

## CHARACTERIZATION OF A BANACH-FINSLER MANIFOLD IN TERMS OF THE ALGEBRAS OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

J. A. JARAMILLO, M. JIMÉNEZ-SEVILLA, AND L. SÁNCHEZ-GONZÁLEZ

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. In this note we give sufficient conditions to ensure that the weak Finsler structure of a complete  $C^k$  Finsler manifold  $M$  is determined by the normed algebra  $C_b^k(M)$  of all real-valued, bounded and  $C^k$  smooth functions with bounded derivative defined on  $M$ . As a consequence, we obtain: (i) the Finsler structure of a finite-dimensional and complete  $C^k$  Finsler manifold  $M$  is determined by the algebra  $C_b^k(M)$ ; (ii) the weak Finsler structure of a separable and complete  $C^k$  Finsler manifold  $M$  modeled on a Banach space with a Lipschitz and  $C^k$  smooth bump function is determined by the algebra  $C_b^k(M)$ ; (iii) the weak Finsler structure of a  $C^1$  uniformly bumpable and complete  $C^1$  Finsler manifold  $M$  modeled on a Weakly Compactly Generated (WCG) Banach space is determined by the algebra  $C_b^1(M)$ ; and (iv) the isometric structure of a WCG Banach space  $X$  with a  $C^1$  smooth bump function is determined by the algebra  $C_b^1(X)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this note, we are interested in characterizing the Finsler structure of a Finsler manifold  $M$  in terms of the space of real-valued, bounded and  $C^k$  smooth functions with bounded derivative defined on  $M$ . The problem of the interrelation of the topological, metric and smooth structure of a space  $X$  and the algebraic and topological structure of the space  $C(X)$  (the set of real-valued continuous functions defined on  $X$ ) has been largely studied. These results are usually referred to as *Banach-Stone type theorems*. Recall the celebrated Banach-Stone theorem, asserting that the compact spaces  $K$  and  $L$  are homeomorphic if and only if the Banach spaces  $C(K)$  and  $C(L)$  endowed with the sup-norm are isometric. For more information on Banach-Stone type theorems see the survey [10] and references therein.

The Myers-Nakai theorem states that the structure of a complete Riemannian manifold  $M$  is characterized in terms of the Banach algebra  $C_b^1(M)$  of all real-valued, bounded and  $C^1$  smooth functions with bounded derivative defined on  $M$  endowed with the sup-norm of the function and its derivative. More specifically, two complete Riemannian manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  are equivalent as Riemannian manifolds; i.e. there is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow N$  such that

$$\langle dh(x)(v), dh(x)(w) \rangle_{h(x)} = \langle v, w \rangle_x$$

---

Received by the editors September 1, 2011 and, in revised form, April 23, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58B10, 58B20, 46T05, 46T20, 46E25, 46B20, 54C35.

The third author was supported by grant MEC AP2007-00868.

This work was supported in part by DGES (Spain) Project MTM2009-07848.

©2013 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

for every  $x \in M$  and  $v, w \in T_x M$  if and only if the Banach algebras  $C_b^1(M)$  and  $C_b^1(N)$  are isometric. This result was first proved by S. B. Myers [22] for a compact and Riemannian manifold and by M. Nakai [23] for a finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Very recently, I. Garrido, J. A. Jaramillo and Y. C. Rangel [12] gave an extension of the Myers-Nakai theorem for every infinite-dimensional, complete Riemannian manifold. A similar result for the so-called finite-dimensional Riemannian-Finsler manifolds is given in [14] (see also [26]).

Our aim in this work is to extend the Myers-Nakai theorem to the context of Finsler manifolds. On the one hand, we obtain the Myers-Nakai theorem for (i) finite-dimensional and complete Finsler manifolds, and (ii) WCG Banach spaces with a  $C^1$  smooth bump function. On the other hand, we study for  $k \geq 1$  the algebra  $C_b^k(M)$  of all real-valued, bounded and  $C^k$  smooth functions with bounded first derivative defined on a complete Finsler manifold  $M$ . We prove that these algebras determine the weak Finsler structure of a complete Finsler manifold when  $k = 1$  and the Finsler structure when  $k \geq 2$ . In particular, we obtain a weaker version of the Myers-Nakai theorem for (i) separable and complete Finsler manifolds modeled on a Banach space with a Lipschitz and  $C^k$  smooth bump function, and (ii)  $C^1$  uniformly bumpable and complete Finsler manifolds modeled on WCG Banach spaces. In the proof of these results we will use the ideas of the Riemannian case [12].

The notation we use is standard. The norm in a Banach space  $X$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ . The dual space of  $X$  is denoted by  $X^*$  and its dual norm by  $\|\cdot\|^*$ . The open ball with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$  is denoted by  $B(x, r)$ . A  $C^k$  smooth bump function  $b : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^k$  smooth function on  $X$  with bounded, non-empty support, where  $\text{supp}(b) = \overline{\{x \in X : b(x) \neq 0\}}$ . If  $M$  is a Banach manifold, we denote by  $T_x M$  the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x$ . Recall that the tangent bundle of  $M$  is  $TM = \{(x, v) : x \in M \text{ and } v \in T_x M\}$ . We refer to [6], [8], [19] and [7] for additional definitions. We will say that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  defined on a Banach space  $X$  are  $K$ -equivalent ( $K \geq 1$ ) when  $\frac{1}{K}\|v\|_1 \leq \|v\|_2 \leq K\|v\|_1$ , for every  $v \in X$ .

Let us begin by recalling the definition of a  $C^k$  Finsler manifold in the sense of Palais as well as some basic properties (for more information about these manifolds see [25], [7], [27], [24], [13] and [18]).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $M$  be a (paracompact)  $C^k$  Banach manifold modeled on a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Let us consider the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$  and a continuous map  $\|\cdot\|_M : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . We say that  $(M, \|\cdot\|_M)$  is a  $C^k$  **Finsler manifold in the sense of Palais** if  $\|\cdot\|_M$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (P1) For every  $x \in M$ , the map  $\|\cdot\|_x := \|\cdot\|_M|_{T_x M} : T_x M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a norm on the tangent space  $T_x M$  such that for every chart  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  with  $x \in U$ , the norm  $v \in X \mapsto \|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))(v)\|_x$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $X$ .
- (P2) For every  $x_0 \in M$ , every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every chart  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  with  $x_0 \in U$ , there is an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x_0$  such that if  $x \in W$  and  $v \in X$ , then
 
$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x_0))(v)\|_{x_0} \leq \|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))(v)\|_x \leq (1+\varepsilon) \|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x_0))(v)\|_{x_0}.$$

In terms of equivalence of norms, the above inequalities yield the fact that the norms  $\|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))(\cdot)\|_x$  and  $\|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x_0))(\cdot)\|_{x_0}$  are  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -equivalent.

Let us recall that Banach spaces and Riemannian manifolds are  $C^\infty$  Finsler manifolds in the sense of Palais [25].

Let  $M$  be a Finsler manifold; we denote by  $T_x M^*$  the dual space of the tangent space  $T_x M$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function at  $p \in M$ . The norm of  $df(p) \in T_p M^*$  is given by

$$\|df(p)\|_p = \sup\{|df(p)(v)| : v \in T_p M, \|v\|_p \leq 1\}.$$

Let us consider a differentiable function  $f : M \rightarrow N$  between Finsler manifolds  $M$  and  $N$ . The norm of the derivative at the point  $p \in M$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \|df(p)\|_p &= \sup\{\|df(p)(v)\|_{f(p)} : v \in T_p M, \|v\|_p \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\xi(df(p)(v))\| : \xi \in T_{f(p)} N^*, v \in T_p M \text{ and } \|v\|_p = 1 = \|\xi\|_{f(p)}^*\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{f(p)}^*$  is the dual norm of  $\|\cdot\|_{f(p)}$ . Recall that if  $(M, \|\cdot\|_M)$  is a Finsler manifold, the *length* of a piecewise  $C^1$  smooth path  $c : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  is defined as  $\ell(c) := \int_a^b \|\dot{c}(t)\|_{c(t)} dt$ . Besides, if  $M$  is connected, then it is connected by piecewise  $C^1$  smooth paths, and the associated *Finsler metric*  $d_M$  on  $M$  is defined as

$$d_M(p, q) = \inf\{\ell(c) : c \text{ is a piecewise } C^1 \text{ smooth path connecting } p \text{ and } q\}.$$

It was shown in [25] that the Finsler metric is consistent with the topology given in  $M$ . The open ball of center  $p \in M$  and radius  $r > 0$  is denoted by  $B_M(p, r) := \{q \in M : d_M(p, q) < r\}$ . The Lipschitz constant  $\text{Lip}(f)$  of a Lipschitz function  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are Finsler manifolds, is defined as  $\text{Lip}(f) = \sup\{\frac{d_N(f(x), f(y))}{d_M(x, y)} : x, y \in M, x \neq y\}$ . We shall only consider connected manifolds. Let us recall the following ‘‘mean value inequality’’ for Finsler manifolds [1, 18].

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $C^1$  Finsler manifolds (in the sense of Palais) and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  a  $C^1$  smooth function. Then,  $f$  is Lipschitz if and only if  $\|df\|_\infty := \sup\{\|df(x)\|_x : x \in M\} < \infty$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Lip}(f) = \|df\|_\infty$ .*

We will also need the following result related to the  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bi-Lipschitz local behavior of the charts of a  $C^1$  Finsler manifold in the sense of Palais [18, Lemma 2.4].

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let us consider a  $C^1$  Finsler manifold  $M$  (in the sense of Palais). Then, for every  $x_0 \in M$  and every chart  $(U, \varphi)$  with  $x_0 \in U$  satisfying inequality (1), there exists an open neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x_0$  satisfying*

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} d_M(p, q) \leq \|\varphi(p) - \varphi(q)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) d_M(p, q), \quad \text{for every } p, q \in V,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the (equivalent) norm  $\|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x_0))(\cdot)\|_{x_0}$  defined on  $X$ .

Now, let us recall the concept of a *uniformly bumpable manifold*, introduced by D. Azagra, J. Ferrera and F. L3pez-Mesas [1] for Riemannian manifolds. A natural extension to Finsler manifolds is defined in the same way [18].

**Definition 1.4.** A  $C^k$  Finsler manifold in the sense of Palais  $M$  is  $C^k$  **uniformly bumpable** whenever there are  $R > 1$  and  $r > 0$  such that for every  $p \in M$  and  $\delta \in (0, r)$  there exists a  $C^k$  smooth function  $b : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that:

$$(1) \quad b(p) = 1,$$

- (2)  $b(q) = 0$  whenever  $d_M(p, q) \geq \delta$ ,  
 (3)  $\sup_{q \in M} \|db(q)\|_q \leq R/\delta$ .

Note that this is not a restrictive definition: D. Azagra, J. Ferrera, F. López-Mesas and Y. Rangel [3] proved that every separable Riemannian manifold is  $C^\infty$  uniformly bumpable. This result was generalized in [18], where it was proved that every  $C^1$  Finsler manifold (in the sense of Palais) modeled on a certain class of Banach spaces (such as Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces with separable dual, closed subspaces of  $c_0(\Gamma)$  for every set  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ ) is  $C^1$  uniformly bumpable. In particular, every Riemannian manifold (either separable or non-separable) is  $C^1$  uniformly bumpable.

It is straightforward to verify that if a  $C^k$  Finsler manifold  $M$  is modeled on a Banach space  $X$  and  $M$  is  $C^k$  uniformly bumpable, then  $X$  admits a Lipschitz  $C^k$  smooth bump function. Besides, a *separable*  $C^k$  Finsler manifold  $M$  is modeled on a Banach space with a Lipschitz,  $C^k$  smooth bump function if and only if  $M$  is  $C^k$  uniformly bumpable [18]. Nevertheless, we do not know whether this equivalence holds in the non-separable case.

From now on, we shall refer to  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds in the sense of Palais as  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds, and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We shall use the standard notation of  $C^k(U, Y)$  for the set of all  $k$ -times continuously differentiable functions defined on an open subset  $U$  of a Banach space (Finsler manifold) taking values into a Banach space (Finsler manifold)  $Y$ . We shall write  $C^k(U)$  whenever  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, let us recall the concept of a weakly  $C^k$  smooth function.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and consider a function  $f : U \rightarrow Y$ , where  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ . The function  $f$  is said to be **weakly  $C^k$  smooth** at the point  $x_0$  whenever there is an open neighborhood  $U_{x_0}$  of  $x_0$  such that  $y^* \circ f$  is  $C^k$  smooth at  $U_{x_0}$ , for every  $y^* \in Y^*$ . The function  $f$  is said to be **weakly  $C^k$  smooth** on  $U$  whenever  $f$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth at every point  $x \in U$ .

On the one hand, J. M. Gutiérrez and J. G. Llavona [15] proved that if  $f : U \rightarrow Y$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth on  $U$ , then  $g \circ f \in C^k(U)$  for all  $g \in C^k(Y)$ . They also proved that if  $f : U \rightarrow Y$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth on  $U$ , then  $f \in C^{k-1}(U)$ . For  $k = 1$ , the above yields that every weakly  $C^1$  smooth function on  $U$  is continuous on  $U$ . Also, for  $k = \infty$ , every weakly  $C^\infty$  smooth function on  $U$  is  $C^\infty$  smooth on  $U$ . M. Bachir and G. Lancien [4] proved that if the Banach space  $Y$  has the Schur property, then the concept of weakly  $C^k$  smoothness coincides with the concept of  $C^k$  smoothness. On the other hand, there are examples of weakly  $C^1$  smooth functions that are not  $C^1$  smooth (see [15] and [4]).

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds and  $U \subset M$ ,  $O \subset N$  open subsets of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively. A function  $f : U \rightarrow N$  is said to be **weakly  $C^k$  smooth** at the point  $x_0$  of  $U$  if there exist charts  $(W, \varphi)$  of  $M$  at  $x_0$  and  $(V, \psi)$  of  $N$  at  $f(x_0)$  such that  $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth at  $\varphi(W)$ . We say that  $f : U \rightarrow N$  is **weakly  $C^k$  smooth** in  $U$  if  $f$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth at every point  $x \in U$ . We say that a bijection  $f : U \rightarrow O$  is a weakly  $C^k$  diffeomorphism if  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are weakly  $C^k$  smooth on  $U$  and  $O$ , respectively. Notice that these definitions do not depend on the chosen charts.

Let us note that there are homeomorphisms which are weakly  $C^1$  smooth but not differentiable. Indeed, we follow [15, Example 3.9] and define  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow c_0(\mathbb{N})$  and  $h : c_0(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow c_0(\mathbb{N})$  by  $g(t) = (0, \frac{1}{2} \sin(2t), \dots, \frac{1}{n} \sin(nt), \dots)$  and  $h(x) = x + g(x_1)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in c_0$ . The function  $h$  is a homeomorphism,  $h^{-1}(y) = y - g(y_1)$  for every  $y \in c_0$ , and  $h$  is weakly  $C^1$  smooth on  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . Notice that if  $h$  were differentiable at a point  $x \in c_0$  with  $x_1 = 0$ , then

$$h'(x)(1, 0, 0, \dots) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)E \in \ell_\infty \setminus c_0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Now, let us consider different definitions of isometries between  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds.

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $(M, \|\cdot\|_M)$  and  $(N, \|\cdot\|_N)$  be  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds and a bijection  $h : M \rightarrow N$ .

(MI) We say that  $h$  is a **metric isometry** for the Finsler metrics if

$$d_N(h(x), h(y)) = d_M(x, y), \quad \text{for every } x, y \in M.$$

(FI) We say that  $h$  is a  $C^k$  **Finsler isometry** if it is a  $C^k$  diffeomorphism satisfying

$$\|dh(x)(v)\|_{h(x)} = \|(h(x), dh(x)(v))\|_N = \|(x, v)\|_M = \|v\|_x,$$

for every  $x \in M$  and  $v \in T_x M$ . We say that the Finsler manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  are  $C^k$  **equivalent as Finsler manifolds** if there is a  $C^k$  Finsler isometry between  $M$  and  $N$ .

( $\omega$ -FI) We say that  $h$  is a **weak  $C^k$  Finsler isometry** if it is a weakly  $C^k$  diffeomorphism and a metric isometry for the Finsler metrics. We say that the Finsler manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  are **weakly  $C^k$  equivalent as Finsler manifolds** if there is a weak  $C^k$  Finsler isometry between  $M$  and  $N$ .

**Proposition 1.8.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds. Let us assume that there is a  $C^k$  diffeomorphism and metric isometry (for the Finsler metrics)  $h : M \rightarrow N$ . Then  $h$  is a  $C^k$  Finsler isometry.

*Proof.* Let us fix  $x \in M$  and  $y = h(x) \in N$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $r > 0$  and charts  $\varphi : B_M(x, r) \subset M \rightarrow X$  and  $\psi : B_N(y, r) \subset N \rightarrow Y$  satisfying inequalities (1) and (2). Since  $h : M \rightarrow N$  is a metric isometry,  $h$  is a bijection from  $B_M(x, r)$  onto  $B_N(y, r)$ .

Let us consider the equivalent norms on  $X$  and  $Y$  defined as  $\|\cdot\|_x := \|d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))(\cdot)\|_x$  and  $\|\cdot\|_y = \|d\psi^{-1}(\psi(y))(\cdot)\|_y$ , respectively.

Since  $h$  is a metric isometry, we obtain from Lemma 1.3, for  $p, q$  in an open neighborhood of  $\varphi(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}(p) - \psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}(q)\|\|_y &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_N(h \circ \varphi^{-1}(p), h \circ \varphi^{-1}(q)) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon)d_M(\varphi^{-1}(p), \varphi^{-1}(q)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2\|p - q\|_x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\sup\{\|\|d(\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x))(w)\|\|_y : \|w\|_x \leq 1\} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2$ . Now, for every  $v \in T_x M$  with  $v \neq 0$ , let us write  $w = d\varphi(x)(v) \in X$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|dh(x)(v)\|_y &= \|d\psi^{-1}(\psi(y))d\psi(y)dh(x)(v)\|_y = \|\|d(\psi \circ h)(x)(v)\|\|_y \\ &= \|\|d(\psi \circ h)(x)d\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))(w)\|\|_y = \|\|d(\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x))(w)\|\|_y \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2\|w\|_x = (1 + \varepsilon)^2\|v\|_x. \end{aligned}$$

Since this inequality holds for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and the same argument works for  $h^{-1}$ , we conclude that  $\|dh(x)(v)\|_y = \|v\|_x$  for all  $v \in T_xM$ . Thus,  $h$  is a  $C^k$  Finsler isometry.  $\square$

Let us now turn our attention to the *Banach algebra*  $C_b^1(M)$ , the algebra of all real-valued,  $C^1$  smooth and bounded functions with bounded derivative defined on a  $C^1$  Finsler manifold  $M$ , i.e.

$$C_b^1(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \in C^1(M), \|f\|_\infty < \infty \text{ and } \|df\|_\infty < \infty\},$$

where  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in M\}$  and  $\|df\|_\infty := \sup\{\|df(x)\|_x : x \in M\}$ . The usual norm considered on  $C_b^1(M)$  is  $\|f\|_{C_b^1} = \max\{\|f\|_\infty, \|df\|_\infty\}$  for every  $f \in C_b^1(M)$ , and  $(C_b^1(M), \|\cdot\|_{C_b^1(M)})$  is a Banach space. Let us notice that, by Lemma 1.2, we have  $\|df\|_\infty = \text{Lip}(f)$ . Recall that  $(C_b^1(M), 2\|\cdot\|_{C_b^1(M)})$  is a Banach algebra.

For  $2 \leq k \leq \infty$  and a  $C^k$  Finsler manifold  $M$ , let us consider the algebra  $C_b^k(M)$  of all real-valued,  $C^k$  smooth and bounded functions that have bounded first derivative, i.e.

$$C_b^k(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \in C^k(M), \|f\|_\infty < \infty \text{ and } \|df\|_\infty < \infty\} = C^k(M) \cap C_b^1(M)$$

with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C_b^k}$ . Thus,  $C_b^k(M)$  is a subalgebra of  $C_b^1(M)$ . Nevertheless, it is not a Banach algebra.

A function  $\varphi : C_b^k(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ ) is said to be an *algebra homomorphism* when for all  $f, g \in C_b^k(M)$  and  $\lambda, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

- (i)  $\varphi(\lambda f + \eta g) = \lambda\varphi(f) + \eta\varphi(g)$ , and
- (ii)  $\varphi(f \cdot g) = \varphi(f)\varphi(g)$ .

Let us denote by  $H(C_b^k(M))$  the set of all non-zero algebra homomorphisms; i.e.

$$H(C_b^k(M)) = \{\varphi : C_b^k(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \varphi \text{ is an algebra homomorphism and } \varphi(1) = 1\}.$$

Let us list some of the basic properties of the algebra  $C_b^k(M)$  and the algebra homomorphisms  $H(C_b^k(M))$ . They can be checked as in the Riemannian case (see [11], [12] and [17]).

- (a) If  $\varphi \in H(C_b^k(M))$ , then  $\varphi \neq 0$  if and only if  $\varphi(1) = 1$ .
- (b) If  $\varphi \in H(C_b^k(M))$ , then  $\varphi$  is positive, i.e.  $\varphi(f) \geq 0$  for every  $f \geq 0$ .
- (c) If the  $C^k$  Finsler manifold  $M$  is modeled on a Banach space that admits a Lipschitz and  $C^k$  smooth bump function, then  $C_b^k(M)$  is a *unital algebra that separates points and closed sets* of  $M$ . Let us briefly give the proof for completeness. Let us take  $x \in M$ , and  $C \subset M$  a closed subset of  $M$  with  $x \notin C$ . Let us take  $r > 0$  small enough so that  $C \cap B_M(x, r) = \emptyset$  and a chart  $\varphi : B_M(x, r) \rightarrow X$  satisfying inequality (1). Let us take  $s > 0$  small enough so that  $\varphi(x) \in B(\varphi(x), s) \subset \varphi(B(x, r/2)) \subset X$  and a Lipschitz and  $C^k$  smooth bump function  $b : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $b(\varphi(x)) = 1$  and  $b(z) = 0$  for every  $z \notin B(\varphi(x), s)$ . Let us define  $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $h(p) = b(\varphi(p))$  for every  $p \in B_M(x, r)$  and  $h(p) = 0$  otherwise. Then  $h \in C_b^k(M)$ ,  $h(x) = 1$  and  $h(c) = 0$  for every  $c \in C$ .
- (d) The space  $H(C_b^k(M))$  is closed as a topological subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{C_b^k(M)}$  with the product topology. Moreover, since every function in  $C_b^k(M)$  is bounded, it can be checked that  $H(C_b^k(M))$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}^{C_b^k(M)}$ .

- (e) If  $C_b^k(M)$  separates points and closed subsets, then  $M$  can be embedded as a topological subspace of  $H(C_b^k(M))$  by identifying every  $x \in M$  with the *point evaluation homomorphism*  $\delta_x$  given by  $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$  for every  $f \in C_b^k(M)$ . Also, it can be checked that the subset  $\delta(M) = \{\delta_x : x \in M\}$  is dense in  $H(C_b^k(M))$ . Therefore, it follows that  $H(C_b^k(M))$  is a compactification of  $M$ .
- (f) Every  $f \in C_b^k(M)$  admits a continuous extension  $\widehat{f}$  to  $H(C_b^k(M))$ , where  $\widehat{f}(\varphi) = \varphi(f)$  for every  $\varphi \in H(C_b^k(M))$ . Notice that this extension  $\widehat{f}$  coincides in  $H(C_b^k(M))$  with the projection  $\pi_f : \mathbb{R}^{C_b^k(M)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given by  $\pi_f(\varphi) = \varphi(f)$ , i.e.  $\pi_f|_{H(C_b^k(M))} = \widehat{f}$ . In the following, we shall identify  $M$  with  $\delta(M)$  in  $H(C_b^k(M))$ .

The next proposition can be proved in a similar way to the Riemannian case [12].

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let  $M$  be a complete  $C^k$  Finsler manifold that is  $C^k$  uniformly bumpable. Then,  $\varphi \in H(C_b^k(M))$  has a countable neighborhood basis in  $H(C_b^k(M))$  if and only if  $\varphi \in M$ .*

## 2. A MYERS-NAKAI THEOREM

Our main result is the following Banach-Stone type theorem for a certain class of Finsler manifolds. It states that the algebra structure of  $C_b^k(M)$  determines the  $C^k$  Finsler manifold. Recall that two normed algebras  $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$  and  $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$  are *equivalent as normed algebras* whenever there exists an algebra isomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $\|T(a)\|_B = \|a\|_A$  for every  $a \in A$ . Let us begin by defining the class of Banach spaces where the Finsler manifolds shall be modeled.

**Definition 2.1.** A Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to be **k-admissible** if for every equivalent norm  $|\cdot|$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are an open subset  $B \supset \{x \in X : |x| \leq 1\}$  of  $X$  and a  $C^k$  smooth function  $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $|g(x) - |x|| < \varepsilon$  for  $x \in B$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Lip}(g) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)$  for the norm  $|\cdot|$ .

It is easy to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with one of the following properties:*

- (A.1) *Density of the set of equivalent  $C^k$  smooth norms: Every equivalent norm on  $X$  can be approximated in the Hausdorff metric by equivalent  $C^k$  smooth norms [6].*
- (A.2)  *$C^k$ -fine approximation property ( $k \geq 2$ ) and density of the set of equivalent  $C^1$  smooth norms: For every  $C^1$  smooth function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $C^k$  smooth function  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $|f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|f'(x) - g'(x)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$  (see [16], [2] and [20]). Also, every equivalent norm defined on  $X$  can be approximated in the Hausdorff metric by equivalent  $C^1$  smooth norms (see [6, Theorem II 4.1]).*

*Then  $X$  is  $k$ -admissible.*

Banach spaces satisfying condition (A.2) are, for instance, separable Banach spaces with a Lipschitz  $C^k$  smooth bump function. Banach spaces satisfying condition (A.1) for  $k = 1$  are, for instance, Weakly Compactly Generated (WCG) Banach spaces with a  $C^1$  smooth bump function.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complete  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds that are  $C^k$  uniformly bumpable and are modeled on  $k$ -admissible Banach spaces. Then  $M$  and  $N$  are weakly  $C^k$  equivalent as Finsler manifolds if and only if  $C_b^k(M)$  and  $C_b^k(N)$  are equivalent as normed algebras. Moreover, every normed algebra isomorphism  $T : C_b^k(N) \rightarrow C_b^k(M)$  is of the form  $T(f) = f \circ h$  where  $h : M \rightarrow N$  is a weak  $C^k$  Finsler isometry. In particular,  $h$  is a  $C^{k-1}$  Finsler isometry whenever  $k \geq 2$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 2.3, we shall follow the ideas of the Riemmanian case [12]. Let us divide the proof into several propositions.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds such that  $N$  is modeled on a  $k$ -admissible Banach space  $Y$ . Let  $h : M \rightarrow N$  be a map such that  $T : C_b^k(N) \rightarrow C_b^k(M)$  given by  $T(f) = f \circ h$  is continuous. Then  $h$  is  $\|T\|$ -Lipschitz for the Finsler metrics.*

*Proof.* For every  $y \in N$ , let us take a chart  $\psi_y : V_y \rightarrow Y$  with  $\psi_y(y) = 0$ . Let us consider the equivalent norm on  $Y$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_y := \|d\psi_y^{-1}(0)(\cdot)\|_y$  and fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let us define the ball  $B_{\|\cdot\|_y}(z, t) := \{w \in Y : \|w - z\|_y < t\}$ .

*Fact.* For every  $r > 0$  such that  $B_{\|\cdot\|_y}(0, r) \subset \psi_y(V_y)$  and every  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ , there exists a  $C^k$  smooth and Lipschitz function  $f_y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (1)  $f_y(0) = r$ ,
- (2)  $\|f_y\|_\infty := \sup\{|f_y(z)| : z \in Y\} = r$ ,
- (3)  $\text{Lip}(f_y) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2$  for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_y$ ,
- (4)  $f_y(z) = 0$  for every  $z \in Y$  with  $\|z\|_y \geq r$ , and
- (5)  $\|z\|_y \leq r - f_y(z) + \tilde{\varepsilon}$  for every  $\|z\|_y \leq r$ .

Let us prove the Fact. First of all, let us take  $r > 0$ ,  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{2\tilde{\varepsilon}}{5r}\}$ . Since  $N$  is a  $C^k$  Finsler manifold modeled on a  $k$ -admissible Banach space  $Y$ , there are an open subset  $B \supset \{x \in Y : \|x\|_y \leq 1\}$  of  $Y$  and a  $C^k$  smooth function  $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $|g(x) - \|x\|_y| < \alpha/2$  on  $B$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Lip}(g) \leq (1 + \alpha/2)$  for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_y$ .

Now, let us take a  $C^\infty$  smooth and Lipschitz function  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- (i)  $\theta(t) = 0$  whenever  $t \leq \alpha$ ,
- (ii)  $\theta(t) = 1$  whenever  $t \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{Lip}(\theta) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)$ , and
- (iv)  $|\theta(t) - t| \leq 2\alpha$  for every  $t \in [0, 1 + \alpha]$ .

Let us define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \theta(g(x)) & \text{if } x \in B, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in Y \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $f$  is well-defined,  $C^k$  smooth,  $f(x) = 1$  whenever  $\|x\|_y \geq 1$  and  $f(x) = 0$  whenever  $\|x\|_y \leq \alpha/2$ . Let us now consider  $f_y : Y \rightarrow [0, r]$  as  $f_y(z) = r(1 - f(\frac{z}{r}))$ , which is  $C^k$  smooth, Lipschitz and satisfies:

- (i)  $f_y(0) = r$ ,
- (ii)  $\|f_y\|_\infty = r$ ,
- (iii)  $|f_y(z) - f_y(x)| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(1 + \alpha/2)\|z - x\|_y \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2\|z - x\|_y$ ,
- (iv)  $f_y(z) = 0$  for every  $z \in Y$  with  $\|z\|_y \geq r$ ,

(v)  $\| \frac{z}{r} \|_y \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} + g(\frac{z}{r}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} + 2\alpha + f(\frac{z}{r})$  for every  $\|z\|_y \leq r$ . Thus,  $\|z\|_y \leq r(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2\alpha) + r - f_y(z) \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} + r - f_y(z)$  for every  $\|z\|_y \leq r$ .

Let us now prove Proposition 2.4. Let us fix  $p_1, p_2 \in M$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let us consider  $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  a piecewise  $C^1$  smooth path in  $M$  joining  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , with  $\ell(\sigma) \leq d_M(p_1, p_2) + \varepsilon$ . Since  $h : M \rightarrow N$  is continuous, the path  $\hat{\sigma} := h \circ \sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ , joining  $h(p_1)$  and  $h(p_2)$ , is continuous as well. For every  $q \in \hat{\sigma}([0, 1])$ , there is  $0 < r_q < 1$  and a chart  $\psi_q : V_q \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\psi_q(q) = 0$ ,  $B_N(q, r_q) \subset V_q$  and the bijection  $\psi_q : V_q \rightarrow \psi_q(V_q)$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bi-Lipschitz for the norm  $\|d\psi_q^{-1}(0)(\cdot)\|_q$  in  $Y$  (see Lemma 1.3). Since  $\hat{\sigma}([0, 1])$  is a compact set of  $N$ , there is a finite family of points  $0 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m = 1$  and a family of open intervals  $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^m$  covering the interval  $[0, 1]$  so that if we define  $q_k := \hat{\sigma}(t_k)$  and  $r_k := r_{q_k}$ , for every  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , we have

- (a)  $\hat{\sigma}(I_k) \subset B_N(q_k, r_k/(1 + \varepsilon))$ ,
- (b)  $I_j \cap I_k \neq \emptyset$  if, and only if,  $|j - k| \leq 1$ .

It is clear that  $\hat{\sigma}([0, 1]) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_N(q_k, \frac{r_k}{1+\varepsilon})$ . Now, let us select a point  $s_k \in I_k \cap I_{k+1}$  such that  $t_k < s_k < t_{k+1}$ , for every  $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$ . Let us write  $a_k := \hat{\sigma}(s_k)$ , for every  $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$ ,  $\psi_k := \psi_{q_k}$ ,  $V_k := V_{q_k}$  and  $\| \cdot \|_k := \|d\psi_k^{-1}(0)(\cdot)\|_{q_k}$ , for every  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Notice that  $a_k \in B_N(q_k, \frac{r_k}{1+\varepsilon}) \cap B_N(q_{k+1}, \frac{r_{k+1}}{1+\varepsilon})$ , for every  $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$ . Since  $\psi_k : V_k \rightarrow \psi_k(V_k)$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bi-Lipschitz for the norm  $\| \cdot \|_k$  in  $Y$ , we deduce that  $\psi_k(a_k) \in B_{\| \cdot \|_k}(0, r_k)$ , for every  $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$ .

Now, let us we apply the above Fact to  $r_k$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon/2m$  to obtain functions  $f_k : Y \rightarrow [0, r_k]$  satisfying properties (1)–(5),  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Let us define the  $C^k$  smooth and Lipschitz functions  $g_k : N \rightarrow [0, r_k]$  as  $g_k(z) = f_k(\psi_k(z))$  for every  $z \in V_k$  and  $g_k(z) = 0$  for  $z \notin V_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Then,

- (i)  $g_k \in C_b^k(N)$ ;
- (ii)  $g_k(q_k) = r_k$ ;
- (iii)  $|g_k(z) - g_k(x)| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^3 d_N(z, x)$  for all  $z, x \in N$ ;
- (iv) if  $z \in \psi_k^{-1}(B_{\| \cdot \|_k}(0, r_k))$ , then  $\| \psi_k(z) \|_k \leq r_k$ , and from condition (5) of the Fact, we obtain

$$d_N(z, q_k) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \| \psi_k(z) - \psi_k(q_k) \|_k = (1 + \varepsilon) \| \psi_k(z) \|_k \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(r_k - g_k(z) + \varepsilon/2m).$$

The Lipschitz constant of  $g_k \circ h$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(g_k \circ h) &\leq \|g_k \circ h\|_{C_b^1(M)} = \|T(g_k)\|_{C_b^1(M)} \leq \|T\| \|g_k\|_{C_b^1(N)} \\ &= \|T\| \max\{\|g_k\|_\infty, \|dg_k\|_\infty\} \leq \|T\|(1 + \varepsilon)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $r_k = g_k(q_k) = g_k(h(\sigma(t_k)))$  and  $\psi_k(h(\sigma(s_k))) \in B_{\| \cdot \|_k}(0, r_k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_N(h(p_1), h(p_2)) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} [d_N(h(\sigma(t_k)), h(\sigma(s_k))) + d_N(h(\sigma(s_k)), h(\sigma(t_{k+1})))] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (1 + \varepsilon)[g_k(q_k) - g_k(h(\sigma(s_k)))] \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad + g_{k+1}(q_{k+1}) - g_{k+1}(h(\sigma(s_k))) + \varepsilon/m] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (1 + \varepsilon)[\text{Lip}(g_k \circ h)d_M(\sigma(t_k), \sigma(s_k)) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad + \text{Lip}(g_{k+1} \circ h)d_M(\sigma(t_{k+1}), \sigma(s_k)) + \varepsilon/m] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \|T\|(1 + \varepsilon)^4 [d_M(\sigma(t_k), \sigma(s_k)) + d_M(\sigma(t_{k+1}), \sigma(s_k))] + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \|T\|(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \ell(\sigma|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]}) + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) = \|T\|(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \ell(\sigma) + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \|T\|(1 + \varepsilon)^4 (d_M(p_1, p_2) + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus,  $h$  is  $\|T\|$ -Lipschitz. □

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds such that  $N$  is modeled on a Banach space with a Lipschitz  $C^k$  smooth bump function. Let  $h : M \rightarrow N$  be a homeomorphism such that  $f \circ h \in C_b^k(M)$  for every  $f \in C_b^k(N)$ . Then,  $h$  is a weakly  $C^k$  smooth function on  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let us fix  $x \in M$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ . There are charts  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  of  $M$  at  $x$  and  $\psi : V \rightarrow Y$  of  $N$  at  $h(x)$  satisfying inequalities (1) and (2) on  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively. We can assume that  $h(U) \subset V$ . Since  $Y$  admits a Lipschitz and  $C^k$  smooth bump function and  $\psi(h(U))$  is an open neighborhood of  $\psi(h(x))$  in  $Y$ , there are real numbers  $0 < s < r$  such that  $B(\psi(h(x)), s) \subset B(\psi(h(x)), r) \subset \psi(h(U))$  and a Lipschitz and  $C^k$  smooth function  $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha(y) = 1$  for  $y \in B(\psi(h(x)), s)$  and  $\alpha(y) = 0$  for  $y \notin B(\psi(h(x)), r)$ . Let us define  $U_0 := h^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(B(\psi(h(x)), s))) \subset U$ , which is an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $M$ .

Let us check that  $y^* \circ (\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1})$  is  $C^k$  smooth on  $\varphi(U_0) \subset X$  for all  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Following the proof of [9, Theorem 4], we define  $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $g(y) = 0$  whenever  $y \notin V$  and  $g(y) = \alpha(\psi(y)) \cdot y^*(\psi(y))$  whenever  $y \in V$ . It is clear that  $g \in C_b^k(N)$  and, by assumption,  $g \circ h \in C_b^k(M)$ . Now, it follows that  $\psi(h(\varphi^{-1}(z))) \in B(\psi(h(x)), s)$  for every  $z \in \varphi(U_0)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} y^* \circ (\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1})(z) &= y^*(\psi(h(\varphi^{-1}(z)))) = \alpha(\psi(h(\varphi^{-1}(z)))) y^*(\psi(h(\varphi^{-1}(z)))) \\ &= g(h(\varphi^{-1}(z))) = g \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}(z), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $z \in \varphi(U_0)$ . Since  $(g \circ h) \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is  $C^k$  smooth on  $\varphi(U_0)$ , we have that  $y^* \circ (\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1})$  is  $C^k$  smooth on  $\varphi(U_0)$ . Thus  $\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth on  $\varphi(U_0)$  and  $h$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth on  $M$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* If  $h : M \rightarrow N$  is a weak  $C^k$  Finsler isometry, we can define the operator  $T : C_b^k(N) \rightarrow C_b^k(M)$  by  $T(f) = f \circ h$ . Let us check that  $T$  is well defined. For every  $x \in M$ , there are charts  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  of  $M$  at  $x$  and  $\psi : V \rightarrow Y$  of  $N$  at  $h(x)$ , such that  $h(U) \subset V$  and  $\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is weakly  $C^k$  smooth on  $\varphi(U) \subset X$ . Also,  $f \circ \psi^{-1}$  is  $C^k$  smooth on  $\psi(V) \subset Y$ . Thus, by [15, Proposition 4.2],  $(f \circ \psi^{-1}) \circ (\psi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}) = f \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is  $C^k$  smooth on  $\varphi(U)$ . Therefore,  $f \circ h$  is  $C^k$  smooth on  $U$ . Since this holds for every  $x \in M$ , we deduce that  $f \circ h$  is  $C^k$  smooth on  $M$ . Moreover,  $T$  is an algebra isomorphism with  $\|T(f)\|_{C_b^k(M)} = \|f \circ h\|_{C_b^k(M)} = \|f\|_{C_b^k(N)}$  for every  $f \in C_b^k(N)$ .

Conversely, let  $T : C_b^k(N) \rightarrow C_b^k(M)$  be a normed algebra isometry. Then, we can define the function  $h : H(C_b^k(M)) \rightarrow H(C_b^k(N))$  by  $h(\varphi) = \varphi \circ T$  for every  $\varphi \in H(C_b^k(M))$ . The function  $h$  is a bijection. Moreover,  $h$  is an homeomorphism. Recall that we identify  $x \in M$  with  $\delta_x \in H(C_b^k(M))$ . Thus,  $h(x) = h(\delta_x) = \delta_x \circ T$ . Since  $h$  is an homeomorphism, by Proposition 1.9, we obtain for every  $p \in N$  a

unique point  $x \in M$  such that  $h(\delta_x) = \delta_p$ . Let us check that  $T(f) = f \circ h$  for all  $f \in C_b^k(N)$ . Indeed, for every  $x \in M$  and every  $f \in C_b^k(N)$ ,

$$T(f)(x) = \delta_x(T(f)) = (\delta_x \circ T)(f) = h(\delta_x)(f) = \delta_{h(x)}(f) = f(h(x)) = f \circ h(x).$$

Now, from Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 we deduce that  $h$  is a weak  $C^k$  Finsler isometry.  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* It is worth mentioning that for Riemannian manifolds, every metric isometry is a  $C^1$  Finsler isometry. This result was proved by S. Myers and N. Steenrod [21] in the finite-dimensional case and by I. Garrido, J. A. Jaramillo and Y. C. Rangel [12] in the general case. Also, S. Deng and Z. Hou [5] obtained a version for finite-dimensional Riemannian-Finsler manifolds. Nevertheless, there is no generalization, up to our knowledge, of the Myers-Steenrod theorem for all Finsler manifolds. Thus, for  $k = 1$  we can only assure that the metric isometry obtained in Theorem 2.3 is weakly  $C^1$  smooth.

Let us finish this note with some interesting corollaries of Theorem 2.3. First, recall that every separable Banach space with a Lipschitz  $C^k$  smooth bump function satisfies condition (A.2) and every WCG Banach space with a  $C^1$  smooth bump function satisfies condition (A.1) for  $k = 1$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complete,  $C^1$  Finsler manifolds that are  $C^1$  uniformly bumpable and are modeled on WCG Banach spaces. Then  $M$  and  $N$  are weakly  $C^1$  equivalent as Finsler manifolds if and only if  $C_b^1(M)$  and  $C_b^1(N)$  are equivalent as normed algebras. Moreover, every normed algebra isomorphism  $T : C_b^1(N) \rightarrow C_b^1(M)$  is of the form  $T(f) = f \circ h$  where  $h : M \rightarrow N$  is a weak  $C^1$  Finsler isometry.*

Notice that the assumptions of Corollary 2.7 hold if  $M$  and  $N$  are modeled on Banach spaces with separable dual.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complete, separable  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds that are modeled on Banach spaces with a Lipschitz and  $C^k$  smooth bump function. Then  $M$  and  $N$  are weakly  $C^k$  equivalent as Finsler manifolds if and only if  $C_b^k(M)$  and  $C_b^k(N)$  are equivalent as normed algebras. Moreover, every normed algebra isomorphism  $T : C_b^k(N) \rightarrow C_b^k(M)$  is of the form  $T(f) = f \circ h$  where  $h : M \rightarrow N$  is a weak  $C^k$  Finsler isometry. In particular,  $h$  is a  $C^{k-1}$  Finsler isometry whenever  $k \geq 2$ .*

Since every weakly  $C^k$  smooth function with values in a finite-dimensional normed space is  $C^k$  smooth and every finite-dimensional  $C^k$  Finsler manifold is  $C^k$  uniformly bumpable [18], we obtain the following Myers-Nakai result for finite-dimensional  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complete and finite dimensional  $C^k$  Finsler manifolds. Then  $M$  and  $N$  are  $C^k$  equivalent as Finsler manifolds if and only if  $C_b^k(M)$  and  $C_b^k(N)$  are equivalent as normed algebras. Moreover, every normed algebra isomorphism  $T : C_b^k(N) \rightarrow C_b^k(M)$  is of the form  $T(f) = f \circ h$  where  $h : M \rightarrow N$  is a  $C^k$  Finsler isometry.*

We obtain an interesting application of Finsler manifolds to Banach spaces. Recall the well-known Mazur-Ulam Theorem establishing that every surjective isometry between two Banach spaces is affine.

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be WCG Banach spaces with  $C^1$  smooth bump functions. Then  $X$  and  $Y$  are isometric if and only if  $C_b^1(X)$  and  $C_b^1(Y)$  are equivalent as normed algebras. Moreover, every normed algebra isomorphism  $T : C_b^1(Y) \rightarrow C_b^1(X)$  is of the form  $T(f) = f \circ h$  where  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective isometry. In particular,  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are affine isometries.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel Azagra, Juan Ferrera, and Fernando López-Mesas, *Nonsmooth analysis and Hamilton-Jacobi equations on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **220** (2005), no. 2, 304–361, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2004.10.008. MR2119282 (2005k:49045)
- [2] Daniel Azagra, Javier Gómez Gil, Jesús A. Jaramillo, Mauricio Lovo, and Robb Fry,  *$C^1$ -fine approximation of functions on Banach spaces with unconditional basis*, Q. J. Math. **56** (2005), no. 1, 13–20, DOI 10.1093/qmath/hah020. MR2124575 (2005m:41069)
- [3] D. Azagra, J. Ferrera, F. López-Mesas, and Y. Rangel, *Smooth approximation of Lipschitz functions on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007), no. 2, 1370–1378, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.03.088. MR2280987 (2007j:26010)
- [4] M. Bachir and G. Lancien, *On the composition of differentiable functions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **46** (2003), no. 4, 481–494, DOI 10.4153/CMB-2003-047-2. MR2011388 (2004h:46042)
- [5] Shaoqiang Deng and Zixin Hou, *The group of isometries of a Finsler space*, Pacific J. Math. **207** (2002), no. 1, 149–155, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2002.207.149. MR1974469 (2003m:53127)
- [6] Robert Deville, Gilles Godefroy, and Václav Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 64, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993. MR1211634 (94d:46012)
- [7] Klaus Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. MR787404 (86j:47001)
- [8] Marián Fabian, Petr Habala, Petr Hájek, Vicente Montesinos Santalucía, Jan Pelant, and Václav Zizler, *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR1831176 (2002f:46001)
- [9] M. Isabel Garrido, Jesús A. Jaramillo, and Ángeles Prieto, *Banach-Stone theorems for Banach manifolds*, Perspectives in mathematical analysis (Spanish), Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. (Esp.) **94** (2000), no. 4, 525–528. MR1865754 (2002m:58008)
- [10] M. Isabel Garrido and Jesús A. Jaramillo, *Variations on the Banach-Stone theorem*, IV Course on Banach Spaces and Operators (Spanish) (Laredo, 2001), Extracta Math. **17** (2002), no. 3, 351–383. MR1995413 (2004g:46034)
- [11] M. I. Garrido and J. A. Jaramillo, *Homomorphisms on function lattices*, Monatsh. Math. **141** (2004), no. 2, 127–146, DOI 10.1007/s00605-002-0011-4. MR2037989 (2004k:46034)
- [12] Isabel Garrido, Jesús A. Jaramillo, and Yenny C. Rangel, *Algebras of differentiable functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (2009), no. 6, 993–1001, DOI 10.1112/blms/bdp077. MR2575330 (2011b:58016)
- [13] Isabel Garrido, Olivia Gutiérrez, and Jesús A. Jaramillo, *Global inversion and covering maps on length spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **73** (2010), no. 5, 1364–1374, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2010.04.069. MR2661232 (2011j:58009)
- [14] I. Garrido, J. A. Jaramillo, and Y. C. Rangel, *Lip-density and algebras of Lipschitz functions on metric spaces*, Extracta Math. **25** (2010), no. 3, 249–261. MR2857997 (2012h:53090)
- [15] Joaquín M. Gutiérrez and José G. Llavona, *Composition operators between algebras of differentiable functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), no. 2, 769–782, DOI 10.2307/2154428. MR1116313 (93j:46048)
- [16] Petr Hájek and Michal Johanis, *Smooth approximations*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 3, 561–582, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2010.04.020. MR2644097 (2011i:46036)
- [17] J. R. Isbell, *Algebras of uniformly continuous functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 96–125. MR0103407 (21 #2177)
- [18] M. Jiménez-Sevilla and L. Sánchez-González, *On some problems on smooth approximation and smooth extension of Lipschitz functions on Banach-Finsler manifolds*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), no. 11, 3487–3500, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2011.03.004. MR2803076 (2012f:58014)

- [19] Serge Lang, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 191, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. MR1666820 (99m:53001)
- [20] Nicole Moulis, *Approximation de fonctions différentiables sur certains espaces de Banach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **21** (1971), no. 4, 293–345 (French, with English summary). MR0375379 (51 #11573)
- [21] S. B. Myers and N. E. Steenrod, *The group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **40** (1939), no. 2, 400–416, DOI 10.2307/1968928. MR1503467
- [22] S. B. Myers, *Algebras of differentiable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 917–922. MR0065823 (16,491e)
- [23] Mitsuru Nakai, *Algebras of some differentiable functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Japan. J. Math. **29** (1959), 60–67. MR0120587 (22 #11337)
- [24] Karl-Hermann Neeb, *A Cartan-Hadamard theorem for Banach-Finsler manifolds*, Proceedings of the Conference on Geometric and Combinatorial Group Theory, Part II (Haifa, 2000), 2002, pp. 115–156, DOI 10.1023/A:1021221029301. MR1950888 (2004a:58004)
- [25] Richard S. Palais, *Lusternik-Schnirelman theory on Banach manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 115–132. MR0259955 (41 #4584)
- [26] Y. C. Rangel, *Algebras de funciones diferenciables en variedades*, Ph.D. Dissertation (Departamento de Analisis Matematico, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid), 2008.
- [27] Patrick J. Rabier, *Ehresmann fibrations and Palais-Smale conditions for morphisms of Finsler manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **146** (1997), no. 3, 647–691, DOI 10.2307/2952457. MR1491449 (98m:58020)

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `jaramil@mat.ucm.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `marjim@mat.ucm.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `lfsanche@mat.ucm.es`