concept, the LFL has been conditioned by the economic slowdown that have suffered the major economies during 2008 and 2009. However, I note a substantial increase in the most recent years driven by the economic recovery, the successful international expansion and the good results obtained by their online platforms.

Despite the rapid space expansion, the investments in new space will tend to continue because of the high potential of emerging countries for growth and the low market share that the company holds in most of these countries (current market is less than $1 \%$ ). In principle, the company will continue pursuing growth through space expansion combined with a positive LFL growth

Figure 11: Breakdown of sales growth


Source: estimations and company's data.

[^6]
### 2.3.2 P\&L margins:

The gross margin has remained more or less constant over the last five years, showing a slight positive evolution from $57 \%$ in 2008 to $60 \%$ in the last financial year. The EBITDA ${ }^{8}$ margin has also shown a positive evolution in the past years driven mainly by an increase in operating efficiency, this magnitude is extremely important since for most of the companies reflects the operating capacity to generate positive cash flows in its core business. Other magnitudes like EBIT margin and net result margin have evolved positively. The profit and loss account is displayed in the appendix section 1.1.

Figure 12: Fundamental margins


Source: estimations and company's data.

### 2.3.3 ROE \& ROIC:

Two magnitudes that are usually used in relative valuation and which provide important insights to assess the performance of any company are the $\mathbf{R O E}^{9}$ and ROIC ${ }^{10}$. In our case, the ROIC ${ }^{11}$ has increased really fast in the past five years from $32 \%$ to $51 \%$. ROIC is a measure of the ability of the company to generate returns from each unit of capital invested. As an example, Inditex was able to generate 51 monetary units from each 100 units invested in the last fiscal year. In comparison with the average of the sector the figure is far above its peers ${ }^{12}$. As far as the ROIC is above the cost of capital, the firm will generate profits from its capital investment. Another well-known figure is the ROE which can be decomposed ${ }^{13}$ as follow:

$$
R O E=R O I C+(R O I C-K f) * \frac{D}{E}
$$

[^7]Where: ROIC: return on investment capital; kf: cost financial result \& D/E: debt to equity ratio

This equation states that the return on equity is the result of what the company generates by the deployment of capital (ROIC) plus the leverage. Thus, this formula is useful in order to evaluate the quality of the ROE. If the ROE comes from a high ROIC this means that the company is very efficient using its capital and the high ROE is justified. However, if the ROE is far above the ROIC, the return for equity holders would be achieved by assuming a high degree of financial risk. As a conclusion, two companies can have the same ROE but the situation of both companies can be totally different. We would tend to prefer, when two companies have the same ROE, the one which the highest ROIC. In contrast with the ROIC, the average ROE for the sector is higher. However, we must highlight that Inditex is able to achieve this ROE at a really low financial leverage. All the details with respect to ROE and ROIC calculations are included in Appendix 1.2.

In our case, the company presents a negative leverage factor; the company holds negative net debt ${ }^{14}$ position. This leads to a negative factor that decreases the ROE.

ROE is a magnitude that includes several variables; DuPont analysis is useful to decompose the ROE and to analyze the main value drivers of this company. DuPont analysis is displayed in Appendix 1.3.

Tax margin has remain more or less constant around $76 \%$ as well as the impact of the financial result which is negligible or even positive ${ }^{15}$. Good operating margin performance is in my opinion the main value enhancer in those past four years with an upward evolution from $16 \%$ to $20 \%$ combined with a high asset rotation. In the last ratio, the one referred to leverage, we can see the effect ${ }^{16}$ of negative debt.

As a conclusion, the company has a high ROIC compared with the sector ${ }^{17}$, this means that the company is more efficient generating returns from its assets. The ROE is below the average of the sector but it is generated at a very low financial risk.

[^8]

Source: Infinancials.

### 2.3.4 Structure ratios:

Inditex manages a negative working capital business (we will analyze this in the next section) and a negative net debt business in the short run and in the long run. The balance sheet is displayed in the appendix section. The table showing Structure ratios is in the section Appendix 1.4

### 2.3.5 Working capital analysis:

The case of having negative maturity period is typical from retail companies that are able to sell and collect the money from their merchandise before they pay to their suppliers. This is our case, Inditex is able to manufacture/sell \& collect the money before the payable is due. Therefore, it does not need to ask for short term loans to finance its production cycle, the production cycle itself generates cash. This scheme helps to save interest costs and risks that are generated in most of the companies because of the maladjustment between the production and payment period. With respect to its evolution, you can see in the table the main elements that compose the maturity period (stocks, receivables \& payables).

I note an overall increase in the maturity period in +9 days ${ }^{18}$ in the last four years, this increase is mainly due to increases in collection period (the company is not so efficient collecting its receivables) and a decrease in the days of payment (the company has less time to pay). In my opinion, the figures are really good since in general terms the working capital is becoming more negative from year to year leading to an increase in operating efficiency and a positive contribution to operating cash flow. The working capital does not consume resources; it is a free interest financing source because of its negative nature.

[^9]

Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.
The table showing working capital analysis is in the section Appendix 1.5

### 2.3.6 Breakeven point:

The breakeven point can be defined as the point in which what the company earns equal its costs, thus it has 0 profits. I have calculated breakeven over two different magnitudes: EBITDA and net profit. The company is far above the sales that would need to reach a neither profits nor losses in EBITDA and also far above the sales that would need to reach 0 profits. The evolution in the last years has been really in good in both magnitudes, in EBITDA from 159\% over sales to 170\% in the last fiscal year and in net profit from 137\% to 149\%.

The table showing breakeven point analysis is in the section Appendix 1.6.

### 2.3.7 Operating leverage:

Operating leverage measures the sensitivity of an EBIT to changes in sales. The sensitivity would be conditioned by the amount of fixed costs that the company bears. If a company has a high amount of fixed expenses, which would be payable if the company sells or does not sell, the company would be highly leveraged and the EBIT would be quite sensible to changes in sales. Let's see the evolution of Inditex's operating leverage.

The degree of operating leverage has decreased in shows a downward tendency. It has decreased from 3, 68 in 2008 to 2, and 06 in 2.012. Operating leverage has been calculated through a decrease in sales in 10\% and analyzing how the EBIT changes according to that decrease.

The table showing operating leverage analysis is in the section Appendix 1.7

### 2.3.8 Cash flow:

The capacity for the firms to generate cash flows is one of the most important issues to analyze. The companies need cash to finance their operations and in some cases to pay their shareholders. A company can generate positive results in the profit and loss account but the performance of the company can be really disappointing. Thus, results in the P\&L may be checked against the cash flow
statement. Especially important is the firm's capacity to generate positive cash flows from its core operations.

Inditex shows an upward evolution in its operating cash flow (CFO ${ }^{19}$ ) driven by increases in NOPLAT ${ }^{20}$ combined to stable and small investments (negative in year 2011) in its working capital. With respect to cash flow from investment, I have decided to separate it in two main groups: CAPEX ${ }^{21}$ composed of tangible and intangible assets and other fixed assets. The company has increased its investment from year to year due to investments in new space, logistic centers and the launch of its online sales platform. We will analyze the reinvestment rate later.

The company holds large amounts of cash, the investment of this cash into deposits and other monetary elements combined with the low amount of debt lead to positive financial results. The company has repaid and important amount of total debt in 2008 and has maintained more or less a constant the debt level. The dividends show a substantial increase across the years, we will see it in detail later. The minorities ${ }^{22}$ show slight variations from year to year with no clear path. Other financial liabilities account mainly to some call options that the company has sold and other movements in equity is the variable used to gather all the movements which have not been included in the rest of the cash flow. The abridged \& detailed cash flow is in the Appendix 1.8.

FIGURE 15: MAIN ELEMENTS OF THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT.


Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.

### 2.3.9 Debt analysis:

One of the main features of Inditex is that it maintains a negative debt position. In fact this feature has to do with its negative maturity periods; it generates very fast the cash and does not have to ask for debt to cover its production cycle. The

[^10]amount of debt is less than the amount of cash. This leads most of the times to positive financial results. I have not included the foreign exchange losses ${ }^{23}$ since it is not a cost derived from debt instruments. You can see in this table the analysis ${ }^{24}$ :

The average cost of debt in the past four years is $1,97 \%$. In addition, the amount of debt is becoming more and more negative because of the strong cash generation.

The net debt cost of debt \& total debt analysis is in Appendix 1.9.

### 2.3.10 Earnings per share, Dividends per share, payout ratio and retention ratio:

The company believes and it has been proven to be truth at least in the past that there are still opportunities for growth available. Thus, the company distributes through dividends a bit more than a half of its net result against other companies like H\&M which distributes nearly all its earnings per share, it will distribute 9,50 SEK ${ }^{25}$ accounting for the year 2012. The rest, illustrated by the retention ratio, is kept inside the company either as reserves or is directly reinvested in new growth opportunities. Both, dividends per share and earnings per share ${ }^{26}$, show a very good evolution in the last three years. It is also important to notice that the dividends per share and the EPS have grown in the same amount in the last three years period.

The EPS, DPS, payout ratio \& retention ratio are in the appendix section 1.10.

### 2.3.11 FCFF $^{27}$ \& services:

The free cash flow (FCF) is one of the most important indicators in evaluating company's performance. The FCFF ${ }^{28}$ measures the cash flow generated by the company through its operations taking into account the reinvestment needs in growth assets ${ }^{29}$. In fact, the FCFF or the FCFE ${ }^{30}$ are the main magnitudes used by analysts in valuation.

As you have noticed before, analysts use two main types of cash flow:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
F C F F=E B I T & *(1-T)+\text { depreciation }- \text { Change in non cash working capital } \\
& \quad-\text { Capital expenditures } \\
F C F F E=E B I T * & *(1-T)+\text { depreciation }- \text { Change in non cash working capital } \\
& - \text { Capital expenditures }+(\text { New debt issued }- \text { Debt repayment })
\end{array}
$$

[^11]The main difference between both cash flows is to whom they are delivered. The FCF to the firm goes to all equity and debt holders while the FCF to equity goes directly to equity holders because the payments or issuance of new debt has been already included as the difference between new debt issued and debt repaid. Consequently the remaining amount (FCFE) goes directly to shareholders.

The gross cash flow presents a strong growth driven by increases in NOPLAT. The working capital investment has been negative in three out of four years; the efficiency of the production cycle has improved ${ }^{31}$. Investments in property, plant, equipment and intangible assets have nearly doubled from year to year, reaching the peak in 2011. In year 2011, the company invested large amounts of money in new logistic centers as well as the opening of 482 stores. The net reinvestment rate ${ }^{32}$ has been $-35 \%, 6 \%, 51 \%$, and $31 \%$ from 2008 to 2012.

The account investment in others includes current assets that are not considered operating and which are not explained by company's notes and other fixed assets which are acquisitions.

Figure 16: Main Elements of FCFF


Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.
The detailed analysis of the FCFF is located in Appendix 1.11

## Debt \& equity service:

As I have said before, the free cash flow to the firm is distributed to debt holders and shareholders. This table summarizes the distribution of the FCFF to both groups across four years. Inditex maintains a large amount of negative net debt, thus interest expenses and inflows/outflows from debt are really small in relative

[^12]terms. In the equity service we can see the part of the cash flow that is retained in the company as cash and the payments to the shareholders. Others summarize all the information that has not been gathered by the other variables in the table. The evolution of all this magnitudes has been analyzed in other sections. Anyway, we could highlight the low debt service and the high equity service composed mainly of cash and dividends paid.

The detailed analysis of equity and debt service is in appendix 1.11.

### 2.3.12 Some highlights:

- Strong top line ${ }^{33}$ and bottom ${ }^{34}$ line growth, the main value drivers/enhancers in the past years have been fast rotations and increases in the operating margin.
- Structural stability, negative maturity periods lead to a production cycle which generates cash. This allows maintaining a negative net debt level and an excellent solvency in both, the short and long run.
- Negative financial leverage and reduction of operating leverage in the past five years.
- Strong cash flow from operations and high amount of reinvestment in the company. Positive cash generation which is retained within the company.
- Payout ratio around $58 \%$ in the past four years. Parallelism in the growth of EPS and DPS.


### 2.4 PROJECTIONS

### 2.4.1 Introduction:

All the projections shown in this section correspond to the neutral scenario. The following sections are displayed in the order calculations were made: first the profit and loss, second the balance sheet except for cash, third cash through the cash flow statement and fourth check if the cash calculated through cash flow fits with the difference between net assets and net liabilities from the balance sheet. All the projections for the neutral scenario are attached into Appendix 2, Appendix 2.1 for the neutral scenario, 2.2 for the optimistic scenario and 2,3 for the pessimistic scenario.

### 2.4.2 Sales, gross margin \& fixed expenses

Growth in sales depends on the like for like growth ${ }^{35}$ and growth in new space and since Inditex is a multinational organization growth will depend also on currency movements that would not be considered. LFL growth will depend on the economic growth of regions where Inditex is exposed, which are divided by the company in four main blocks: Spain, Americas, Europe excluding Spain, Asia

[^13]and the rest of the world. Large investments of past years in logistic centers and Inditex's online sales platform would lead to a high LFL growth in 2013 and positive stable LFL growth in the rest of our time frame.

In the neutral scenario sales have been calculated following the average from 2009 to 2012, in the first two years a 13, $50 \%$ and $13 \%$ is expected. After that, I consider stabilization towards $9,65 \%$. By this way, the average of the last four years coincides with the average of the next five years in 11, 31\% growth.

FIGURE 17: DETAILED P\&L ACCOUNT

| Detailed P\&L | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net sales | 18099 | 20452 | 22599 | 24836 | 27233 |
| Like for like | $6,50 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4,00 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Space Increase | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Space contribution | $7,00 \%$ | $10,00 \%$ | $6,50 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Growth rate | $13,50 \%$ | $13,00 \%$ | $10,50 \%$ | $9,90 \%$ | $9,65 \%$ |

Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.
In my opinion we will see in the next years an overall decrease in margins within the apparel/textile sector, Inditex will be less affected because its production is not so exposed as other apparel retailers to emerging countries. As I commented in the analysis of the sector, the era of cheap labor is to end because of inflationary pressures in the developing countries. In addition, the rapid growth in margins that Inditex has achieved in the past is not sustainable for the future. In my opinion, in the next 5 years Inditex would reach maturity and stabilize its margins

FIGURE 18: GROSS MARGIN AND REVENUES

| 1. Gross Margin and revenues | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | 18099 | 20452 | 22599 | 24836 | 27233 |
| Growth rate | $13,5 \%$ | $13,0 \%$ | $10,5 \%$ | $9,9 \%$ | $9,7 \%$ |
| Gross margin | $59,90 \%$ | $59,00 \%$ | $57,50 \%$ | $59,50 \%$ | $58,00 \%$ |
| Growth rate | $0,2 \%$ | $-1,5 \%$ | $-2,5 \%$ | $3,5 \%$ | $-2,5 \%$ |
| Fixed expenses (excluding amortization) | 6438 | 7270 | 8027 | 8815 | 9656 |
| Growth rate | $14,6 \%$ | $12,9 \%$ | $10,4 \%$ | $9,8 \%$ | $9,5 \%$ |

Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.

Fixed expenses ${ }^{36}$ include staff cost, rent cost, other operating expenses as well as other expenses. The growth rate of fixed expenses is a bit higher than the average due to the increasing cost pressures in developing countries to which Inditex is exposed.

### 2.4.3 Net debt and financial results

Inditex's financial result is highly exposed to currency movement. Those movements have been ignored in the projections due to the nearly-random trend they display. I would like to remark two assumptions:

[^14]The financial revenue increases because of the higher amount of cash within the company that accrues interest income in favor of the company. I have supposed the company is not going to issue new financial debt since the cash generated is more than enough to cover its investments and the payment of dividends to shareholders. The financial expenses increase because of the increase in other financial liabilities from financial instruments.

Despite my assumption by which the company will not issue new debt, the account called other debt instruments has shown an upward trend in the past years. Thus, I have decided to maintain the average growth of the past five years for this account. Taking all the above mentioned into account, the average cost of debt for the past four years coincides with the average for the next 5 years in 1,97\%.

### 2.4.4 Tax rate:

In the following years, the policies that would be implemented to encourage employment and consumption in most of the countries in the European Union would lead to a slight decrease in taxes. That is why I assume a decrease in taxes from $24 \%$ to $23 \%$ from 2014 onwards.

### 2.4.5 Minorities:

Since I do not have enough information I have maintained the amount of minorities in the Balance sheet. In the income statement, I have calculated the percentage of net result which is paid to minorities and maintained it for the future.

### 2.4.6 Capital expenditure, investment in other fixed assets \& depreciation

Capital expenditure in our case refers to amounts invested in tangible and intangible assets. In the presentation to shareholders Inditex estimates that CAPEX for the next year will be around 1.476 million euros.

To project CAPEX, I have used the ratio CAPEX/EBITDA related to the growth in space forecasted for that year. For instance, in 2014 the company is supposed to expand its space in a similar proportion to 2013, thus we can calculate CAPEX using the same CAPEX/EBITDA as 2013 but by multiplying it by the EBITDA of 2014. The calculation can be summarized as follows:

$$
\text { CAPEX2014 }=\frac{\text { CAPEX13 }}{E B I T D A 13} * E B I T D A 14
$$

Once we have got the overall CAPEX, we have to decide which part goes to tangibles and which part goes to intangibles. With respect to intangible assets, I have used the average growth rate of the past five years. This increase in intangible assets is justified by new launches of online sales platforms. The rest is for the tangible assets, this investment would be used to open new stores and achieve the forecasted space growth.

The investment in other fixed assets has been calculated according to the average growth rate of the past five years. I do not have enough information to project financial investments so I have decided to maintain the amount constant.

Depreciation has been calculated according to the average of the ratio amortization/sales. In fact, it would have been a better measure amortization/ gross assets but the amount of gross assets is not displayed in Inditex's balance sheets. In any case, the ratio looks consistent in terms of historical data; it remains constant in $5 \%$ across the years. Thus, amortization has been calculated by multiplying these Amortization/sales past average times the sales of the projected year. Table appendix

### 2.4.7 Net position in tax liabilities

Correspond to the net amount of deferred tax assets and liabilities of past years, since it is negative and is on liabilities side it is a tax asset. It has been decreased in 16,88 per years to offset this tax asset at the end of our time frame.

### 2.4.8 Earnings per share \& Dividends payout:

Once the main elements of the P\&L account have been projected, we got to the net result. If we divide this net result (after minorities payment) by the number of shares we get EPS. Two explicit assumptions have been made from 2013 onwards:

Since the firm does not need external financing because it has a strong-cash generating production cycle, the company will not issue new shares, thus the amount of shares would remain unchanged.

The payout ratio, the percentage of EPS that is paid to shareholders as dividends, would remain unchanged for 2013 and 2014. I have assumed that opportunities for growth would start eroding in 2015-2017 and consequently the company would increase the payout ratio to $75 \%$ in these two years, a closer figure to its closest competitor H\&M.

### 2.4.9 Working capital

The working capital is composed by account receivables, stocks and account payables. This company does not need to keep a minimum level of cash to finance its operating cycle, thus operating cash is assumed to be cero. I have forecasted the stock period, collection period and payment period based on their historical average. Once this has been done, all the accounts which composed the working capital section in the balance sheet have been calculated solving the average period's formulas for the value of its respective accounts. For instance, in the case of receivables for year 2013:

$$
\text { average period of collections }=\frac{\text { average receivables }_{12,13}}{\text { revenues }_{13}} * 365
$$

If we solve for receivables ${ }_{13}=\left(\frac{\left(\text { average period of collections }{ }_{13} * \text { revenues }_{13} * 2\right)}{365}\right)-$ receivables ${ }_{12}$

As we have said before, in my opinion the company has reach stability in margins and in terms of operating efficiency. Thus, I have decided to maintain the periods in line with those of the previous years.

### 2.4.10 Equity:

Equity has been projected for each year as the equity of the preceding period plus the part of the net income which is kept inside the company. This means:

$$
\text { Equity }_{t+1}=\text { Equity }_{t}+\text { Net result } t_{t+1}-\text { Dividends }_{t+1}
$$

### 2.4.11 Cash:

So far, we have calculated all the elements of the profit and loss account and all the elements in the balance sheet with the exception of cash. Cash has been calculated through the cash flow statement. The cash calculated fits with 0 errors with the difference between net assets and net liabilities in the balance sheet.

### 2.5 SCENARIOS

For the discounted cash flow valuation three main scenarios have been foreseen. An optimistic scenario in which the company is able to maintain the average growth from 2010, 2011 and 2012 in sales and margins, a neutral scenario in which the company initiates a steady transition to maturity ${ }^{37}$ and a pessimistic scenario in which the transition to maturity is faster, the increase in retail space does not contribute like in the past years and the company is seriously affected by the European economic crisis in terms of LFL. Ceteris paribus, the only directly affected variables are margins, sales and terminal values.

FIGURE 19: INPUTS FOR SCENARIO PLANNING

| Scenario | Variable | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Optimistic scenario | Growth in sales | $15,80 \%$ | $13,90 \%$ | $12,00 \%$ | $11,00 \%$ | $11,85 \%$ | $12,91 \%$ |
| Neutral scenario | Growth in sales | $13,50 \%$ | $13,00 \%$ | $10,50 \%$ | $9,90 \%$ | $9,65 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 3 1 \%}$ |
| Pesimistic scenario | Growth in sales | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6,80 \%$ |
| Optimistic scenario | Growth in margins | $1,30 \%$ | $2,00 \%$ | $1,50 \%$ | $1,00 \%$ | $0,50 \%$ | $1,26 \%$ |
| Neutral scenario | Growth in margins | $0,24 \%$ | $-1,50 \%$ | $-2,54 \%$ | $3,48 \%$ | $-2,52 \%$ | $-0,57 \%$ |
| Pesimistic scenario | Growth in margins | $-2,94 \%$ | $-0,86 \%$ | $-1,74 \%$ | $2,65 \%$ | $-3,02 \%$ | $-1,18 \%$ |

Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.

### 2.6 VALUATION

### 2.6.1 Free cash flow to the firm

FIGURE 20: INPUTS TO COMPUTE THE DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW.

[^15]| Optimistic scenario | FCFF | 2.095 | 2.992 | 2.866 | 4.640 | 4.506 | 3420 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Neutral scenario | FCFF | 1940 | 2930 | 1859 | 3917 | 3937 | 2917 |
| Pesimistic scenario | FCFF | 1.501 | 2.012 | 1.813 | 2.547 | 3.585 | 2292 |
| Optimistic scenario | Terminal growth |  |  |  |  | $3,24 \%$ | $3,24 \%$ |
| Neutral scenario | Terminal growth |  |  |  |  | $2,59 \%$ | $2,59 \%$ |
| Pesimistic scenario | Terminal growth |  |  |  |  | $1,95 \%$ | $1,95 \%$ |
| Optimistic scenario | Discount rate |  |  |  |  | $7,52 \%$ | $7,52 \%$ |
| Neutral scenario | Discount rate |  |  |  |  | $7,52 \%$ | $7,52 \%$ |
| Pesimistic scenario | Discount rate |  |  |  |  | $7,52 \%$ | $7,52 \%$ |

Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.
I have calculated the FCF to the firm in the different scenarios. This free cash flow has to be delivered to equity holders (dividends and retained earnings) and to debt holders through the payment of interests. Thus, we may discount this cash flow to the weighted average return that those stakeholders require from the company.

### 2.6.2 Discount rate

This weighted average return in calculated by weighting the cost of debt after taxes ${ }^{38}$ taking into account the amount of financial debt ${ }^{39}$ compared to the total funds plus the cost of equity weighted by the proportion it represents. In the following paragraphs I will explain briefly the calculations followed to get the cost of debt and the cost of equity. All the calculation referred to the discount rate are in appendix 3

$$
\text { Rwacc }=\left(\left(c e_{\text {without contry risk }}+\text { country risk }\right) * \frac{E}{\left(D_{f}+E\right)}\right)+\left(k_{f} *(1-t) * \frac{E}{\left(D_{f}+E\right)}\right)
$$

Cost of equity: Inditex holds a negative net debt position ${ }^{40}$, therefore the amount of equity is extremely high relative to the amount of debt, anyway we will use the weighted average cost of capital to avoid mistakes but in my opinion debt is negligible in our case and we could use directly cost of equity.

The cost of equity has been calculated through the CAPM ${ }^{41}$ model. I have not considered the Spanish market as the host market to calculate the $\beta$ and the risk free rate. Inditex is a company which is vastly diversified across European countries; Spain only represents a $20 \%$ of the sales. If we compare this figure with the total percentage of sales which Europe represents, we could not treat Inditex as a pure Spanish company. According to this idea I have used the risk

[^16]free rate from ten year German bund ${ }^{42} 1,39 \%$ and the $\beta$ of Inditex's share regressed against the Eurostoxx 50 , the result for the $\beta$ is 0,64 . This Beta has been calculated using a two year time frame of daily returns for both, the share and the Eurostoxx 50 market portfolio. The average return of the market portfolio has been calculated by taking a twelve years average and the result is $7,23 \%$. After the estimation of those parameters, the equation is as follows:
$$
c e=1,39 \%+(7,23 \%-1,39 \%) * 0,6362=5,105 \%
$$

Once the cost of equity has been obtained through the CAPM, we must include the risk premium for the country. As I have said, the company has a very high degree of international diversification. However, an important part of the production \& logistics is located within in Spain. Thus, I have decided to include into the cost of equity a country risk premium calculated as the CDS spread between the German bund and the Spanish ten year bond.

$$
\text { ce adjusted by country risk }=C e_{C A P M}+C D S_{S, G}=5,105 \%+2,88 \%=7,98 \%
$$

The cost of equity adjusted to reflect country risk will be the one I will use in the weighted average cost of capital calculation.

Cost of debt: The cost of debt has been calculated dividing the financial expenses by the total amount of debt of the previous period ${ }^{43}$. The financial expenses disclosed by the company include foreign exchange losses, this expenses have been taken out ${ }^{44}$ to calculate the cost of debt. In addition, I have considered three main accounts to calculate total debt: short term financial debt with explicit cost, long term financial debt with explicit cost and other financial liabilities from other financial instruments. Since they are not a real financial cost, I have not taken into account net tax liabilities and provisions. Through this process I got a cost of debt in year 2012 equal to $2,13 \%$. After discounting the tax shield ${ }^{45}$

The net debt cost of debt \& total debt analysis in the appendix 1.9.
Weighted average cost of capital:

$$
\text { Rwacc }=\left(\left(c e_{\text {without contry risk }}+\text { country risk }\right) * \frac{E}{\left(D_{f}+E\right)}\right)+\left(k_{f} *(1-t) * \frac{E}{\left(D_{f}+E\right)}\right)
$$

[^17]Once we have got the required return from equity resources and debt resources, the next step is to calculate the weighted average of both. The weight that will be assigned to each source will depend on the amount of debt and equity in 2012. The amount of equity in 2.012 is equal to 8.482 million and the amount of debt 664 millions. Hence, the equation is as follows:

$$
\text { Rwacc } \left.=(5,10 \%+2,88 \%) * \frac{8.482}{(8.482+664)}\right)+\left(2,13 \% *(1-24 \%) * \frac{664}{(8.482+664)}\right)=7,52 \%
$$

### 2.6.3 Terminal values:

The company is assumed to follow a going concern ${ }^{46}$, this means that we have to include those cash flows that would be generated beyond our time horizon. To solve this problem we are going to assume a constant growth model, the main input which this model needs is a constant growth rate to perpetuity.

This growth rate to perpetuity can be estimated taking into account the ROIC and the reinvestment rate, if we assume that the company will grow according to this formula:

$$
g=N R R * R O I C
$$

Where: $\mathrm{g}=$ growth rate; $\mathrm{NRR}=$ net reinvestment rate; ROIC = return on investment capital.

This net reinvestment rate is based on the average of the historic reinvestment rate from 2008 to 2017. In principle, I calculated the ROIC by using the average ROIC of the sector. However, the result was really high, around $50 \%$. I do not think this figure could be sustainable in the long run, thus I have decided to use a $25 \%$ in the optimistic scenario, a $20 \%$ in the neutral and a $15 \%$ in the pessimistic scenario. According to the arbitrage theory, if a sector earns an abnormal return it will act as a magnet attracting new companies. Hence, competition will increase and the ROIC would tend to normal levels. If we use this two inputs we get a $3,24 \%$ growth in the optimistic scenario, a $2,59 \%$ in the normal scenario and a $1,95 \%$ in the pessimistic scenario. In my opinion, these figures seem reasonable since no company can grow forever more than the overall international economy.

Now we have all the elements to compose the terminal value:

$$
T V_{t}=\frac{F C F F_{T-1} *(1+g)}{(r w a c c-g)}
$$

Where: $\mathrm{g}=$ growth rate ; $T V_{t}=$ terminal value in year t ; $\mathrm{rwacc}=$ weighted average cost of capital.

[^18]
### 2.6.4 Discounted free cash flow valuation:

Until here we have estimated all the parameters that are required to run the discounted free cash flow model:

Total value of the company $=\frac{F C F F_{1}}{(1+r w a c c)^{1}}+.+\frac{F C F F_{5}}{(1+r w a c c)^{5}}+\frac{F C F F_{5} *(1+g)}{(r w a c c-g)} * \frac{1}{(1+r w a c c)^{6}}$
After obtaining the value of the company we must subtract the value of outstanding liabilities, I have subtracted the value that liabilities had in year 2012. The total financial liabilities are composed by short term debt, long term debt and other financial liabilities from other debt instruments. Provisions and net tax position are not taken into consideration.

Once we get the value of the company subtracting debt in the three scenarios, we can divide this value by the number of shares outstanding at the end of 2012. The result obtained is the price per share in each scenario which is 133,36 euros in the optimistic one, 102,47 euros in the neutral and 81,40 euros in the pessimistic scenario. We do not really know the distribution of those values and it would be difficult to infer it since we only have been treating information from the past five years. Thus, I have decided to compute the expected value of the price per share according to three main distributions: The simplified $\beta$, the triangular distribution and the uniform distribution.

FIGURE 24: EXPECTED SHARE PRICE UNDER THE DIFFERENT DISTRIBUTION \& CONFIDENCE INTERVALS
Expected value of the company, variance, standard deviation \& confidence interval

| Assumed distribution | Degree of confidence | Expected value share price | Variance | Standard deviation | Upper point | Lower point |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Simplified B distribution | $68,20 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ | 75,00 | 8,66 | 112,77 | 95,45 |  |
| Simplified B distribution | $95,40 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ | 75,00 | 8,66 | 121,43 | 86,79 |  |
| Simplified B distribution | $99,60 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ | 75,00 | 8,66 | 130,09 | 78,13 |  |
| Triangular distribution | $68,20 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 7 5}$ | 113,84 | 10,67 | 116,42 | 95,08 |  |
| Triangular distribution | $95,40 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 7 5}$ | 113,84 | 10,67 | 127,08 | 84,41 |  |
| Triangular distribution | $99,60 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 7 5}$ | 113,84 | 10,67 | 137,75 | 73,74 |  |
| Uniform distribution | $68,20 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 3 8}$ | 224,99 | 15,00 | 122,38 | 92,38 |  |
| Uniform distribution | $95,40 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 3 8}$ | 224,99 | 15,00 | 137,38 | 77,38 |  |
| Uniform distribution | $99,60 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 3 8}$ | 224,99 |  | 15,00 | 152,38 | 62,38 |

By the way I have calculated the variance and the standard deviation to have a measure of uncertainty and to infer the range of possible values in which the stock will move. All those values are possible and valid as an estimate of the value of the company. However, to estimate some multiples I will assume the lowest value generated by those distributions (the expected value of the company under the $\beta$ distribution) in order to apply a conservative approach to this valuation. Bear in mind, that this is just my judgment and there is no scientific argument which supports it.

As a conclusion, depending on the distribution the expected value for the company will lie between 104,11 euros and 107,38 euros.

### 2.6.5 Measures of risk

In this section I would like to talk about two main issues, the risk of the terminal value and the confidence intervals for the expected value of the share.

We have talk previously about terminal value, but what we have not said is that valuations are extremely sensible to the assumptions made about the terminal values. In my case, nearly an $84 \%$ of the discounted value of the firm comes from the terminal value. Therefore it is hereby stated the volatility of the value with respect to the discounted average cost of capital and the growth rate to perpetuity. The sensitivity analysis has been computed for all the scenarios. The changing variables, the rwacc and the growth, have been modified in $+/ .0,5 \%$ with respect to the value assumed in each scenario. By this way, the range of values for the rwacc in all scenarios goes from $6,02 \%$ to $9,02 \%$ taking as the median the rwacc for all used in the three scenarios (7,52\%). With respect to growth to perpetuity, I have used a range from $1,74 \%$ to $4,74 \%$ in the optimistic scenario taking as the median a $3,24 \%$, a range from $1,09 \%$ to $4,09 \%$ in the neutral scenario taking as the median a 2,59\% and a range from 0,45\% to 3,45\% in the pessimistic scenario taking as the median a $1,95 \%$. Note that when the sensitivity analysis coincides with the variables employed in each scenario the price per share from the sensitivity table ( the one in dark blue) coincides with the price per share calculated in each scenario. Once this has been understood, you may have realized that the table shows a huge range of values for the share depending on the evolution of the above mentioned variables. Thus, this analysis is relevant to see in each scenario, according to my judgment, the values per share with the highest likelihood. The values are in different colors according to their likelihood, therefore the most probable is the one in dark blue followed by the ones in light blue. The values with lower likelihood are not highlighted in any color. In the optimistic scenario the range of the value per share goes from 108,74 to 173,03 euros, in the neutral from 85,61 to 128,05 euros and in the pessimistic scenario from 69,01 to 99,31 euros.

As I have said in the previous section, the expected value of the share has been computed assuming three different distributions. This allows us to compute the variance and the standard deviation as a measure of the risk assumed. To give a range of value, confidence intervals have been calculated according to the desired level of confidence, which in our case are $1 \delta=68,2 \%$ degree of confidence, $2 \delta=95,40 \%$ and $3 \delta=99,60 \%$.

Therefore, for the distribution the distribution we will use, the $\beta$ distribution, the range of values for the share goes from 95,45 to 112,77 euros at $1 \delta$, from 121,43 to 86,79 euros at $2 \delta$ and 130,09 to 78,18 euros at $3 \delta$.

### 2.6.6 Relative valuation

In this section we are going to use an alternative method to the discounted free cash flow. Relative valuation approach tries to assess if the company is overvalued, undervalued or trading at fair price by comparing company's
multiples with its estimated multiples and with the competitors. We must bear in mind, that in our case, the unique business model of Inditex, its high degree of international diversification and strong top line and bottom line growth make the company somewhat different with respect to its peers. The companies which according to my judgment are the closest to Inditex are: Next PLC, Abercrombie \& Fitch, Hennes \& Mauritz, Gap inc.. and fast retailing co. Those companies have been selected on the basis of three main criteria: its International profile, its large volume of sales and because they serve a similar type of customer. With no doubt, Inditex's closest competitor is H\&M. Three main multiples have been used to assess the value of the company: price to earnings multiple, price to sales multiple and price to book value multiple.

This analysis would be based on a brief comment on the evolution of the different past multiples, of both Inditex and for the sector. A comparison of Inditex against its closest competitor( $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{M}$ ) and the analysis of the estimated multiple against the actual multiple.

## Price to sales multiple.

As we can see the company has always been above the average with respect to the price paid for a competitor's unit of sales. A high price to sales compared to the sector can be considered as a sigh of overvaluation, however we may take into account that what investors desire are cash flows and earnings. Companies which have high sales but lose money in the bottom line of the P\&L would tend to have a lower price (ceteris paribus) with respect to those obtaining positive or larger earnings. Thus, for those companies the price to sales would be lower compared to companies with big earnings. In my opinion, the overvaluation sign that this multiple bring to us is denied by the track record. We can see that in 2008 the multiple was above the one from the sector, despite this fact the company has nearly tripled its quotation at that time ( around 33 euros)

In my opinion, a more interesting comparison is the one from the company against H\&M. We can see in all our time horizon that the market capitalized the sales from H\&M more than the ones from Inditex. In 2009 The multiple showed a clear sign of undervaluation with respect to $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{M}$, since then, the multiple is approaching more and more to H\&M. In 2012 there was still a gap which I think in the near future will be corrected. My forecast in the short run is that the market will capitalize the sales from Inditex slightly more than the sales from H\&M. My main argument is that H\&M lacks the privileged position that Inditex holds in high growth markets and will suffer cost pressures from their suppliers, this will translate into worse results compared to Inditex's ones.

The estimated multiple which has been calculated taking the expected price per share from the simplified $\beta$ distribution leads to an estimated multiple of 4,04 while the current market multiple is 3,98 . Thus, the market is more or less in line with my valuation.

## Price to earnings ratio.

The main advantage of this ratio lies on taking into account earnings per share, which in fact is one of the main drivers in the value of any stock. In our case, the main problem with this ratio is that we are comparing companies under different accounting regulations. In addition, earnings are affected by accounting decisions like provisions, amortizations... The market has capitalized less the earnings from Inditex than the ones from its peers over the past five years, this could be due to Inditex's extremely high performance which beat market expectations. However, it seems that the market has discounted all this past growth and the company is right now trading at a higher PER than its peers, according to infinancials ${ }^{47}$ the average PER in 2013 is 18,72 for the sector a PER around 22,03 for H\&M.

The estimated multiple which has been calculated taking the expected price per share from the simplified $\beta$ distribution leads to an estimated multiple of 27,49 while the current market multiple is 26,88 . As before, the multiple display a very small undervaluation sign.

## Price to book value ratio.

In terms of track record the company has been traded above the market. With respect to $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{M}$, we can see that as time passed by the company has approach more and more to the value of H\&M. The estimated multiple which has been calculated taking the expected price per share from the simplified $\beta$ distribution leads to an estimated multiple of 7,65 while the current market multiple is 7,48 . As in all the previous cases the multiple is in line with my estimated multiple showing very small signs of undervaluation.

### 2.7 CONCLUSION

Despite the fact that the company has presented a strong track record and excellent financial magnitudes, in my opinion, all the future growth has already been discounted in the price of the share and the price is correct. On May 22, Inditex was quoting in 101,8 euros. According to my estimated price there is a only a $2,21 \%$ upside potential from this price to $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ euros. All the multiples confirm this slight undervaluation when it is compared the actual multiple with the estimated one. The share book value was 13,61 in 2012.

To end up, my recommendation is to hold.

[^19]
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## APPENDIX 1: FINANCIAL ANALYSIS: TRACK RECORD

## 1. INDITEX'S ANNUAL REPORT BALANCE SHEET, NET BALANCE SHEET \& PROFIT AND LOSS ACCOUNT.

| Balance Sheet | Source: Annual reports INDITEX |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EUR millions | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| CURRENT ASSSETS | 3264 | 3944 | 5203 | 5437 | 6692 |
| Stock | 1055 | 993 | 1215 | 1277 | 1581 |
| Receivables | 585 | 422 | 482 | 531 | 848 |
| Income tax asset | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 59 |
| Other | 142 | 94 | 56 | 145 | 361 |
| Cash | 1466 | 2420 | 3433 | 3467 | 3843 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIXED ASSETS | 4513 | 4392 | 4624 | 5522 | 6198 |
| Tangibles | 3451 | 3307 | 3414 | 4083 | 4745 |
| Intangibles | 680 | 665 | 687 | 832 | 820 |
| Financial investments | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 4 |
| Deferred tax | 203 | 234 | 299 | 356 | 383 |
| Other | 165 | 170 | 213 | 241 | 247 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 7777 | 8335 | 9826 | 10959 | 12890 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | 2391 | 2305 | 2675 | 2703 | 3485 |
| Payables | 2073 | 2103 | 2420 | 2475 | 3243 |
| Financial debt | 234 | 35 | 42 | 24 | 76 |
| Income tax payable | 84 | 167 | 213 | 204 | 165 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NON CURRENT LIABILITIES | 637 | 660 | 728 | 801 | 923 |
| Financial debt | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 214 | 173 | 173 | 183 | 192 |
| Provisions | 102 | 127 | 157 | 147 | 144 |
| Others (sale of call options) | 308 | 355 | 395 | 469 | 583 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EQUITY | 4749 | 5371 | 6423 | 7456 | 8482 |
| Equity attributable to the group | 4722 | 5329 | 6386 | 7415 | 8446 |
| Minorities | 27 | 41 | 37 | 41 | 36 |
| TOTAL FUNDS | 7777 | 8335 | 9826 | 10959 | 12890 |


| Net BS | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock | 1055 | 993 | 1215 | 1277 | 1581 |
| Receivables | 585 | 422 | 482 | 531 | 848 |
| Payables | 2073 | 2103 | 2420 | 2475 | 3243 |
| Working capital | -433 | -689 | -723 | -667 | -814 |
| Fixed assets | 4452 | 4251 | 4380 | 5311 | 6177 |
| Tangibles | 3451 | 3307 | 3414 | 4083 | 4745 |
| Intangibles | 680 | 665 | 687 | 832 | 820 |
| Financial investments | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 4 |
| Other | 307 | 264 | 269 | 386 | 608 |
| Net asets | 4019 | 3562 | 3657 | 4644 | 5363 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial debt | 234 | 35 | 42 | 24 | 76 |
| Cash | 1466 | 2420 | 3433 | 3467 | 3843 |
| Net S.T Debt | -1232 | -2385 | -3391 | -3443 | -3767 |
| L.T .debt (derived from loans) | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Net position on tax liabilities | 79 | 90 | 70 | 13 | -84 |
| Other debt instruments | 308 | 355 | 395 | 469 | 583 |
| Provisions | 102 | 127 | 157 | 147 | 144 |
| Fixed liabilities | 502 | 577 | 625 | 631 | 647 |
| Equity attributable to the group | 4722 | 5329 | 6386 | 7415 | 8446 |
| Minorities | 27 | 41 | 37 | 41 | 36 |
| Equity | 4749 | 5371 | 6423 | 7456 | 8482 |
| TOTAL FUNDS | 4019 | 3562 | 3657 | 4644 | 5363 |

Analytic P\&L account

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 10407 | 11084 | 12527 | 13793 | 15946 |
| Growth in sales |  | 7\% | 13\% | 10\% | 16\% |
| Cost of goods sold | -4493 | -4756 | -5105 | -5612 | -6417 |
| Supplies | -4540 | -4756 | -5105 | -5675 | -6721 |
| Inventory var | 48 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 304 |
| Gross profit | 5914 | 6328 | 7422 | 8180 | 9529 |
| Gross margin | 57\% | 57\% | 59\% | 59\% | 60\% |
| OPEX | -3708 | -3953 | -4452 | -4919 | -5605 |
| Depreciation | -578 | -646 | -676 | -736 | -796 |
| Staff cost as | -1703 | -1792 | -2009 | -2234 | -2548 |
| rent cost | -1028 | -1134 | -1272 | -1399 | -1530 |
| Other operating expenses | -976 | -1027 | -1171 | -1286 | -1527 |
| Total OPEX as \% sales | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 35\% |
| Growth in OPEX |  | 7\% | 13\% | 10\% | 14\% |
| Other expenses | -19 | -1 | -4 | -3 | -12 |
| EBITDA | 2187 | 2374 | 2966 | 3258 | 3913 |
| Operating P/L (EBIT) | 1609 | 1728 | 2290 | 2522 | 3117 |
| Financial result | -22 | 4 | 31 | 37 | 14 |
| Financial revenue | 46 | 46 | 43 | 55 | 42 |
| Interest income | 26 | 8 | 21 | 30 | 24 |
| foreign exchange gains | 20 | 37 | 22 | 24 | 18 |
| Dividends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Financial expense | -68 | -42 | -12 | -18 | -27 |
| Interest expense | -9 | -9 | -4 | -4 | -3 |
| other financial expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -8 |
| Foreign exchange losses | -59 | -33 | -8 | -4 | -17 |
| Income before taxes | 1587 | 1732 | 2322 | 2559 | 3131 |
| Taxes | -325 | -410 | -580 | -613 | -764 |
| Income after taxes | 1262 | 1322 | 1741 | 1946 | 2367 |
| Minorities | -8 | -8 | -9 | -13 | -6 |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 1253,45 | 1314,35 | 1731,83 | 1932,29 | 2360,76 |
| Number of shares | 621,711085 | 623,109136 | 623,109136 | 623,227952 | 623,3304 |
| EPS (euros) | 2,02 € | 2,11€ | 2,78 € | 3,10 € | 3,79 € |
| DPS | 1,06 $€$ | 1,06 $€$ | 1,21 $€$ | 1,61 $€$ | 1,81€ |


| Simplified P\&L Account | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 10407 | 11084 | 12527 | 13793 | 15946 |
| Cost of goods sold | 4493 | 4756 | 5105 | 5612 | 6417 |
| Gross profit | 5914 | 6328 | 7422 | 8180 | 9529 |
| General \& Admin exp | 3727 | 3954 | 4456 | 4923 | 5616 |
| EBITDA | 2187 | 2374 | 2966 | 3258 | 3913 |
| Depreciation | 578 | 646 | 676 | 736 | 796 |
| EBIT | 1609 | 1728 | 2290 | 2522 | 3117 |
| Financial result | -22 | 4 | 31 | 37 | 14 |
| EBT | 1587 | 1732 | 2322 | 2559 | 3131 |
| Taxes | -325 | -410 | -580 | -613 | -764 |
| Net profit | 1262 | 1322 | 1741 | 1946 | 2367 |

1.1 P\&L MARGINS

| Risk \& return |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross margin | 57\% | 57\% | 59\% | 59\% | 60\% |
| EBITDA/Revenues | 21\% | 21\% | 24\% | 24\% | 25\% |
| EBIT/Revenues | 15\% | 16\% | 18\% | 18\% | 20\% |
| Net profit/Revenues | 12\% | 12\% | 14\% | 14\% | 15\% |

1.2ROE \& ROIC

|  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROE |  | 27,84\% | 32,42\% | 30,29\% | 31,75\% |
| ROIC |  | 32,83\% | 48,22\% | 52,43\% | 50,74\% |
| EBIT | 1609 | 1728 | 2290 | 2522 | 3117 |
| Tax rate | 20\% | 24\% | 25\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| NOPLAT | 1.279 | 1.319 | 1.718 | 1.917 | 2.356 |
| Net assets |  | 4019 | 3562 | 3657 | 4644 |
| Leverage factor |  | -4,98\% | -15,80\% | -22,15\% | -18,99\% |


| Financial leverage | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROIC | 32,83\% | 48,22\% | 52,43\% | 50,74\% |
| ROIC-Kfin result* ${ }^{*}(1-\mathrm{T}$ ) | 32,43\% | 46,93\% | 51,42\% | 50,36\% |
| kfin result | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| kfin result(1-t) | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Interest | -4 | -31 | -37 | -14 |
| Total net debt -1 | -730 | -1808 | -2766 | -2812 |
| Net position on S.T.D | -1232 | -2385 | -3391 | -3443 |
| Fixed liabilities | 502 | 577 | 625 | 631 |
| D/E | -15\% | -34\% | -43\% | -38\% |
| ROE | 27,84\% | 32,42\% | 30,29\% | 31,75\% |
| Error | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% |

### 1.3 DUPONT ANALYSIS

| Dupont analysis |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ROE |  | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |  |
| Net profit/EBT |  | $76 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ |  |
| EBT/EBIT |  | $100 \%$ | $76 \%$ |  |  |
| EBIT/ Sales |  | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $101 \%$ |  |
| Sales/ Assets |  | $276 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Assets/ Equity |  | $35 \%$ | $18 \%$ |  |  |

### 1.4STRUCTURE RATIOS BALANCE SHEET

| Analysis: Structure ratios | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working capital | -11\% | -19\% | -20\% | -14\% | -15\% |
| Fixed assets | 111\% | 119\% | 120\% | 114\% | 115\% |
| Net s.t debt | -31\% | -67\% | -93\% | -74\% | -70\% |
| L.T Debt | 13\% | 16\% | 17\% | 14\% | 12\% |
| Equity | 118\% | 151\% | 176\% | 161\% | 158\% |

### 1.5 WORKING CAPITAL ANALYSIS

| Working capital analysis |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg receivables |  | 504 | 452 | 506 | 689 |
| Revenues |  | 11084 | 12527 | 13793 | 15946 |
| Collecting period |  | 17 | 13 | 13 | 16 |
| 1 day collection |  | 30 | 34 | 38 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg stock |  | 1024 | 1104 | 1246 | 1429 |
| Cost of goods sold |  | 4756 | 5105 | 5612 | 6417 |
| Avg days of stock |  | 79 | 79 | 81 | 81 |
| 1 day of stock |  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg Payables |  | 2088 | 2261 | 2447 | 2859 |
| Purchases |  | 4693 | 5327 | 5675 | 6721 |
| Avg days of payment |  | 162 | 155 | 157 | 155 |
| 1 day of payment |  | 13 | 15 | 16 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maturity period |  | -67 | -63 | -63 | -58 |
| Working capital | -433 | -689 | -723 | -667 | -814 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net debt \& cost of debt | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| ST Debt | 234 | 35 | 42 | 24 | 76 |
| - Cash | 1466 | 2420 | 3433 | 3467 | 3843 |
| Net ST Debt | -1232 | -2385 | -3391 | -3443 | -3767 |
| LT Debt derived from loans | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Other financial liabilities from financial instruments | 308 | 355 | 395 | 469 | 583 |
| Total net debt | -911 | -2025 | -2993 | -2972 | -3179 |
| S.T.D+L.T.D | 556 | 395 | 441 | 495 | 664 |
| Financial expenses from debt instruments |  | 9 | 4 | 14 | 11 |
| Cost of debt |  | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  | 1,97\% |  |  |  |

### 1.6 BREAKEVEN POINT OVER EBITDA \& SALES

| Breakeven point | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable expenses | 4493 | -4756 | -5105 | -5612 | -6417 |
| Revenues | 10407 | 11084 | 12527 | 13793 | 15946 |
| Gross margin | 56,83\% | 57,09\% | 59,25\% | 59,31\% | 59,76\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed expenses | 3727,384 | 3953,82 | 4455,815 | 4922,724 | 5616,361 |
| BEP over EBITDA | 6559 | 6925 | 7520 | 8300 | 9398 |
| Position over sales | 159\% | 160\% | 167\% | 166\% | 170\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPEX+ Depre+Fin exp | 4327,303 | 4595,839 | 5100,438 | 5621,384 | 6398,349 |
| Breakeven over profit | 7614,515006 | 8049,61654 | 8608,317403 | 9477,972511 | 10706,85102 |
| Position in t of sales | 137\% | 138\% | 146\% | 146\% | 149\% |

### 1.7 OPERATING LEVERAGE

| Operating leverage | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales ( Dec 10\%) | 9366 | 9975 | 11274 | 12413 | 14352 |
| EBIT | 1017 | 1096 | 1548 | 1704 | 2164 |
| Net sales | 10407 | 11084 | 12527 | 13793 | 15946 |
| EBIT | 1609 | 1728 | 2290 | 2522 | 3117 |
| Change in sales | -10\% | -10\% | -10\% | -10\% | -10\% |
| change in EBIT | -37\% | -37\% | -32\% | -32\% | -31\% |
| Operating leverage | 3,68 | 3,66 | 3,24 | 3,24 | 3,06 |

### 1.8. DETAILED CASH FLOW

| Statement .+ sign cash inflow, negative sign cash outflow |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EUR m | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| 1. NOPLAT |  | 1.319 | 1.718 | 1.917 | 2.356 |
| Tax rate |  | 24\% | 25\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| 2. Adjustments |  | 712 | 734 | 740 | 876 |
| . Depreciation |  | 646 | 676 | 736 | 796 |
| . FOREX |  | 30,665 | -29,681 | -29,218 | -14,291 |
| . Provisions |  | 25,234 | 29,556 | -9,292 | -2,987 |
| . others |  | 10,074 | 58,601 | 42,437 | 97,584 |
| 1+2 = Gross cash flow |  | 2031,02 | 2452,16 | 2656,99 | 3232,75 |
| . Stock |  | 62 | -222 | -62 | -304 |
| . Account receivables |  | 164 | -60 | -49 | -317 |
| . Account payables |  | 30 | 317 | 56 | 768 |
| 3. Change in working capital |  | 256 | 34 | -56 | 147 |
| CFO $=$ GCF +3 |  | 2287 | 2487 | 2601 | 3380 |
| 1.Tangible assets | 3451 | 3307 | 3414 | 4083 | 4745 |
| 2. Intangible assets | 680 | 665 | 687 | 832 | 820 |
| 3. Others | 307 | 264 | 269 | 386 | 608 |
| Total FA= 1+2+3 | 4437 | 4236 | 4371 | 5301 | 6173 |
| CAPEX = Variation FA + Depreciation |  | -487 | -806 | -1549 | -1446 |
| Variation in other FA |  | 43 | -5 | -117 | -222 |
| CFI |  | -444 | -811 | -1666 | -1668 |
| 1. Financial result |  | 2,88673868 | 23,33822302 | 28,13443516 | 10,68152597 |
| 2.1 S.T Debt | 234 | 35 | 42 | 24 | 76 |
| 2.2. L.T Debt | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| . 1+.2 Total debt | 247 | 40 | 46 | 25 | 81 |
| 2. Change in debt $=$ Variation TD |  | -207 | 6 | -21 | 56 |
| 3. Dividends | -661,556 | -662,09 | -751,357 | -1003,877 | -1129,769 |
| 4. Minorities change |  | 14 | -4 | 4 | -5 |
| 5.1 Net position on tax liabilities | 79 | 90 | 70 | 13 | -84 |
| 5.2 Financial assets | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 4 |
| 5.3 Other financing liabilities | 308 | 355 | 395 | 469 | 583 |
| .1-.2+.3 Total | 373 | 429 | 455 | 473 | 495 |
| 5.Changes in Other financial liabilities |  | 57 | 26 | 18 | 22 |
| 6.Other movements in equity |  | -93 | 38 | 74 | -289 |
| CFF |  | -889 | -662 | -902 | -1336 |
| Cash variation BS |  | 954 | 1013 | 33 | 376 |
| Cash variation CFS |  | 954 | 1013 | 33 | 376 |
| Error |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 1.9. DEBT ANALYSIS, NET DEBT \& COST OF DEBT.

| 3. Net Debt and cost of debt | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ST Debt | 234 | 35 | 42 | 24 | 76 |
| Cash | 1466 | 2420 | 3433 | 3467 | 3843 |
| Net ST Debt | $\mathbf{- 1 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 3 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 4 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 7 6 7}$ |
| LT Debt derived from loans | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Other financial liabilities from financial instruments | 308 | 355 | 395 | 469 | 583 |
| Total net debt | $\mathbf{- 9 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 1 7 9}$ |
| S.T.D+L.T.D | 556 | 395 | 441 | 495 | 664 |
| Financial expenses from debt instruments |  | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| Cost of debt |  | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |

### 1.10 <br> DIVIDEND PAYOUT, EARNINGS PER SHARE \& RETENTION RATIO.

6.Dividend Payout

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 1253,71 | 1314,62 | 1732,09 | 1932,55 | 2361,01 |
| Number of shares | 621,71 | 623,11 | 623,11 | 623,23 | 623,33 |
| EPS (euros) | $\mathbf{2 , 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 9}$ |
| Growth rate |  | $5 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |
| DPS | $\mathbf{1 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2}$ |
| Growth rate |  | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |
| Payout ratio | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |
| Retention ratio | $48 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ |

PAYOUT RATIO, GROWTH IN EARNINGS PER SHARE AND GROWTH IN DIVIDENDS.


Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.


Source: estimates based on Inditex's accounts.

### 1.11 FREE CASH FLOW.

| Free cash flow | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. NOPLAT |  | 1319 | 1718 | 1917 | 2356 |
| Tax rate |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Adjustments |  | 646 | 676 | 736 | 796 |
| . Depreciation |  | 646 | 676 | 736 | 796 |
| 1+2 = Gross cash flow |  | 1965 | 2394 | 2653 | 3152 |
| Investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Stock | 0 | 62 | -222 | -62 | -304 |
| . Account receivables | 0 | 164 | -60 | -49 | -317 |
| . Account payables | 0 | 30 | 317 | 56 | 768 |
| Change in Working capital | 0 | 256 | 34 | -56 | 147 |
| 1. Working capiral investment |  | -256 | -34 | 56 | -147 |
| 1.Tangible assets | 3451 | 3307 | 3414 | 4083 | 4745 |
| 2.Intangible assets | 680 | 665 | 687 | 832 | 820 |
| 3. Others | 307 | 264 | 269 | 386 | 608 |
| Total FA $=1+2+3$ | 4437 | 4236 | 4371 | 5301 | 6173 |
| 2. CAPEX |  | 487 | 806 | 1549 | 1446 |
| 3. Investment in other assets |  | -43 | 5 | 117 | 222 |
| 1+2+3=Gross investment |  | 188 | 777 | 1722 | 1521 |
| FCFF= GCF- GI |  | 1777 | 1617 | 931 | 1632 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Change in s.t debt |  | 199 | -7 | 18 | -53 |
| 2.Change in fixed liabilites |  | -75 | -48 | -6 | -16 |
| 3.Interest expenses*(1-t) |  | -3 | -23 | -28 | -11 |
| 1+2+3=Debt service |  | 122 | -78 | -16 | -80 |
| 1. Increase in cash |  | 954 | 1013 | 33 | 376 |
| 2.Dividends paid |  | 662 | 751 | 1004 | 1130 |
| 3.Others |  | 39 | -69 | -90 | 206 |
| 1+2+3=Equity service |  | 1655 | 1696 | 947 | 1712 |
| 1+2= Total service |  | 1777 | 1617 | 931 | 1632 |
| Error |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Reinvestment rate | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross investment |  | 188 | 777 | 1722 | 1521 |
| Depreciation |  | 646 | 676 | 736 | 796 |
| Net invesment |  | -457 | 101 | 986 | 724 |
| NOPLAT |  | 1.319 | 1.718 | 1.917 | 2.356 |
| Net reinvestment rate |  | $-35 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| NOPLAT*(1- reinvestment rate) =FCF |  | 1776,57 | 1617,17 | 931,03 |  |
| Average reinvest,emt rate |  | $13 \%$ |  | 1631,87 |  |

## APPENDIX 2: PROJECTIONS.

### 2.1. NEUTRAL SCENARIO

| Net Balance Sheet | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock | 1598,2 | 2075,3 | 2082,4 | 2382,1 | 2569,1 |
| Receivables | 613,4 | 1037,5 | 696,1 | 1481,3 | 607,8 |
| Payables | 3035,1 | 4734,6 | 3586,8 | 5210,8 | 5044,7 |
| Working capital | -823,5 | -1621,8 | -808,4 | -1347,4 | -1867,8 |
| Fixed assets | 6973,4 | 7825,7 | 8195,1 | 8547,8 | 9020,0 |
| Tangibles | 5363,5 | 6005,7 | 6125,8 | 6182,0 | 6300,1 |
| Intangibles | 862,5 | 907,4 | 954,6 | 1004,2 | 1056,4 |
| Financial investments | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 |
| Other | 743,4 | 908,6 | 1110,7 | 1357,6 | 1659,5 |
| Net asets | 6149,8 | 6203,9 | 7386,7 | 7200,4 | 7152,2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial debt | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 |
| Cash | 4327,1 | 5670,0 | 5868,1 | 7177,3 | 8417,2 |
| Net S.T Debt | -4250,7 | -5593,7 | -5791,7 | -7101,0 | -8340,8 |
| L.T .debt (derived from loans) | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 |
| Net position on tax liabilities | -67,5 | -50,6 | -33,8 | -16,9 | 0,0 |
| Other debt instruments | 684,4 | 803,3 | 942,8 | 1106,6 | 1298,8 |
| Provisions | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 |
| Fixed liabilities | 765,5 | 901,3 | 1057,7 | 1238,3 | 1447,5 |
| Equity attributable to the group | 9599,1 | 10860,4 | 12084,8 | 13027,1 | 14009,6 |
| Minorities | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 |
| Equity | 9635,0 | 10896,4 | 12120,8 | 13063,1 | 14045,6 |
| TOTAL FUNDS | 6149,8 | 6203,9 | 7386,7 | 7200,4 | 7152,2 |


| Detailed P\&L | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 18099 | 20452 | 22599 | 24836 | 27233 |
| Like for like | 6,50\% | 3\% | 4,00\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Space Increase | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Space contribution | 7,00\% | 10,00\% | 6,50\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Growth rate | 13,50\% | 13,00\% | 10,50\% | 9,90\% | 9,65\% |
| Cost of goods sold | -7257,65 | -8385,21 | -9604,64 | -10058,77 | -11437,94 |
| Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory var |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | 10841,22 | 12066,52 | 12994,52 | 14777,70 | 15795,25 |
| Gross margin | 59,90\% | 59,00\% | 57,50\% | 59,50\% | 58,00\% |
| OPEX | -6430 | -7262 | -8018 | -8809 | -9648 |
| Depreciation | -814 | -920 | -1017 | -1118 | -1089 |
| Amortization / Sales | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Staff cost | -2923 | -3294 | -3637 | -4000 | -4381 |
| Staff cost as \% of sales | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| rent cost | -1810 | -2050 | -2256 | -2471 | -2699 |
| Rent cost as \% Sales | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Other operating expenses | -1697,627466 | -1918,249847 | -2124,775576 | -2337,922393 | -2568,237772 |
| Other Opex as \% sales | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Total OPEX as \% sales | 35,53\% | 35,51\% | 35,48\% | 35,47\% | 35,43\% |
| Growth in OPEX | 15\% | 13\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Other expenses | -7,8386 | -8 | -9 | -6 | -8 |
| EBITDA | 4403 | 4796 | 4968 | 5963 | 6139 |
| Operating P/L (EBIT) | 3588 | 3876 | 3951 | 4845 | 5050 |
| Financial result | 11,151 | 10,151 | 9,151 | 11,151 | 13,651 |
| Financial revenue | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 34 |
| Interest income | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 34 |
| foreign exchange gains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dividends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Financial expense | -14 | -16 | -19 | -19 | -20 |
| Interest expense | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 |
| other financial expenses | -11 | -13 | -16 | -16 | -18 |
| Foreign exchange losses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Income before taxes | 3600 | 3886 | 3960 | 4857 | 5064 |
| Taxes | -863,9071747 | -893,7716748 | -910,7296331 | -1117,00396 | -1164,661109 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Income after taxes | 2735,71 | 2992,19 | 3048,96 | 3739,53 | 3899,08 |
| Minorities | -7,227361078 | -7,904962195 | -8,054946832 | -9,8793398 | -10,30084338 |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 2728,48 | 2984,29 | 3040,91 | 3729,66 | 3888,78 |
| Number of shares | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 |
| EPS (euros) | 4,4 | 4,8 | 4,9 | 6,0 | 6,2 |
| DPS | 2,5 | 2,8 | 2,9 | 4,5 | 4,7 |


| Summarized P\&L account | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 18099 | 20452 | 22599 | 24836 | 27233 |
| Cost of goods sold | 7258 | 8385 | 9605 | 10059 | 11438 |
| Gross profit | 10841 | 12067 | 12995 | 14778 | 15795 |
| General \& Admin exp | 6438 | 7270 | 8027 | 8815 | 9656 |
| EBITDA | 4403 | 4796 | 4968 | 5963 | 6139 |
| Depreciation | 814 | 920 | 1017 | 1118 | 1089 |
| EBIT | 3588 | 3876 | 3951 | 4845 | 5050 |
| Financial result | 11 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 14 |
| EBT | 3600 | 3886 | 3960 | 4857 | 5064 |
| Taxes | 864 | 894 | 911 | 1117 | 1165 |
| Net profit | 2736 | 2992 | 3049 | 3740 | 3899 |
| Minorities | 7 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| Net income to the parent | 2728 | 2984 | 3041 | 3730 | 3889 |
| Check | true | true | true | true | true |

### 2.1.1. KEY CALCULATIONS FOR PROJECTIONS:

| 1. Gross Margin and revenues | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | 18099 | 20452 | 22599 | 24836 | 27233 |
| Growth rate | 13,5\% | 13,0\% | 10,5\% | 9,9\% | 9,7\% |
| Gross margin | 59,90\% | 59,00\% | 57,50\% | 59,50\% | 58,00\% |
| Growth rate | 0,2\% | -1,5\% | -2,5\% | 3,5\% | -2,5\% |
| Fixed expenses ( excluding amortization) | 6438 | 7270 | 8027 | 8815 | 9656 |
| Growth rate | 14,6\% | 12,9\% | 10,4\% | 9,8\% | 9,5\% |


| 2. Amortization \& CAPEX | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net FA excluding financial investments | 6969 | 7822 | 8191 | 8544 | 9016 |
| Tangible \& Intangible assets | 6226 | 6913 | 7080 | 7186 | 7356 |
| Tangible | 5363 | 6006 | 6126 | 6182 | 6300 |
| Growth rate tangible | 13\% | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Intangible | 863 | 907 | 955 | 1004 | 1056 |
| Growth rate intangible | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| CAPEX to tangible | 1433 | 1563 | 1137 | 1174 | 1207 |
| CAPEX to intangible | 43 | 45 | 47 | 50 | 52 |
| CAPEX | 1476 | 1607 | 1184 | 1223 | 1260 |
| Space increased by investment | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| CAPEX/EBITDA | 34\% | 34\% | 24\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Amortization | 814,45 | 920,33 | 1016,96 | 1117,64 | 1089,33 |
| Amortization/Sales | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |


| 3. Net Debt and Financial Expenses | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ST Debt | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| -Cash | 4327 | 5670 | 5868 | 7177 | 8417 |
| Net ST Debt | -4251 | -5594 | -5792 | -7101 | -8341 |
| LT Debt derived from loans | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Other financial liabilities from financial instruments | 684,4 | 803,3 | 942,8 | 1106,6 | 1298,8 |
| Total net debt | -3562 | -4786 | -4845 | -5990 | -7038 |
| Total debt previous period | 664 | 765 | 884 | 1023 | 1187 |
| Financial expenses from debt instruments | 14 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| Cost of debt=kf | 2,09\% | 2,07\% | 2,13\% | 1,84\% | 1,71\% |


| 4.Tax rate | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| 5. Minorities | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Minorities B.S | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 |
| \% of net result paid to minorities | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% |
| Minorities payment | 7,227361078 | 7,904962195 | 8,054946832 | 9,8793398 | 10,30084338 |


| 6.Dividend Payout | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 2728,48 | 2984,29 | 3040,91 | 3729,66 | 3888,78 |
| Number of shares | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 |
| EPS (euros) | 4,38 | 4,79 | 4,88 | 5,98 | 6,24 |
| Growth rate | 16\% | 9\% | 2\% | 23\% | 4\% |
| DPS | 2,5 | 2,8 | 2,9 | 4,5 | 4,7 |
| Growth rate | 15\% | 9\% | 5\% | 53\% | 4\% |
| Payout ratio | 58\% | 58\% | 60\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Retention ratio | 42\% | 42\% | 40\% | 25\% | 25\% |


| 7.Working capital analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivables | 613 | 1038 | 696 | 1481 | 608 |
| Avg receivables | 731 | 825 | 867 | 1089 | 1045 |
| Revenues | 18099 | 20452 | 22599 | 24836 | 27233 |
| Collecting period | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 14 |
| 1 day collection | 50 | 56 | 62 | 68 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock | 1598 | 2075 | 2082 | 2382 | 2569 |
| Avg stock | 1590 | 1837 | 2079 | 2232 | 2476 |
| Cost of goods sold | 7258 | 8385 | 9605 | 10059 | 11438 |
| Avg days of stock | 80 | 80 | 79 | 81 | 79 |
| 1 day of stock | 20 | 23 | 26 | 28 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payables | 3035 | 4735 | 3587 | 5211 | 5045 |
| Avg Payables | 3139 | 3885 | 4161 | 4399 | 5128 |
| Purchases | 7275 | 8862 | 9612 | 10358 | 11625 |
| Avg days of payment | 158 | 160 | 158 | 155 | 161 |
| 1 day of payment | 20 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 32 |
| Maturity period | -63 | -65 | -65 | -58 | -68 |
| Working capital | -824 | -1622 | -808 | -1347 | -1868 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.Other Fixed assets | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Financial investments | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Other | 743 | 909 | 1111 | 1358 | 1659 |
| Growth rate | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Other Fixed liabilities | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Other debt instruments | 684,39111 | 803,2776392 | 942,8161123 | 1106,594007 | 1298,821987 |
| Growth rate | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% |

### 2.1.2. SCENARIO FINANCIAL ANALYSIS:

| Analysis: Structure ratios | 2013E 2014E | 2015E |  | 2016E | 2017E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Working capital | $-13 \%$ | $-26 \%$ | $-11 \%$ | $-19 \%$ | $-26 \%$ |
| Fixed assets | $113 \%$ | $126 \%$ | $111 \%$ | $119 \%$ | $126 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Net s.t debt | $-69 \%$ | $-90 \%$ | $-78 \%$ | $-99 \%$ | $-117 \%$ |
| L.T Debt | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Equity | $157 \%$ | $176 \%$ | $164 \%$ | $181 \%$ | $196 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Breakeven point | 2013E 2014E |  | 2015E | 2016E 2017E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable expenses | -7258 | -8385 | -9605 | -10059 | -11438 |
| Revenues | 18099 | 20452 | 22599 | 24836 | 27233 |
| Gross margin | 59,90\% | 59,00\% | 57,50\% | 59,50\% | 58,00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed expenses | 6438 | 7270,377706 | 8027,010102 | 8814,672432 | 9655,831334 |
| BEP over EBITDA | 10748 | 12323 | 13960 | 14815 | 16648 |
| Position over sales | 168\% | 166\% | 162\% | 168\% | 164\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPEX+ Depre+Fin exp | 7241,611283 | 8180,554362 | 9034,821162 | 9921,162737 | 10731,50806 |
| Breakeven over profit | 12089,50131 | 13865,34638 | 15712,73246 | 16674,22309 | 18502,6001 |
| Position in $t$ of sales | 150\% | 148\% | 144\% | 149\% | 147\% |


| Risk \& return | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross margin | 60\% | 59\% | 58\% | 60\% | 58\% |
| EBITDA/Revenues | 24\% | 23\% | 22\% | 24\% | 23\% |
| EBIT/Revenues | 20\% | 19\% | 17\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| Net profit/Revenues | 15\% | 15\% | 13\% | 15\% | 14\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| ROE | 32,25\% | 31,06\% | 27,98\% | 30,85\% | 29,85\% |
| ROIC | 50,86\% | 48,53\% | 49,03\% | 50,51\% | 54,00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBIT | 3588 | 3876 | 3951 | 4845 | 5050 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPLAT | 2.727 | 2.984 | 3.042 | 3.731 | 3.889 |
| Net assets | 5363 | 6150 | 6204 | 7387 | 7200 |
| Leverage factor | -18,60\% | -17,47\% | -21,05\% | -19,66\% | -24,16\% |



| Free cash flow firm | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. NOPLAT | 2.727 | 2.984 | 3.042 | 3.731 | 3.889 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| 2. Adjustments | 814 | 920 | 1017 | 1118 | 1089 |
| . Depreciation | 814 | 920 | 1017 | 1118 | 1089 |
| 1+2 = Gross cash flow | 3541,68 | 3904,70 | 4058,88 | 4848,59 | 4977,90 |
| Investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Account receivables | -17 | -477 | -7 | -300 | -187 |
| . Account payables | 234 | -424 | 341 | -785 | 874 |
| 3. Change in working capital | -208 | 1699 | -1148 | 1624 | -166 |
| Change in Working capital | 9 | 798 | -813 | 539 | 520 |
| 1. Working capiral investment | -9 | -798 | 813 | -539 | -520 |
| 2.Intangible assets | 5363 | 6006 | 6126 | 6182 | 6300 |
| 3.Others | 863 | 907 | 955 | 1004 | 1056 |
| Total $\mathrm{FA}=1+2+3$ | 743 | 909 | 1111 | 1358 | 1659 |
| CAPEX = Variation FA + Depreciation | 6969 | 7822 | 8191 | 8544 | 9016 |
| 2. CAPEX | 1476 | 1607 | 1184 | 1223 | 1260 |
| 3. Investment in other assets | 135 | 165 | 202 | 247 | 302 |
| 1+2+3=Gross investment | 1602 | 974 | 2200 | 931 | 1041 |
| FCFF= GCF- GI | 1940,03 | 2930,28 | 1859,11 | 3917,24 | 3936,80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROIC | 50,86\% | 48,53\% | 49,03\% | 50,51\% | 54,00\% |
| Services | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| 1.Change in s.t debt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.Change in fixed liabilites | -118 | -136 | -156 | -181 | -209 |
| 3.Interest expenses*(1-t) | -8 | -8 | -7 | -9 | -11 |
| 1+2+3=Debt service | -127 | -144 | -163 | -189 | -220 |
| 1. Increase in cash | 484 | 1343 | 198 | 1309 | 1240 |
| 2. Dividends paid | 1583 | 1731 | 1825 | 2797 | 2917 |
| 3.Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1+2+3=Equity service | 2067 | 3074 | 2023 | 4106 | 4156 |
| 1+2= Total service | 1940 | 2930 | 1859 | 3917 | 3937 |
| Error | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net reinvestment rate analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Gross investment | 1602 | 974 | 2200 | 931 | 1041 |
| Depreciation | 814 | 920 | 1017 | 1118 | 1089 |
| Net invesment | 787 | 54 | 1183 | -186 | -48 |
| NOPLAT | 2.727 | 2.984 | 3.042 | 3.731 | 3.889 |
| NRR | 29\% | 2\% | 39\% | -5\% | -1\% |
| NOPLAT*(1- reinvestment rate) =FCF | 1940,03 | 2930,28 | 1859,11 | 3917,24 | 3936,80 |
| Check | True | True | True | True | True |

### 2.2 OPTIMISTIC SCENARIO

| Net Balance Sheet | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock | 1611,1 | 1913,5 | 1892,7 | 2328,0 | 2236,6 |
| Receivables | 643,0 | 1054,8 | 752,3 | 1519,5 | 703,8 |
| Payables | 3071,8 | 4246,9 | 3347,5 | 5098,9 | 4123,0 |
| Working capital | -817,7 | -1278,6 | -702,6 | -1251,4 | -1182,6 |
| Fixed assets | 6956,9 | 7939,6 | 8606,6 | 9166,0 | 9983,2 |
| Tangibles | 5347,0 | 6119,5 | 6537,4 | 6800,2 | 7263,3 |
| Intangibles | 862,5 | 907,4 | 954,6 | 1004,2 | 1056,4 |
| Financial investments | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 |
| Other | 743,4 | 908,6 | 1110,7 | 1357,6 | 1659,5 |
| Net asets | 6139,2 | 6661,0 | 7904,1 | 7914,6 | 8800,6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial debt | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 |
| Cash | 4398,7 | 5497,3 | 6063,7 | 7407,9 | 8092,4 |
| Net S.T Debt | -4322,4 | -5421,0 | -5987,4 | -7331,6 | -8016,1 |
| L.T .debt (derived from loans) | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 |
| Net position on tax liabilities | -67,5 | -50,6 | -33,8 | -16,9 | 0,0 |
| Other debt instruments | 684,4 | 803,3 | 942,8 | 1106,6 | 1298,8 |
| Provisions | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 |
| Fixed liabilities | 765,5 | 901,3 | 1057,7 | 1238,3 | 1447,5 |
| Equity attributable to the group | 9660,1 | 11144,7 | 12797,8 | 13971,9 | 15333,3 |
| Minorities | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 |
| Equity | 9696,0 | 11180,7 | 12833,7 | 14007,8 | 15369,2 |
| TOTAL FUNDS | 6139,2 | 6661,0 | 7904,1 | 7914,6 | 8800,6 |


| Detailed P\&L | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 18466 | 21032 | 23556 | 25912 | 28982 |
| Like for like | 6,50\% | 4\% | 4,00\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Space Increase | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Space contribution | 9,30\% | 9,90\% | 8,00\% | 7\% | 9\% |
| Growth rate | 15,80\% | 13,90\% | 12,00\% | 10,00\% | 11,85\% |
| Cost of goods sold | -7287,23 | -8045,51 | -8792,79 | -9509,67 | -10544,84 |
| Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory var |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | 11178,40 | 12986,85 | 14763,45 | 16402,19 | 18437,58 |
| Gross margin | 60,54\% | 61,75\% | 62,67\% | 63,30\% | 63,62\% |
| OPEX | -6561 | -7469 | -8358 | -9190 | -10268 |
| Depreciation | -831 | -946 | -1060 | -1166 | -1159 |
| Amortization / Sales | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Staff cost | -2982 | -3388 | -3791 | -4173 | -4662 |
| Staff cost as \% of sales | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| rent cost | -1847 | -2108 | -2352 | -2578 | -2872 |
| Rent cost as \% Sales | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Other operating expenses | -1732,028727 | -1972,709567 | -2214,760598 | -2439,151672 | -2733,199278 |
| Other Opex as \% sales | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Total OPEX as \% sales | 35,53\% | 35,51\% | 35,48\% | 35,47\% | 35,43\% |
| Growth in OPEX | 17\% | 14\% | 12\% | 10\% | 12\% |
| Other expenses | -7,8386 | -8 | -9 | -6 | -8 |
| EBITDA | 4610 | 5510 | 6397 | 7206 | 8162 |
| Operating P/L (EBIT) | 3779 | 4564 | 5337 | 6040 | 7003 |
| Financial result | 11,151 | 10,151 | 9,151 | 11,151 | 13,651 |
| Financial revenue | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 34 |
| Interest income | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 34 |
| foreign exchange gains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dividends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Financial expense | -14 | -16 | -19 | -19 | -20 |
| Interest expense | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 |
| other financial expenses | -11 | -13 | -16 | -16 | -18 |
| Foreign exchange losses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Income before taxes | 3790 | 4574 | 5346 | 6051 | 7016 |
| Taxes | -909,5951719 | -1052,016076 | -1229,578162 | -1391,78286 | -1613,773888 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Income after taxes | 2880,38 | 3521,97 | 4116,41 | 4659,45 | 5402,63 |
| Minorities | -7,609582296 | -9,304554555 | -10,87500215 | -12,30962135 | -14,27302065 |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 2872,78 | 3512,66 | 4105,54 | 4647,14 | 5388,36 |
| Number of shares | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 |
| EPS (euros) | 4,6 | 5,6 | 6,6 | 7,5 | 8,6 |
| DPS | 2,7 | 3,3 | 4,0 | 5,6 | 6,5 |


| Summarized P\&L account | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 18466 | 21032 | 23556 | 25912 | 28982 |
| Cost of goods sold | 7287 | 8046 | 8793 | 9510 | 10545 |
| Gross profit | 11178 | 12987 | 14763 | 16402 | 18438 |
| General \& Admin exp | 6569 | 7477 | 8367 | 9196 | 10276 |
| EBITDA | 4610 | 5510 | 6397 | 7206 | 8162 |
| Depreciation | 831 | 946 | 1060 | 1166 | 1159 |
| EBIT | 3779 | 4564 | 5337 | 6040 | 7003 |
| Financial result | 11 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 14 |
| EBT | 3790 | 4574 | 5346 | 6051 | 7016 |
| Taxes | 910 | 1052 | 1230 | 1392 | 1614 |
| Net profit | 2880 | 3522 | 4116 | 4659 | 5403 |
| Minorities | 8 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 14 |
| Net income to the parent | 2873 | 3513 | 4106 | 4647 | 5388 |
| Check | true | true | true | true | true |

### 2.2.1 KEY CALCULATIONS FOR PROJECTIONS

| 1. Gross Margin and revenues | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | 18466 | 21032 | 23556 | 26147 | 29246 |
| Growth rate | 15,8\% | 13,9\% | 12,0\% | 11,0\% | 11,9\% |
| Gross margin | 60,54\% | 61,75\% | 62,67\% | 63,30\% | 63,62\% |
| Growth rate | 1,3\% | 2,0\% | 1,5\% | 1,0\% | 0,5\% |
| Fixed expenses ( excluding amortization) | 6569 | 7477 | 8367 | 9196 | 10276 |
| Growth rate | 17,0\% | 13,8\% | 11,9\% | 9,9\% | 11,7\% |


| 2. Amortization \& CAPEX | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net FA excluding financial investments | 6953 | 7936 | 8603 | 9162 | 9979 |
| Tangible \& Intangible assets | 6210 | 7027 | 7492 | 7804 | 8320 |
| Tangible | 5347 | 6120 | 6537 | 6800 | 7263 |
| Growth rate tangible | 13\% | 14\% | 7\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Intangible | 863 | 907 | 955 | 1004 | 1056 |
| Growth rate intangible | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| CAPEX to tangible | 1433 | 1719 | 1478 | 1429 | 1622 |
| CAPEX to intangible | 43 | 45 | 47 | 50 | 52 |
| CAPEX | 1476 | 1764 | 1525 | 1478 | 1675 |
| Space increased by investment | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| CAPEX/EBITDA | 32\% | 32\% | 24\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Amortization | 830,95 | 946,46 | 1060,03 | 1166,03 | 1159,30 |
| Amortization/Sales | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| 3. Net Debt and Financial Expenses | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| ST Debt | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| -Cash | 4399 | 5497 | 6064 | 7408 | 8092 |
| Net ST Debt | -4322 | -5421 | -5987 | -7332 | -8016 |
| LT Debt derived from loans | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Other financial liabilities from financial instruments | 684,4 | 803,3 | 942,8 | 1106,6 | 1298,8 |
| Total net debt | -3634 | -4613 | -5040 | -6221 | -6713 |
| Total debt previous period | 664 | 765 | 884 | 1023 | 1187 |
| Financial expenses from debt instruments | 14 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| Cost of debt=kf | 2,09\% | 2,07\% | 2,13\% | 1,84\% | 1,71\% |
| 4.Tax rate | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| 5. Minorities | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Minorities B.S | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 |
| \% of net result paid to minorities | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% |
| Minorities payment | 7,609582296 | 9,304554555 | 10,87500215 | 12,30962135 | 14,27302065 |
| 6.Dividend Payout | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 2872,78 | 3512,66 | 4105,54 | 4647,14 | 5388,36 |
| Number of shares | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 |
| EPS (euros) | 4,61 | 5,64 | 6,59 | 7,46 | 8,64 |
| Growth rate | 22\% | 22\% | 17\% | 13\% | 16\% |
| DPS | 2,7 | 3,3 | 4,0 | 5,6 | 6,5 |
| Growth rate | 22\% | 22\% | 21\% | 41\% | 16\% |
| Payout ratio | 58\% | 58\% | 60\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Retention ratio | 42\% | 42\% | 40\% | 25\% | 25\% |


| 7.Working capital analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivables | 643 | 1055 | 752 | 1519 | 704 |
| Avg receivables | 745 | 849 | 904 | 1136 | 1112 |
| Revenues | 18466 | 21032 | 23556 | 25912 | 28982 |
| Collecting period | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 14 |
| 1 day collection | 51 | 58 | 65 | 71 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock | 1611 | 1913 | 1893 | 2328 | 2237 |
| Avg stock | 1596 | 1762 | 1903 | 2110 | 2282 |
| Cost of goods sold | 7287 | 8046 | 8793 | 9510 | 10545 |
| Avg days of stock | 80 | 80 | 79 | 81 | 79 |
| 1 day of stock | 20 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payables | 3072 | 4247 | 3348 | 5099 | 4123 |
| Avg Payables | 3158 | 3659 | 3797 | 4223 | 4611 |
| Purchases | 7317 | 8348 | 8772 | 9945 | 10453 |
| Avg days of payment | 158 | 160 | 158 | 155 | 161 |
| 1 day of payment | 20 | 23 | 24 | 27 | 29 |
| Maturity period | -63 | -65 | -65 | -58 | -68 |
| Working capital | -818 | -1279 | -703 | -1251 | -1183 |


| 8.Other Fixed assets | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial investments | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Other | 743 | 909 | 1111 | 1358 | 1659 |
| Growth rate | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| 9. Other Fixed liabilities | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Other debt instruments | 684,39111 | 803,2776392 | 942,8161123 | 1106,594007 | 1298,821987 |
| Growth rate | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Net position on tax liabilities | -67,5264 | -50,6448 | -33,7632 | -16,8816 | 0 |

### 2.2.2 SCENARIO FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

| Analysis: Structure ratios | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working capital | -13\% | -19\% | -9\% | -16\% | -13\% |
| Fixed assets | 113\% | 119\% | 109\% | 116\% | 113\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Net s.t debt | -70\% | -81\% | -76\% | -93\% | -91\% |
| L.T Debt | 12\% | 14\% | 13\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| Equity | 158\% | 168\% | 162\% | 177\% | 175\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Breakeven point | 2013E 2014E |  | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Variable expenses | -7287 | -8046 | -8793 | -9510 | -10545 |
| Revenues | 18466 | 21032 | 23556 | 25912 | 28982 |
| Gross margin | 60,54\% | 61,75\% | 62,67\% | 63,30\% | 63,62\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed expenses | 6569 | 7476,558905 | 8366,575762 | 9196,077547 | 10275,52504 |
| BEP over EBITDA | 10851 | 12108 | 13350 | 14528 | 16152 |
| Position over sales | 170\% | 174\% | 176\% | 178\% | 179\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPEX+ Depre+Fin exp | 7388,424714 | 8412,863955 | 9417,455538 | 10350,9604 | 11421,17081 |
| Breakeven over profit | 12204,95666 | 13624,7356 | 15026,28956 | 16352,24737 | 17953,17922 |
| Position in $t$ of sales | 151\% | 154\% | 157\% | 158\% | 161\% |
| Risk \& return | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Gross margin | 61\% | 62\% | 63\% | 63\% | 64\% |
| EBITDA/Revenues | 25\% | 26\% | 27\% | 28\% | 28\% |
| EBIT/Revenues | 20\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Net profit/Revenues | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% |
|  | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| ROE | 33,96\% | 36,32\% | 36,82\% | 36,31\% | 38,57\% |
| ROIC | 53,55\% | 57,24\% | 61,69\% | 58,84\% | 68,13\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBIT | 3779 | 4564 | 5337 | 6040 | 7003 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPLAT | 2.872 | 3.514 | 4.109 | 4.651 | 5.392 |
| Net assets | 5363 | 6139 | 6661 | 7904 | 7915 |
| Leverage factor | -19,59\% | -20,92\% | -24,88\% | -22,54\% | -29,56\% |


| Financial leverage | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROIC | 53,55\% | 57,24\% | 61,69\% | 58,84\% | 68,13\% |
| ROIC-Kfin result*(1-T) | 53,28\% | 57,02\% | 61,54\% | 58,67\% | 67,96\% |
| kfin result | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| kfin result(1-t) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Interest | -11 | -10 | -9 | -11 | -14 |
| Total net debt -1 | -3119 | -3557 | -4520 | -4930 | -6093 |
| Net position on S.T.D | -3767 | -4322 | -5421 | -5987 | -7332 |
| Fixed liabilities | 647 | 766 | 901 | 1058 | 1238 |
| D/E | -37\% | -37\% | -40\% | -38\% | -43\% |
| ROE | 33,96\% | 36,32\% | 36,82\% | 36,31\% | 38,57\% |
| Error | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% |


| Dupont analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROE | 34\% | 36\% | 37\% | 36\% | 39\% |
| Net profit/EBT | 76\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| EBT/EBIT | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| EBIT/ Sales | 20\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Sales/ Assets | 344\% | 343\% | 354\% | 328\% | 366\% |
| Assets/ Equity | 63\% | 63\% | 60\% | 62\% | 57\% |
| Error | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% |



| Free cash flow firm | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. NOPLAT | 2.872 | 3.514 | 4.109 | 4.651 | 5.392 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| 2. Adjustments | 831 | 946 | 1060 | 1166 | 1159 |
| - Depreciation | 831 | 946 | 1060 | 1166 | 1159 |
| 1+2 = Gross cash flow | 3702,86 | 4460,61 | 5169,40 | 5816,89 | 6551,42 |
| Investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Account receivables | -30 | -302 | 21 | -435 | 91 |
| . Account payables | 205 | -412 | 303 | -767 | 816 |
| 3. Change in working capital | -171 | 1175 | -899 | 1751 | -976 |
| Change in Working capital | 3 | 461 | -576 | 549 | -69 |
| 1. Working capiral investment | -3 | -461 | 576 | -549 | 69 |
| 2.Intangible assets | 5347 | 6120 | 6537 | 6800 | 7263 |
| 3.Others | 863 | 907 | 955 | 1004 | 1056 |
| Total FA $=1+2+3$ | 743 | 909 | 1111 | 1358 | 1659 |
| CAPEX = Variation FA + Depreciation | 6953 | 7936 | 8603 | 9162 | 9979 |
| 2. CAPEX | 1476 | 1764 | 1525 | 1478 | 1675 |
| 3. Investment in other assets | 135 | 165 | 202 | 247 | 302 |
| 1+2+3=Gross investment | 1607 | 1468 | 2303 | 1177 | 2045 |
| FCFF= GCF- GI | 2095,37 | 2992,35 | 2866,29 | 4640,29 | 4506,15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROIC | 53,55\% | 57,24\% | 61,69\% | 58,84\% | 68,13\% |
| Services | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| 1.Change in s.t debt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.Change in fixed liabilites | -118 | -136 | -156 | -181 | -209 |
| 3.Interest expenses*(1-t) | -8 | -8 | -7 | -9 | -11 |
| 1+2+3=Debt service | -127 | -144 | -163 | -189 | -220 |
| 1.Increase in cash | 556 | 1099 | 566 | 1344 | 684 |
| 2.Dividends paid | 1666 | 2037 | 2463 | 3485 | 4041 |
| 3.Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1+2+3=Equity service | 2222 | 3136 | 3030 | 4830 | 4726 |
| 1+2= Total service | 2095 | 2992 | 2866 | 4640 | 4506 |
| Error | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net reinvestment rate analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Gross investment | 1607 | 1468 | 2303 | 1177 | 2045 |
| Depreciation | 831 | 946 | 1060 | 1166 | 1159 |
| Net invesment | 777 | 522 | 1243 | 11 | 886 |
| NOPLAT | 2.872 | 3.514 | 4.109 | 4.651 | 5.392 |
| NRR | 27\% | 15\% | 30\% | 0\% | 16\% |
| NOPLAT*(1- reinvestment rate) =FCF | 2095,37 | 2992,35 | 2866,29 | 4640,29 | 4506,15 |
| Check | True | True | True | True | True |

### 2.2. PESSIMISTIC SCENARIO

| Net Balance Sheet | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock | 1558,1 | 1809,3 | 1868,8 | 1990,8 | 2204,8 |
| Receivables | 529,7 | 930,2 | 568,2 | 1247,1 | 452,5 |
| Payables | 2921,6 | 4037,5 | 3370,2 | 4119,2 | 4620,3 |
| Working capital | -833,8 | -1298,0 | -933,2 | -881,3 | -1963,0 |
| Fixed assets | 7020,0 | 7902,2 | 8202,0 | 8473,2 | 8833,8 |
| Tangibles | 5410,1 | 6082,2 | 6132,8 | 6107,4 | 6113,8 |
| Intangibles | 862,5 | 907,4 | 954,6 | 1004,2 | 1056,4 |
| Financial investments | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 |
| Other | 743,4 | 908,6 | 1110,7 | 1357,6 | 1659,5 |
| Net asets | 6186,2 | 6604,2 | 7268,8 | 7591,9 | 6870,7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial debt | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 | 76,4 |
| Cash | 4120,8 | 4866,1 | 5355,8 | 5938,8 | 7593,3 |
| Net S.T Debt | -4044,5 | -4789,8 | -5279,4 | -5862,4 | -7517,0 |
| L.T .debt (derived from loans) | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 | 4,3 |
| Net position on tax liabilities | -67,5 | -50,6 | -33,8 | -16,9 | 0,0 |
| Other debt instruments | 684,4 | 803,3 | 942,8 | 1106,6 | 1298,8 |
| Provisions | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 | 144,3 |
| Fixed liabilities | 765,5 | 901,3 | 1057,7 | 1238,3 | 1447,5 |
| Equity attributable to the group | 9429,3 | 10456,7 | 11454,6 | 12180,1 | 12904,3 |
| Minorities | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 |
| Equity | 9465,2 | 10492,6 | 11490,6 | 12216,0 | 12940,2 |
| TOTAL FUNDS | 6186,2 | 6604,2 | 7268,8 | 7591,9 | 6870,7 |


| Detailed P\&L | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 17062 | 18086 | 19533 | 20705 | 22154 |
| Like for like | 1,00\% | 2\% | 1,00\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| Space Increase | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Space contribution | 6,00\% | 4,00\% | 7,00\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Growth rate | 7,00\% | 6,00\% | 8,00\% | 6,00\% | 7,00\% |
| Cost of goods sold | -7166,20 | -7686,60 | -8496,86 | -8696,09 | -9692,52 |
| Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory var |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | 9896,18 | 10399,52 | 11036,15 | 12008,89 | 12461,81 |
| Gross margin | 58,00\% | 57,50\% | 56,50\% | 58,00\% | 56,25\% |
| OPEX | -6062 | -6422 | -6930 | -7343 | -7849 |
| Depreciation | -768 | -814 | -879 | -932 | -886 |
| Amortization / Sales | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Staff cost | -2755 | -2913 | -3144 | -3335 | -3564 |
| Staff cost as \% of sales | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| rent cost | -1706 | -1813 | -1950 | -2060 | -2195 |
| Rent cost as \% Sales | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Other operating expenses | -1600,40651 | -1696,369714 | -1836,495552 | -1949,01454 | -2089,273804 |
| Other Opex as \% sales | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Total OPEX as \% sales | 35,53\% | 35,51\% | 35,48\% | 35,47\% | 35,43\% |
| Growth in OPEX | 8\% | 6\% | 8\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| Other expenses | -7,8386 | -8 | -9 | -6 | -8 |
| EBITDA | 3826 | 3969 | 4097 | 4660 | 4605 |
| Operating P/L (EBIT) | 3058 | 3155 | 3218 | 3728 | 3719 |
| Financial result | 11,151 | 10,151 | 9,151 | 11,151 | 13,651 |
| Financial revenue | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 34 |
| Interest income | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 34 |
| foreign exchange gains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dividends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Financial expense | -14 | -16 | -19 | -19 | -20 |
| Interest expense | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 |
| other financial expenses | -11 | -13 | -16 | -16 | -18 |
| Foreign exchange losses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Income before taxes | 3069 | 3165 | 3227 | 3739 | 3733 |
| Taxes | -736,6734208 | -728,0510145 | -742,2447213 | -859,957876 | -858,5286245 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Income after taxes | 2332,80 | 2437,39 | 2484,91 | 2878,99 | 2874,20 |
| Minorities | -6,16293621 | -6,439246071 | -6,564782289 | -7,6058961 | -7,593255092 |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 2326,64 | 2430,95 | 2478,34 | 2871,38 | 2866,61 |
| Number of shares | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 |
| EPS (euros) | 3,7 | 3,9 | 4,0 | 4,6 | 4,6 |
| DPS | 2,2 | 2,3 | 2,4 | 3,5 | 3,4 |


| Summarized P\&L account | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 17062 | 18086 | 19533 | 20705 | 22154 |
| Cost of goods sold | 7166 | 7687 | 8497 | 8696 | 9693 |
| Gross profit | 9896 | 10400 | 11036 | 12009 | 12462 |
| General \& Admin exp | 6070 | 6430 | 6939 | 7349 | 7857 |
| EBITDA | 3826 | 3969 | 4097 | 4660 | 4605 |
| Depreciation | 768 | 814 | 879 | 932 | 886 |
| EBIT | 3058 | 3155 | 3218 | 3728 | 3719 |
| Financial result | 11 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 14 |
| EBT | 3069 | 3165 | 3227 | 3739 | 3733 |
| Taxes | 737 | 728 | 742 | 860 | 859 |
| Net profit | 2333 | 2437 | 2485 | 2879 | 2874 |
| Minorities | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Net income to the parent | 2327 | 2431 | 2478 | 2871 | 2867 |
| Check | true | true | true | true | true |

### 2.2.3 KEY CALCULATIONS FOR PROJECTIONS

| 1. Gross Margin and revenues | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | 17062 | 18086 | 19533 | 20705 | 22154 |
| Growth rate | 7,0\% | 6,0\% | 8,0\% | 6,0\% | 7,0\% |
| Gross margin | 58,00\% | 57,50\% | 56,50\% | 58,00\% | 56,25\% |
| Growth rate | -2,9\% | -0,9\% | -1,7\% | 2,7\% | -3,0\% |
| Fixed expenses ( excluding amortization) | 6070 | 6430 | 6939 | 7349 | 7857 |
| Growth rate | 8,1\% | 5,9\% | 7,9\% | 5,9\% | 6,9\% |
| 2. Amortization \& CAPEX | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Net FA excluding financial investments | 7016 | 7898 | 8198 | 8469 | 8830 |
| Tangible \& Intangible assets | 6273 | 6990 | 7087 | 7112 | 7170 |
| Tangible | 5410 | 6082 | 6133 | 6107 | 6114 |
| Growth rate tangible | 14\% | 12\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Intangible | 863 | 907 | 955 | 1004 | 1056 |
| Growth rate intangible | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| CAPEX to tangible | 1433 | 1486 | 930 | 906 | 893 |
| CAPEX to intangible | 43 | 45 | 47 | 50 | 52 |
| CAPEX | 1476 | 1531 | 977 | 956 | 945 |
| Space increased by investment | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| CAPEX/EBITDA | 39\% | 39\% | 24\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Amortization | 767,81 | 813,88 | 878,99 | 931,72 | 886,17 |
| Amortization/Sales | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| 3. Net Debt and Financial Expenses | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| ST Debt | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| -Cash | 4121 | 4866 | 5356 | 5939 | 7593 |
| Net ST Debt | -4044 | -4790 | -5279 | -5862 | -7517 |
| LT Debt derived from loans | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Other financial liabilities from financial instruments | 684,4 | 803,3 | 942,8 | 1106,6 | 1298,8 |
| Total net debt | -3356 | -3982 | -4332 | -4752 | -6214 |
| Total debt previous period | 664 | 765 | 884 | 1023 | 1187 |
| Financial expenses from debt instruments | 14 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| Cost of debt=kf | 2,09\% | 2,07\% | 2,13\% | 1,84\% | 1,71\% |


| 4.Tax rate | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| 5. Minorities | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Minorities B.S | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 35,9 |
| \% of net result paid to minorities | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% | 0,26\% |
| Minorities payment | 6,16293621 | 6,439246071 | 6,564782289 | 7,605896104 | 7,593255092 |
| 6.Dividend Payout | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Net income attribuable to the parent | 2326,64 | 2430,95 | 2478,34 | 2871,38 | 2866,61 |
| Number of shares | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 | 623,33 |
| EPS (euros) | 3,73 | 3,90 | 3,98 | 4,61 | 4,60 |
| Growth rate | -1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 16\% | 0\% |
| DPS | 2,2 | 2,3 | 2,4 | 3,5 | 3,4 |
| Growth rate | -2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 45\% | 0\% |
| Payout ratio | 58\% | 58\% | 60\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Retention ratio | 42\% | 42\% | 40\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| 7.Working capital analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Receivables | 530 | 930 | 568 | 1247 | 452 |
| Avg receivables | 689 | 730 | 749 | 908 | 850 |
| Revenues | 17062 | 18086 | 19533 | 20705 | 22154 |
| Collecting period | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 14 |
| 1 day collection | 47 | 50 | 54 | 57 | 61 |
| Stock | 1558 | 1809 | 1869 | 1991 | 2205 |
| Avg stock | 1570 | 1684 | 1839 | 1930 | 2098 |
| Cost of goods sold | 7166 | 7687 | 8497 | 8696 | 9693 |
| Avg days of stock | 80 | 80 | 79 | 81 | 79 |
| 1 day of stock | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payables | 2922 | 4038 | 3370 | 4119 | 4620 |
| Avg Payables | 3082 | 3480 | 3704 | 3745 | 4370 |
| Purchases | 7143 | 7938 | 8556 | 8818 | 9907 |
| Avg days of payment | 158 | 160 | 158 | 155 | 161 |
| 1 day of payment | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 27 |
| Maturity period | -63 | -65 | -65 | -58 | -68 |
| Working capital | -834 | -1298 | -933 | -881 | -1963 |
| 8.Other Fixed assets | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Financial investments | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Other | 743 | 909 | 1111 | 1358 | 1659 |
| Growth rate | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% |


| 9. Other Fixed liabilities | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other debt instruments | 684,39111 | 803,2776392 | 942,8161123 | 1106,594007 | 1298,821987 |
| Growth rate | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Net position on tax liabilities | -67,5264 | -50,6448 | -33,7632 | -16,8816 | 0 |

### 2.2.4 SCENARIO FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

## SCENARIO FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

| Analysis: Structure ratios | 2013E 2014E | 2015E |  | 2016E |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Working capital | $-13 \%$ | $-20 \%$ | $-13 \%$ | $-12 \%$ | $-29 \%$ |
| Fixed assets | $113 \%$ | $120 \%$ | $113 \%$ | $112 \%$ | $129 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Net s.t debt | $-65 \%$ | $-73 \%$ | $-73 \%$ | $-77 \%$ | $-109 \%$ |
| L.T Debt | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Equity | $153 \%$ | $159 \%$ | $158 \%$ | $161 \%$ | $188 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Breakeven point | 2013E 2014E |  | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable expenses | -7166 | -7687 | -8497 | -8696 | -9693 |
| Revenues | 17062 | 18086 | 19533 | 20705 | 22154 |
| Gross margin | 58,00\% | 57,50\% | 56,50\% | 58,00\% | 56,25\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed expenses | 6070 | 6430,352964 | 6939,162441 | 7349,370634 | 7856,557282 |
| BEP over EBITDA | 10466 | 11183 | 12282 | 12671 | 13967 |
| Position over sales | 163\% | 162\% | 159\% | 163\% | 159\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPEX+ Depre+Fin exp | 6826,703759 | 7234,077156 | 7808,996649 | 8269,943954 | 8729,079636 |
| Breakeven over profit | 11770,17889 | 12581,00375 | 13821,23301 | 14258,52406 | 15518,3638 |
| Position in $t$ of sales | 145\% | 144\% | 141\% | 145\% | 143\% |


| Risk \& return | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross margin | 58\% | 58\% | 57\% | 58\% | 56\% |
| EВTDA/Revenues | 22\% | 22\% | 21\% | 23\% | 21\% |
| EBT/Revenues | 18\% | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| Net profit/Revenues | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% |


| Leverage factor | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROE | 27,50\% | 25,75\% | 23,68\% | 25,06\% | 23,53\% |
| ROIC | 43,34\% | 39,27\% | 37,52\% | 39,49\% | 37,72\% |
| EВT | 3058 | 3155 | 3218 | 3728 | 3719 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| NOPLAT | 2.324 | 2.430 | 2.478 | 2.870 | 2.864 |
| Net assets | 5363 | 6186 | 6604 | 7269 | 7592 |
| Leverage factor | -15,84\% | -13,52\% | -13,84\% | -14,43\% | -14,19\% |


| Financial leverage | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROIC | 43,34\% | 39,27\% | 37,52\% | 39,49\% | 37,72\% |
| ROIC-Kfin result*(1-T) | 43,07\% | 39,04\% | 37,34\% | 39,29\% | 37,49\% |
| kfin result | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| kfin result(1-t) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Interest | -11 | -10 | -9 | -11 | -14 |
| Total net debt-1 | -3119 | -3279 | -3888 | -4222 | -4624 |
| Net position on S.T.D | -3767 | -4044 | -4790 | -5279 | -5862 |
| Fixed liabilities | 647 | 766 | 901 | 1058 | 1238 |
| D/E | -37\% | -35\% | -37\% | -37\% | -38\% |
| ROE | 27,50\% | 25,75\% | 23,68\% | 25,06\% | 23,53\% |
| Error | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% |


| Dupont analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROE | 28\% | 26\% | 24\% | 25\% | 24\% |
| Net profit/EBT | 76\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| EBT/EBIT | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| EBT/ Sales | 18\% | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| Sales/ Assets | 318\% | 292\% | 296\% | 285\% | 292\% |
| Assets/Equity | 63\% | 65\% | 63\% | 63\% | 62\% |
| Error | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% | 0,00\% |


| CF Statement EUR m |  | 2013E 2014E |  | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. NOPLAT |  | 2324 | 2430 | 2478 | 2870 | 2864 |
| Tax rate |  | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| 2. Adjustments |  | 768 | 814 | 879 | 932 | 886 |
| Depreciation |  | 768 | 814 | 879 | 932 | 886 |
| . FOREX |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Provisions |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . others |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1+2 = Gross cash flow |  | 3092 | 3243 | 3357 | 3802 | 3750 |
| Stock |  | 23 | -251 | -60 | -122 | -214 |
| Account receivables |  | 318 | -401 | 362 | -679 | 795 |
| Account payables |  | -322 | 1116 | -667 | 749 | 501 |
| 3. Change in working capital |  | 19 | 464 | -365 | -52 | 1082 |
| CFO $=$ GCF +3 |  | 3112 | 3708 | 2992 | 3750 | 4832 |
| 1.Tangible assets |  | 5410 | 6082 | 6133 | 6107 | 6114 |
| 2.Intangible assets |  | 863 | 907 | 955 | 1004 | 1056 |
| 3.Others |  | 743 | 909 | 1111 | 1358 | 1659 |
| Total FA $=1+2+3$ |  | 7016 | 7898 | 8198 | 8469 | 8830 |
| CAPEX $=$ Variation FA + Depreciation |  | -1476 | -1531 | -977 | -956 | -945 |
| Variation in other FA |  | -135 | -165 | -202 | -247 | -302 |
| CFI |  | -1611 | -1696 | -1179 | -1203 | -1247 |
| 1. Financial result |  | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| 2.1 S.T Debt |  | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| 2.2. L.T Debt |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| .1+.2 Total debt |  | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| 2. Change in debt = Variation TD |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Dividends |  | -1349 | -1410 | -1487 | -2154 | -2150 |
| 4. Minorities change |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.1 Net position on tax liabilities |  | -68 | -51 | -34 | -17 | 0 |
| 5.2 Financial assets |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 Other financing liabilities |  | 684 | 803 | 943 | 1107 | 1299 |
| .1-.2+.3 Total |  | 613 | 749 | 905 | 1086 | 1295 |
| 5.Changes in Other financial liabilities |  | 118 | 136 | 156 | 181 | 209 |
| 6.Other movements in equity |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CFF |  | -1223 | -1266 | -1324 | -1964 | -1930 |
| Cash variation BS | 278 |  | 745 | 490 | 583 | 1655 |
| Cash variation CFS | 278 |  | 745 | 490 | 583 | 1655 |
| Error |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Free cash flow firm | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. NOPLAT | 2.324 | 2.430 | 2.478 | 2.870 | 2.864 |
| Tax rate | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| 2. Adjustments | 768 | 814 | 879 | 932 | 886 |
| - Depreciation | 768 | 814 | 879 | 932 | 886 |
| 1+2 = Gross cash flow | 3092,13 | 3243,45 | 3356,85 | 3802,13 | 3749,87 |
| Investments |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Account receivables | 23 | -251 | -60 | -122 | -214 |
| Account payables | 318 | -401 | 362 | -679 | 795 |
| 3. Change in working capital | -322 | 1116 | -667 | 749 | 501 |
| Change in Working capital | 19 | 464 | -365 | -52 | 1082 |
| 1. Working capiral investment | -19 | -464 | 365 | 52 | -1.082 |
| 2.Intangible assets | 5410 | 6082 | 6133 | 6107 | 6114 |
| 3.Others | 863 | 907 | 955 | 1004 | 1056 |
| Total FA= $1+2+3$ | 743 | 909 | 1111 | 1358 | 1659 |
| CAPEX = Variation FA + Depreciation | 7016 | 7898 | 8198 | 8469 | 8830 |
| 2. CAPEX | 1476 | 1531 | 977 | 956 | 945 |
| 3. Investment in other assets | 135 | 165 | 202 | 247 | 302 |
| 1+2+3=Gross investment | 1591 | 1232 | 1544 | 1255 | 165 |
| FCFF= GCF-Gl | 1500,71 | 2011,65 | 1813,22 | 2547,28 | 3584,87 |
| FCFF=GCF-GI |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROIC | 43,34\% | 39,27\% | 37,52\% | 39,49\% | 37,72\% |


| Services | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Change in s.t debt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.Change in fixed liabilites | -118 | -136 | -156 | -181 | -209 |
| 3.Interest expenses*(1-t) | -8 | -8 | -7 | -9 | -11 |
| 1+2+3=Debt service | -127 | -144 | -163 | -189 | -220 |
| 1.Increase in cash | 278 | 745 | 490 | 583 | 1655 |
| 2.Dividends paid | 1349 | 1410 | 1487 | 2154 | 2150 |
| 3.Others | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1+2+3=Equity service | 1627 | 2155 | 1977 | 2737 | 3804 |
| 1+2= Total service | 1501 | 2012 | 1813 | 2547 | 3585 |
| Error | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Net reinvestment rate analysis | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross investment | 1591 | 1232 | 1544 | 1255 | 165 |
| Depreciation | 768 | 814 | 879 | 932 | 886 |
| Net invesment | 824 | 418 | 665 | 323 | -721 |
| NOPLAT | 2.3245 | 2.430 | 2.478 | 2.870 | 2.864 |
| NRR | 35\% | 17\% | 27\% | 11\% | -25\% |
| NOPLAT*(1- reinvestment rate) =FCF | 1500,71 | 2011,65 | 1813,22 | 2547,28 | 3584,87 |
| Check | True | True | True | True | TRUE |

## APPENDIX 3: DISCOUNT RATE CALCULATION.



Rwacc $=\left(\left(c e_{\text {without contry risk }}+\right.\right.$ country risk $\left.) * \frac{E}{\left(D_{f}+E\right)}\right)+\left(k_{f} *(1-t) * \frac{E}{\left(D_{f}+E\right)}\right)$

## APPENDIX 4: VALUATION DCF \& EXPECTED VALUE OF THE SHARE

| Value in each Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation optimistic scenario |  | Valuation neutral scenario |  | Valuation pesimistic scenario |  |
| 1.PV FCFF | 13450,27 | 1.PV FCFF | 11504,72 | 1.PV FCFF | 8994,76 |
| ko=Rwacc | 7,52\% | ko=Rwacc | 7,52\% | ko=Rwacc | 7,52\% |
| 2.Terminal Value | 108693,49 | 2.Terminal Value | 81949,19652 | 2.Terminal Value | 65530,64 |
| FCFF t17 * $1+\mathrm{g}$ ) | 4652,24 | 2.1FCFF t17 * 1 +g) | 4038,90 | 2.1FCFF t17 * $1+\mathrm{g}$ ) | 3654,61 |
| (ko-g) | 4,28\% | 2.2(ko-g) | 4,93\% | 2.2(ko-g) | 5,58\% |
| 2.1Growth rate to perpetuity=2 | 3,24\% | 2.3Growth rate to perpetuit | 2,59\% | 2.3Growth rate to perpe | 1,95\% |
| 2.1.1=RR | 12,97\% | 2.3.1=RR | 12,97\% | 2.3.1=RR | 12,97\% |
| 2.1.2=ROIC to perpetuity | 25\% | 2.3.2=ROIC to perpetuity | 20\% | 2.3.2=ROIC to perpetuit | 15\% |
| 1+2 =Value of the company | 83792,47 | 1+2 =Value of the compa | 64539,05 | 1+2 =Value of the com | 51403,64 |
| 1+2+3=Debt | 664 | 1+2+3=Debt | 663,76 | 1+2+3=Debt | 663,76 |
| 1.S.T.debt | 76 | 1.S.T.debt | 76,355 | 1.S.T.debt | 76,355 |
| 2.L.t.debt | 4 | 2.L.t.debt | 4,306 | 2.L.t.debt | 4,306 |
| 3.Others | 583,1 | 3.Others | 583,1 | 3.Others | 583,1 |
| Value of the company - Debt | 83128,71 | Value of the company - - | 63875,29 | Value of the company | 50739,88 |
| № of shares | 623,3304 | № of shares | 623,3304 | № of shares | 623,3304 |
| Share Price | 133,3621931 | Share price | 102,474209 | Share price | 81,40126 |
| Terminal value/ Value company | 84\% |  | 82\% |  | 83\% |

Expected value of the company, variance, standard deviation \& confidence interval

| Assumed distribution | Degree of confidence | Expected value share pr | Variance | Standard deviation | Upper point | Lower point |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Simplified B distribution | $68,20 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ | 75,00 | 8,66 | 112,77 | 95,45 |
| Simplified B distribution | $95,40 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ | 75,00 | 8,66 | 121,43 | 86,79 |
| Simplified B distribution | $99,60 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ | 75,00 | 8,66 | 130,09 | 78,13 |
| Triangular distribution | $68,20 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 7 5}$ | 113,84 | 10,67 | 116,42 |  |
| Triangular distribution | $95,40 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 7 5}$ | 113,84 | 10,67 | 127,08 | 84,08 |
| Triangular distribution | $99,60 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 7 5}$ | 113,84 | 10,67 | 137,75 | 73,74 |
| Uniform distribution | $68,20 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 3 8}$ | 224,99 | 15,00 | 122,38 | 92,38 |
| Uniform distribution | $95,40 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 3 8}$ | 224,99 | 15,00 | 137,38 | 77,38 |
| Uniform distribution | $99,60 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 3 8}$ | 224,99 | 15,00 | 152,38 |  |

## APPENDIX 5: SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

## Sensitivity analysis

| Growth rate optimistic scenario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133,3621931 | 1,74 | 2,24 | 2,74\% | 3,24\% | 3,74\% | 4,24\% | 4,74\% |
| 6,02\% | 13,22 | 13,96 | 180,98 | 210,71 | 253,14 | 319,63 | 438,07 |
| 6,52\% | 13,08 | 13,81 | 155,69 | 177,05 | 205,85 | 247,44 | 312,38 |
| 7\% | 12,95 | 13,66 | 136,35 | 152,33 | 173,03 | 201,26 | 241,89 |
| 7,52\% | 12,81 | 13,51 | 121,02 | 133,36 | 148,84 | 169,10 | 196,64 |
| 8,02\% | 12,68 | 13,37 | 108,74 | 118,52 | 130,49 | 145,68 | 165,50 |
| 8,52\% | 12,55 | 13,22 | 98,55 | 106,46 | 115,94 | 127,68 | 142,52 |
| 9,02\% | 12,42 | 13,08 | 90,01 | 96,51 | 104,17 | 113,47 | 124,94 |
| Sensitivity analysis neutral scenario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102,474209 | 1,09\% | 1,59\% | 2,09\% | 2,59\% | 3,09\% | 3,59\% | 4,09\% |
| 6,02\% | 109,51 | 120,32 | 133,88 | 151,41 | 174,92 | 208,12 | 258,56 |
| 6,52\% | 98,50 | 107,12 | 117,68 | 130,92 | 148,04 | 171,01 | 203,44 |
| 7\% | 89,38 | 96,37 | 104,79 | 115,10 | 128,05 | 144,77 | 167,20 |
| 7,52\% | 81,67 | 87,43 | 94,26 | 102,47 | 112,54 | 125,17 | 141,49 |
| 8,02\% | 75,15 | 79,97 | 85,61 | 92,29 | 100,32 | 110,16 | 122,52 |
| 8,52\% | 69,50 | 73,57 | 78,29 | 83,80 | 90,32 | 98,17 | 107,79 |
| 9,02\% | 64,57 | 68,06 | 72,04 | 76,65 | 82,03 | 88,41 | 96,08 |


| Sensitivity analysis pessimistic scenario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81,40 | 0,45\% | 0,95\% | 1,45\% | 1,95\% | 2,45\% | 2,95\% | 3,45\% |
| 6,02\% | 87,01 | 94,60 | 103,85 | 115,36 | 130,10 | 149,63 | 176,75 |
| 6,52\% | 78,91 | 85,10 | 92,52 | 101,55 | 112,80 | 127,19 | 146,27 |
| 7\% | 72,07 | 77,20 | 83,25 | 90,49 | 99,31 | 110,30 | 124,36 |
| 7,52\% | 66,19 | 70,49 | 75,50 | 81,40 | 88,47 | 97,08 | 107,80 |
| 8,02\% | 61,16 | 64,80 | 69,01 | 73,90 | 79,68 | 86,59 | 95,01 |
| 8,52\% | 56,74 | 59,86 | 63,43 | 67,54 | 72,32 | 77,96 | 84,72 |
| 9,02\% | 52,86 | 55,55 | 58,61 | 62,09 | 66,10 | 70,78 | 76,29 |

## APPENDIX 6: RELATIVE VALUATION.



Price to sales

|  | 2013 (22/05) | 2013E (22/05) | 2012 2nd January | 2011 3rd january | 2010 1st january | 2009 1st january | 2008 2nd january |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price per share | 101,8 | 104,11 | 63,21 | 53,83 | 41,14 | 29,93 | 37,48 |
| № of shares in millions | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,3304 | 623,227952 | 623,109136 | 623,109136 | 621,711085 |
| Correction factor | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Total number of shares | 623.330 .400 | 623.330 .400 | 623.330 .400 | 623.227 .952 | 623.109.136 | 623.109.136 | 621.711 .085 |
| Sales in millions | 15946 | 15946 | 15946 | 13793 | 12527 | 11084 | 10407 |
| Correction factor | 1.000.000 | 1.000.000 | 1.000 .000 | 1.000 .000 | 1.000.000 | 1.000 .000 | 1.000 .000 |
| Total sales in euros | 15.946.143.000 | 15.946.143.000 | 15.946.143.000 | 13.792.612.000 | 12.526.595.000 | 11.083.514.000 | 10.406.960.000 |
| PTS | 3,98 | 4,07 | 2,47 | 2,43 | 2,05 | 1,68 | 2,24 |

Price to earnings ratio

|  | $2013(22 / 05)$ | 2013E (22/05) | 2012 2nd January | 2011 3rd january | 2010 1st january | 2009 1st january 2008 2nd january |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Price per share | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 4 8}$ |
| EPS | $\mathbf{3 , 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 2}$ |
| PER | $\mathbf{2 6 , 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 9}$ |

Price to book value

|  | $2013(22 / 05)$ | 2013E (22/05) | 2012 2nd January | 2011 3rd january | 2010 1st january | 2009 st january 2008 2nd january |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price per share | 101,80 | 104,11 | 63,21 | 53,83 | 41,14 | 29,93 | 37,48 |
| Total number of shares | 623.330 .400 | 623.330 .400 | 623.330 .400 | 623.227 .952 | 623.109 .136 | 623.109 .136 | 621.711 .085 |
| Equity in millions | 8482 | 8482 | 848 | 7456 | 6423 | 5371 | 479 |
| Equity in euros | 8.481 .861 .000 | 8.481 .861 .000 | 8.481 .861 .000 | 7.454 .577 .000 | 6.423 .167 .000 | 5.370 .546 .000 | 4.748 .600 .000 |
| PBV | 7,481263218 | 7,651028232 | 4,645291238 | 4,499767175 | 3,990976703 | 3,472581082 | 4,907073972 |
| Share book value | 13,61 | 13,61 | 13,61 | 11,96 | 10,31 | 8,62 | 7,64 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Econometric studies have demonstrated that the structural variables are not the major determinants of company's returns.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ BRIC: Acronym that refers to the Brazil, Russia, India and China, which are all deemed to be at a similar stage of newly advanced economic development

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Incumbency advantage: Referred as the advantage of being the first.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ ITX fashion is the company that sells Inditex products through online platforms

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ All the magnitudes are represented in million euros.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ A better reference would be EBIT*(1-T) which is called NOPLAT, In case we would like to measure the capacity of formats to generate cash flow we should add the amortization to get the gross operating cash flow.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ Like for like (LFL) growth is a measure of growth in sales, adjusted for new or divested businesses. This is a widely-used indicator of retailers current trading performance. The adjustment is important in businesses that show a significant dynamic of expansion, disposals or closures.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ EBITDA: Earnings before interest,taxes, depreciation/amortization.
    ${ }^{9}$ ROE: also called return on equity, can be defined as the net result of the company divided by the amount of equity in the previous period.
    10
    ${ }^{11}$ Return on invested capital, also known as ROCE. It is calculated as: ROIC $=\frac{E B I T *(1-T)}{\text { Net assets }}$
    ${ }^{12}$ According to infinancials the average ROIC for its peers is $51,02 \%$ while the ROE is $36,35 \%$.
    ${ }^{13}$ The decomposition of ROE is based on Mogdiliani miller proposition II.

[^8]:    ${ }^{14}$ Net debt: Total debt - cash
    ${ }^{15}$ This is because the large amounts of cash kept in the company which are invested and generate positive interest in favor of the company.
    ${ }^{16}$ In our case, equity is higher than net assets. This means that the company has negative debt. Do not forget that the amount of assets has to be equal to the sum of equity and debt.
    ${ }^{17}$ Infinancials use to define the sector the following companies: Fast retailing co, Shinamura, Truwoths, Next PLC, Abercrombie \& Fitch, Foot locker, L Brands, Ross stores, Gap, H\&M, Burberry,Tjx companies, Urban outfitters,Lululemon Athletica \& Whoolworths holding.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ Note that increases in the maturity period reduce the overall efficiency, a higher investment is need to maintain company's operations. In the case of collections, if the collection period increases this means that the company is keeping a higher proportion of receivables (compared to sales) than in the previous year, consequently the company needs more time to collect their invoices and has less cash at its disposal.

[^10]:    ${ }^{19}$ CFO: EBIT*(1-T)+Adjustments (non cash operating expenses)- Investment in WK and operating assets.
    ${ }^{20}$ NOPLAT: Net operating profit less adjusted taxes=EBIT*(1-T)
    ${ }^{21}$ CAPEX: The amount invested by the company in property, plant and equipment. I have define it as
    follows: Capex $=\left(\right.$ Tangible $_{t+1}+$ Intangibe $\left._{t+1}\right)-\left(\right.$ Tangible $_{t}+$ Intangibe $\left._{t}\right)+$ depreciation $^{2}$
    ${ }^{22}$ Minorities: 1. A significant but non-controlling ownership of less than $50 \%$ of a company's voting shares by either an investor or another company. Source: Investopedia.

[^11]:    ${ }^{23}$ Loses because of currency movements.
    ${ }^{24}$ Note that I have divided the financial expenses from debt instruments by the total debt of the previous period since I assume it is the debt which has generated those costs.
    ${ }^{25}$ Note that EPS and DPS are measured in the local currency, in the case of H\&M in Swedish crowns.
    ${ }^{27}$ FCF table is displayed in appendix.
    ${ }^{28}$ FCFF: Free cash flow to the firm.
    ${ }^{29}$ Property, plant and equipment as well as intangible assets which create value to the firm.
    ${ }^{30}$ FCFE: Free cash flow to equity holders.

[^12]:    ${ }^{31}$ More details in WK analysis section.
    ${ }^{32}$ Net reinvestment rate give us an idea about how much the company is reinvesting in new assets.

    $$
    \text { Net reinvestment rate }=\frac{\text { Gross investment }- \text { depreciation }}{\text { Noplat }}
    $$

[^13]:    ${ }^{33}$ Magnitudes in the upper part of the P\&L.
    ${ }^{34}$ Magnitudes in the lower part of the P\&L.
    ${ }^{35}$ Like for like growth (LFL)

[^14]:    ${ }^{36}$ The detailed calculation is in the appendix section.

[^15]:    ${ }^{37}$ The company is able to maintain a high growth in sales but declining along time and margins remain more or less on the 2012 figure.

[^16]:    ${ }^{38}$ We reduce the cost of debt because the tax shield that generates.
    ${ }^{39}$ Financial debt includes liabilities (current \& non current) which accrue interest against the company.
    ${ }^{40}$ If you want to see the historical balance sheet composition and its evolution in the past five years, you can find all the information available in the section "Structure ratios".
    ${ }^{41}$ CAPM: A model that describes the relationship between risk and expected return and that is used in the pricing of risky securities.

    $$
    C e=r f+(E m-r f) * \beta
    $$

[^17]:    ${ }^{42}$ The risk free rate of the German bund and the CDS between Spain and Germany has been taken from Bloomberg webpage at may 21 of 2013.
    ${ }^{43}$ I asume that the debt which has generated the costs is the one from the previous period. An average figure could have been also taken.
    ${ }^{44}$ Foreign exchange losses are taken out because it is not remuneration to debt holders and could distort the result, making it unusually high.
    ${ }^{45}$ The after tax cost of debt is equal to $K f^{*}(1-t)=1,61 \%$. The tax rate used is equal to $24 \%$.

[^18]:    ${ }^{46}$ The company is assumed to last forever.

[^19]:    ${ }^{47}$ Note that all the historic multiples has been taken from infinancials except for Price to sales multiples and all the Inditex's multiples. Estimations for PER and PBV for the sector and for H\&M has been also taken from this webpage.

