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#### Abstract

We extend the ideas of Friedman and Qin [5] to find the wall-crossing formulae for the Donaldson invariants of algebraic surfaces with $p_{g}=0, q>0$ and anticanonical divisor $-K$ effective, for any wall $\zeta$ with $l_{\zeta}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta^{2}-p_{1}\right)$ being 0 or 1 .


## 1. Introduction

The Donaldson invariants of a smooth oriented 4-manifold $X$ depend by definition on a Riemannian metric $g$. In the case $b^{+}>1$ they however turn out to be independent of $g$. When $b^{+}=1$, they depend on $g$ through a structure of walls and chambers, that we recall briefly here (we refer to [8] [9] for more details).

Fix $w \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$. Then for any $p_{1} \leq 0$ with $p_{1} \equiv w^{2}(\bmod 4)$, we set $d=$ $-p_{1}-\frac{3}{2}\left(1-b_{1}+b^{+}\right)$, for half of the dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{X, g}^{w, d}$ of $g$-antiselfdual connections on the $S O(3)$-principle bundle over $X$ with second Stiefel-Whitney class the reduction mod 2 of $w$, and first Pontrjagin number $p_{1}$. The corresponding Donaldson invariant will be denoted $D_{X, g}^{w, d}$. This is a linear functional on the elements of degree $2 d$ of $\mathbb{A}(X)=\operatorname{Sym}^{*}\left(H_{0}(X) \oplus H_{2}(X)\right) \otimes \wedge^{*}\left(H_{1}(X) \oplus H_{3}(X)\right)$, where the degree of elements in $H_{i}(X)$ is $4-i\left(H_{i}(X)\right.$ will always denote homology with rational coefficients, and similarly for $\left.H^{i}(X)\right)$. This invariant is only defined in principle for generic metrics.

From now on let $X$ be a compact smooth oriented 4 -manifold with $b^{+}=1$. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the image of the positive cone $\left\{x \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{R}) / x^{2}>0\right\}$ in $\mathbb{P}\left(H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{R})\right)$, which is a model of the hyperbolic disc of dimension $b^{-}$. The period point of $g$ is the line $\omega_{g} \in \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{R})\right)$ given by the selfdual harmonic forms for $g$. A wall of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$ is a non-empty hyperplane $W_{\zeta}=\{x \in \mathbb{H} / x \cdot \zeta=0\}$ in $\mathbb{H}$, with $\zeta \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$, such that $\zeta \equiv w(\bmod 2)$ and $p_{1} \leq \zeta^{2}<0$. The connected components of the complement of the walls of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$ in $\mathbb{H}$ are the chambers of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ denote the space of metrics of $X$. Then we have a map $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ which sends every metric $g$ to its period point $\omega_{g}$. The connected components of the preimage of the chambers of $\mathbb{H}$ are, by definition, the chambers of $\mathfrak{M}$. A wall $W_{\zeta}^{\prime}$ for $\mathfrak{M}$ is a non-empty preimage of a wall $W_{\zeta}$ for $\mathbb{H}$. When $g$ moves in a chamber $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$ the Donaldson invariants do not change. But when it crosses a wall they change. So for any chamber $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$, we have defined $D_{X, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}}^{w, d}$, by choosing any generic metric $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, so that the moduli space is smooth, and computing the corresponding Donaldson invariants (to avoid flat connections we might have to use the trick in [12]). For a path of metrics $\left\{g_{t}\right\}_{t \in[-1,1]}$, with $g_{ \pm 1} \in \mathcal{C}_{ \pm}^{\prime}$, we have the difference term $\delta_{X}^{w, d}\left(\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}\right)=$ $D_{X, \mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}}^{w, d}-D_{X, \mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}}^{w, d}$.

When $b_{1}=0$, Kotschick and Morgan [9] prove that the invariants only depend on the chamber $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbb{H}$ in which the period point of the metric lies. For this, they find that the change in the Donaldson invariant when the metric crosses a wall $W_{\zeta}^{\prime}$ depends only on the class $\zeta$ and not on the particular metric having the reducible antiselfdual connection (Leness [11] points out that their argument is not complete and checks that it is true at least for the case $\left.l_{\zeta}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta^{2}-p_{1}\right) \leq 2\right)$. In this case, the difference term is defined as

$$
\delta_{X}^{w, d}\left(\mathcal{C}_{-}, \mathcal{C}_{+}\right)=D_{X, \mathcal{C}_{+}}^{w, d}-D_{X, \mathcal{C}_{-}}^{w, d}
$$

for chambers $\mathcal{C}_{ \pm}$of $\mathbb{H}$. Then $\delta_{X}^{w, d}\left(\mathcal{C}_{-}, \mathcal{C}_{+}\right)=\sum \delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$, where the sum is taken over all $\zeta$ defining walls separating $\mathcal{C}_{-}$and $\mathcal{C}_{+}$. Moreover $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}=\varepsilon(\zeta, w) \delta_{S, \zeta}^{d}$, with $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{d}$ not dependent on $w, \varepsilon(\zeta, w)=(-1)^{\left(\frac{\zeta-w}{2}\right)^{2}}$.

Now suppose $S$ is a smooth algebraic surface (not necessarily with $b_{1}=0$ ), endowed with a Hodge metric $h$ corresponding to a polarisation $H$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ be the Gieseker compactification of the moduli space of $H$-stable rank two bundles $V$ on $X$ with $c_{1}(V)=\mathcal{O}(L)$ (a fixed line bundle with topological first Chern class equal to $w$ ) and $c_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(c_{1}^{2}-p_{1}\right)$. The Donaldson invariants (for the metric $h$ ) can be computed using $\mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ (see [4]) whenever the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ are generic (i.e. $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}_{0} E\right)=H^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}_{0} E\right)=0$, for every stable bundle $\left.E \in \mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right)$. The period point of $h$ is the line spanned by $H \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{R})$. Now let $C_{S} \subset \mathbb{H}$ be the image of the ample cone of $S$, i.e. the subcone of the positive cone generated by the ample classes (polarisations). We have walls and chambers in $C_{S}$ in the same vein as before (actually they are the intersections of the walls and chambers of $\mathbb{H}$ with $C_{S}$, whenever this intersection is non-empty). Now $\mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is constant on the chambers of $C_{S}$ (and so the invariant stays the same), and when $H$ crosses a wall $W_{\zeta}$, $\mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ changes (see [13]). From the point of view of the Donaldson invariants, this corresponds to restricting our attention from the positive cone of $S$ to its ample cone.

When the irregularity $q$ of $S$ is zero, the wall-crossing terms have been found
out in [5] [3] [2]. In [5] Friedman and Qin obtain some wall-crossing formulae for algebraic surfaces $S$ with $-K$ being effective ( $K=K_{S}$ the canonical divisor) and the irregularity $q=0$ (equivalently, $b_{1}=0$ ). We want to adapt their results to the case $q>0$ modifying their arguments where necessary. If $-K$ is effective then the change of $\mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ when $H$ crosses a wall $W$ can be described by a number of flips. We shall write the change of the Donaldson invariant as a sum of contributions $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$, for the different $\zeta$ defining $W$.

Remark 1. The condition of $-K$ being effective can be relaxed for the case $q=0$ to the following two conditions: $K$ is not effective, $\pm \zeta+K$ are not effective for any $\zeta$ defining the given wall (we call such a wall a good wall, see [2] [3]). Probably the same is true for the case $q>0$, since these two conditions ensure that the change in $\mathfrak{M}_{H}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ when crossing a wall is described by flips. Nonetheless we will suppose $-K$ effective, which allows us to define the Donaldson invariants for any polarisation. Note that when $-K$ is effective, all walls are good.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we extend the arguments of [5] to the case $q>0$. In sections 3 and 4 we compute the wall crossing formulae for any wall with $l_{\zeta}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta^{2}-p_{1}\right)$ being 0 and 1 respectively. Then in section 5 , we give the two leading terms of the wall crossing difference for any wall $\zeta$. As a consequence of our results, we propose a conjecture on the shape of the wall crossing terms. In the appendix we give, for the convenience of the reader, a list of all the algebraic surfaces with $p_{g}=0$ and $-K$ effective, i.e. the surfaces to which the results from this paper apply.
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## 2. Wall-Crossing formulae

From now on, $S$ is a smooth algebraic manifold with irregularity $q \geq 0$ and $p_{g}=0$ (equivalently $b^{+}=1$ ) and with anticanonical divisor $-K$ effective. Let $w \in H^{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$, $p_{1} \equiv w^{2}(\bmod 4)$. Put

$$
d=-p_{1}-\frac{3}{2}\left(1-b_{1}+b^{+}\right)=-p_{1}-3(1-q)
$$

and let $\zeta$ define a wall of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$. In every chamber $\mathcal{C}$ of the ample cone, we have well-defined the Donaldson invariant $D_{S, \mathcal{C}}^{w, d}$ associated to polarisations in that chamber.

For two different chambers $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{-}$, there is a wall-crossing difference term

$$
\delta_{S}^{w, d}\left(\mathcal{C}_{-}, \mathcal{C}_{+}\right)=D_{S, \mathcal{C}_{+}}^{w, d}-D_{S, \mathcal{C}_{-}}^{w, d}
$$

which can be written as a sum

$$
\delta_{S}^{w, d}\left(\mathcal{C}_{-}, \mathcal{C}_{+}\right)=\sum_{\zeta} \delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}
$$

where $\zeta$ runs over all walls of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$ with $\mathcal{C}_{-} \cdot \zeta<0<\mathcal{C}_{+} \cdot \zeta$.
Suppose from now on that $\mathcal{C}_{-}$and $\mathcal{C}_{+}$are two adjacent chambers separated by a single wall $W_{\zeta}$ of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$. For simplicity, we will assume that the wall $W_{\zeta}$ is only represented by the pair $\pm \zeta$ since in the general case we only need to add up the contributions for every pair representing the wall. Then the wall-crossing term is $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$. Set

$$
l_{\zeta}=\left(\zeta^{2}-p_{1}\right) / 4 \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Let $\zeta$ define the wall separating $\mathcal{C}_{-}$from $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and put, as in [5, section 2], $E_{\zeta}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ to be the set of all isomorphism classes of non-split extensions of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F) \otimes I_{Z_{1}} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(L-F) \otimes I_{Z_{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $F$ is a divisor such that $2 F-L$ is homologically equivalent to $\zeta$, and $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are two zero-dimensional subschemes of $S$ with $l\left(Z_{i}\right)=n_{i}$ and such that $n_{1}+n_{2}=$ $l_{\zeta}$. Let us construct $E_{\zeta}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ explicitly. Consider $H_{i}=\operatorname{Hilb}_{n_{i}}(S)$ the Hilbert scheme of $n_{i}$ points on $S, J=\mathrm{Jac}^{F}(S)$ the Jacobian parametrising divisors homologically equivalent to $F, \mathcal{Z}_{i} \subset S \times H_{i}$ the universal codimension 2 scheme, and $\mathcal{F} \subset S \times J$ the universal divisor. Then we define $\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{n_{1}, n_{2}} \rightarrow J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}$ to be

$$
\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}={\mathcal{E} \mathrm{xt}_{\pi_{2}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} L-\mathcal{F}\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{2}}, \mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{1}}\right), ., ~}
$$

for $\pi_{1}: S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right) \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_{2}: S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right) \rightarrow J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}$, the projections (we do not denote all pull-backs of sheaves explicitly). This is a vector bundle over $J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}$ of rank

$$
\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})=l_{\zeta}+h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(2 F-L)\right)=l_{\zeta}+h(\zeta)+q
$$

where

$$
h(\zeta)=\frac{\zeta \cdot K_{S}}{2}-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{2}-1
$$

by Riemann-Roch [5, lemma 2.6]. Note that $l_{\zeta} \geq 0$ and $h(\zeta)+q \geq 0$. Put $N_{\zeta}=\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})-$ 1. Then $E_{\zeta}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}\right)^{\vee}\right)$ (we follow the convention $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\oplus_{i} S^{i}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ ), which is of dimension $q+2 l_{\zeta}+\left(l_{\zeta}+h(\zeta)+q\right)$. Also $N_{\zeta}+N_{-\zeta}+q+2 l_{\zeta}=d-1$. We will have to treat the $\operatorname{case} \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})=0$ (i.e. $l_{\zeta}=0$ and $h(\zeta)+q=0$ ) separately.

We can modify the arguments in sections 3 and 4 of [5] to get intermediate moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$ together with embeddings $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$ and $E_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k-1)}$, fitting in the following diagram

where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$ is the blow-up of $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$ at $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k-1)}$ is the blow-up of $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k-1)}$ at $E_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}$. This is what is called a flip. Basically, the space $E_{\zeta}=\sqcup E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ parametrises $H_{-}$-stable sheaves which are $H_{+}$-unstable. Analogously, $E_{-\zeta}=\sqcup E_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}$ parametrises $H_{+}$-stable sheaves which are $H_{-}$-unstable. Hence one could say that $\mathfrak{M}_{+}$is obtained from $\mathfrak{M}_{-}$by removing $E_{\zeta}$ and then attaching $E_{-\zeta}$. The picture above is a nice description of this fact and allows us the find the universal sheaf for $\mathfrak{M}_{+}$out of the universal sheaf for $\mathfrak{M}_{-}$by a sequence of elementary transforms.

The point is that whenever $-K_{S}$ is effective, we have an embedding $E_{\zeta}^{0, l_{\zeta}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{-}$ (the part of $E_{\zeta}$ consisting of bundles) and rational maps $E_{\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{-}, k>0$, but if we blow-up $\mathfrak{M}_{-}$at $E_{\zeta}^{0, l_{\zeta}}$, we have already an embedding from $E_{\zeta}^{1, l_{\zeta}-1}$ to this latter space. Now we can proceed inductively for $k=0, \ldots, l_{\zeta}$. Analogously, we can have started from $\mathfrak{M}_{+}$blowing-up $E_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}$ one by one. The diagram above says that we can perform these blow-ups and blow-downs alternatively, instead of first blowing-up $l_{\zeta}+1$ times and then blowing-down $l_{\zeta}+1$ times. We see that the exceptional divisor


When adapting the arguments of [5, sections 3 and 4], the only place requiring serious changes is proposition 3.7 in order to prove proposition 3.6.

Proposition 2. ([5, proposition 3.6]) The map $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(\zeta, k)}$ is an immersion. The normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ to $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(\zeta, k)}$ is exactly $\rho^{*} \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_{\zeta}^{l^{\zeta}, k, k}}(-1)$, where $\rho: E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \rightarrow J \times H_{l_{\zeta}-k} \times H_{k}$ is the projection. Here we have defined $\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}=$ $\mathcal{E} X t_{\pi_{2}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{1}}, \mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} L-\mathcal{F}\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{2}}\right)$.

Proposition 2 is proved as [5, proposition 3.6] making use of the following analogue of [5, proposition 3.7]

Proposition 3. For all nonzero $\xi \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}(L-F) \otimes I_{Z_{2}}, \mathcal{O}(F) \otimes I_{Z_{1}}\right)$, the natural map from a neighbourhood of $\xi$ in $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ to $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(\zeta, k)}$ is an immersion at $\xi$.

The image of $T_{\xi} E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ in $E x t_{0}^{1}(V, V)$ (the tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(\zeta, k)}$ at $\xi$, where $V$ is the sheaf corresponding to $\xi$ ) is exactly the kernel of the natural map $\operatorname{Ext}_{0}(V, V) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}(F) \otimes I_{Z_{1}}, \mathcal{O}(L-F) \otimes I_{Z_{2}}\right)$, and the normal space to $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ at $\xi$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(\zeta, k)}$ may be canonically identified with $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}(F) \otimes I_{Z_{1}}, \mathcal{O}(L-F) \otimes I_{Z_{2}}\right)$.

Proof. We have that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(I_{Z}, I_{Z}\right)$ parametrises infinitesimal deformations of $I_{Z}$ as a sheaf. The deformations of $I_{Z}$ are of the form $I_{Z^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$ for $D \equiv 0$. The universal space parametrising these sheaves is $\operatorname{Hilb}_{r}(S) \times \operatorname{Jac}^{0}(S)$, where $r$ is the length of $Z$. There is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{E x t}^{1}\left(I_{Z}, I_{Z}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(I_{Z}, I_{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{H o m}\left(I_{Z}, I_{Z}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{E} \operatorname{xt}^{1}\left(I_{Z}, I_{Z}\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{H o m}\left(I_{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)$ is the tangent space to $\operatorname{Hilb}_{r}(S)$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{H o m}\left(I_{Z}, I_{Z}\right)\right)=H^{1}(\mathcal{O})$ is the tangent space to the Jacobian. Analogously, $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(V, V)$ is the space of infinitesimal deformations of $V$ (but the determinant is not preserved). The infinitesimal deformations preserving the determinant are given by the kernel $\operatorname{Ext}_{0}^{1}(V, V)$ of a map $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(V, V) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{H o m}(V, V)) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{O})$. Now $E=E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ sits inside the bigger space $\tilde{E}=\tilde{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ given as

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E x t}_{\pi_{2}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J_{1} \times H_{1} \times J_{2} \times H_{2}\right)}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} L-\mathcal{F}_{2}\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{2}}, \mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J_{1} \times H_{1} \times J_{2} \times H_{2}\right)}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{1}}\right)^{\vee}\right)
$$

for $J_{1}=J_{2}=J, \mathcal{F}_{i} \subset S \times J_{i}$ the universal divisor, and $H_{i}$ the Hilbert scheme parametrising $Z_{i}$. The arguments in [5, proposition 3.7] go through to prove that for every non-zero $\xi \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}(L-F) \otimes I_{Z_{2}}, \mathcal{O}(F) \otimes I_{Z_{1}}\right)$ we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns


So the natural map from a neighbourhood of $\xi$ in $E$ to $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(\zeta, k)}$ is an immersion at $\xi$ and the normal space may be canonically identified with $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}(F) \otimes I_{Z_{1}}, \mathcal{O}(L-\right.$ $F) \otimes I_{Z_{2}}$.

Therefore proposition 2 is true for $q>0$. The set up is now in all ways analogous to that of [5]. We fix some notations [5, section 5]:

Notation 4. Let $\zeta$ define a wall of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$.

- $\lambda_{k}$ is the tautological line bundle over $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta} \zeta k, k}=\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}\right)^{\vee}\right)$. $\lambda_{k}$ will also be used to denote its first Chern class.
- $\rho_{k}: S \times E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \rightarrow S \times\left(J \times H_{l_{\zeta}-k} \times H_{k}\right)$ is the natural projection.
- $p_{k}: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$ is the blow-up of $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$ at $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$.
- $q_{k-1}: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k-1)}$ is the contraction of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0}^{(k)}$ to $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k-1)}$.
- The normal bundle of $E_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$ is $\mathcal{N}_{k}=\rho_{k}^{*} \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k} \otimes \lambda_{k}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}=$ $\mathcal{E} \mathrm{Xt}_{\pi_{2}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{1}}, \mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} L-\mathcal{F}\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{2}}\right)$.
- $D_{k}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{N}_{k}^{\vee}\right)$ is the exceptional divisor in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0}^{(k)}$.
- $\xi_{k}=\left.\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0}^{(k)}}\left(-D_{k}\right)\right|_{D_{k}}$ is the tautological line bundle on $D_{k}$.
- $\mu^{(k)}(\alpha)=-\frac{1}{4} p_{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{U}^{(k)}}\right) / \alpha$, for $\alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{U}^{(k)}$ a universal sheaf over $S \times \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{(k)}$. Let $\mu^{\left(l_{\zeta}\right)}(\alpha)=\mu_{-}(\alpha)$ and $\mu^{(-1)}(\alpha)=\mu_{+}(\alpha)$.
- Let $z=x^{r} \alpha^{s} \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{a} A_{1} \cdots A_{b}$ be any element in $\mathbb{A}(S)$, where $x \in H_{0}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$ is the generator of the 0-homology, $\gamma_{i} \in H_{1}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z}), A_{i} \in H_{3}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$. Then we define $\mu^{(k)}(z)$ as $\mu^{(k)}(x)^{r} \mu^{(k)}(\alpha)^{s} \mu^{(k)}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \cdots \mu^{(k)}\left(\gamma_{a}\right) \mu^{(k)}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu^{(k)}\left(A_{b}\right)$.
Although $\mathcal{U}^{(k)}$ might not exist, there is always a well-defined element $p_{1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{U}^{(k)}}\right)$. As in [5], we are using the natural complex orientations for the moduli spaces. These differ from the natural ones used in the definition of the Donaldson invariants by a factor $\epsilon_{S}(w)=(-1)^{\frac{K \cdot w+w^{2}}{2}}$. The analogues of lemma 5.2 and lemma 5.3 of [5] are

Lemma 5. Let $\gamma \in H_{1}(S ; \mathbb{Z}), \alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z}), A \in H_{3}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$. Put $a=(\zeta \cdot \alpha) / 2$. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(\alpha)\right|_{D_{k}}=\left(\left.p_{k}\right|_{D_{k}}\right)^{*}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / \alpha+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / \alpha-a \lambda_{k}-c_{1}(\mathcal{F})^{2} / \alpha\right) \\
\left.p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(\gamma)\right|_{D_{k}}=\left(\left.p_{k}\right|_{D_{k}}\right)^{*}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / \gamma+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / \gamma-\lambda_{k}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{F}) / \gamma\right)\right) \\
\left.p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(A)\right|_{D_{k}}=\left(\left.p_{k}\right|_{D_{k}}\right)^{*}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / A+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / A-\left(\zeta c_{1}(\mathcal{F})\right) / A\right) \\
\left.p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(x)\right|_{D_{k}}=\left(\left.p_{k}\right|_{D_{k}}\right)^{*}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / x+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / x-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{k}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 6. Let $\gamma \in H_{1}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z}), A \in H_{3}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$. Put $a=(\zeta \cdot \alpha) / 2$. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{k-1}^{*} \mu^{(k-1)}(\alpha)=p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(\alpha)-a D_{k} \\
q_{k-1}^{*} \mu^{(k-1)}(\gamma)=p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(\gamma)-\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{F}) / \gamma\right) D_{k} \\
q_{k-1}^{*} \mu^{(k-1)}(A)=p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(A) \\
q_{k-1}^{*} \mu^{(k-1)}(x)=p_{k}^{*} \mu^{(k)}(x)-\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{k}^{2}+2 \lambda_{k} D_{k}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We immediately see that it is important to understand the cohomology classes $e_{\alpha}=$ $c_{1}(\mathcal{F})^{2} / \alpha, e_{\gamma}=c_{1}(\mathcal{F}) / \gamma$, and $e_{S}=c_{1}(\mathcal{F})^{4} /[S]$. We write $c_{1}(\mathcal{F})=c_{1}(F)+\sum \beta_{i} \otimes \beta_{i}^{\#}$, the Künneth decomposition of $c_{1}(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{2}(S \times J)$, where $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}$ is a basis for $H^{1}(S)$ and
$\left\{\beta_{i}^{\#}\right\}$ is the dual basis for $H^{1}(J) \cong H^{1}(S)^{*}$. Now we have more explicit expressions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e_{\alpha}=-2 \sum_{i<j}<\beta_{i} \wedge \beta_{j}, \alpha>\beta_{i}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{j}^{\#} \in H^{2}(J)  \tag{1}\\
e_{\gamma}=\sum<\gamma, \beta_{i}>\beta_{i}^{\#} \in H^{1}(J) \\
e_{\zeta A}=\left(\zeta c_{1}(\mathcal{F})\right) / A=\sum<\operatorname{P.D} \cdot[A] \wedge \beta_{i}, \zeta>\beta_{i}^{\#} \in H^{1}(J) \\
e_{S}=\sum_{i, j, k, l}<\beta_{i} \wedge \beta_{j} \wedge \beta_{k} \wedge \beta_{l},[S]>\beta_{i}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{j}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{k}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{l}^{\#} \in H^{4}(J)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 7. Let $\zeta$ define a wall of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$ and $d=-p_{1}-3(1-q)$. Suppose $l_{\zeta}+h(\zeta)+q>0$. For $\alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$, put $a=(\zeta \cdot \alpha) / 2$. Let $z=x^{r} \alpha^{s} \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{a} A_{1} \cdots A_{b} \in$ $\mathbb{A}(S)$ be of degree $2 d$. Then $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}(\alpha)$ is $\epsilon_{S}(w)$ times

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{0 \leq k \leq l_{\zeta}}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / x+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / x-\frac{1}{4} X^{2}\right)^{r}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / \alpha+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / \alpha-e_{\alpha}+a X\right)^{s}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / \gamma_{1}+\right. \\
\left.+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / \gamma_{1}+e_{\gamma_{1}} X\right) \cdots\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / A_{b}+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / A_{b}-e_{\zeta A_{b}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $X^{N}=(-1)^{N-N_{-\zeta}} s_{N-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}\right)^{\vee}\right), s_{i}(\cdot)$ standing for the Segre class.

Proof. By lemma 6, $\mu^{(k-1)}(z)$ is equal to (we omit the pull-backs)

$$
\left(\mu^{(k)}(x)-\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{k}^{2}+2 \lambda_{k} D_{k}\right)\right)^{r}\left(\mu^{(k)}(\alpha)-a D_{k}\right)^{s}\left(\mu^{(k)}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-e_{\gamma_{1}} D_{k}\right) \cdots \mu^{(k)}\left(A_{b}\right)
$$

which is $\mu^{(k)}(z)$ plus things containing at least one $D_{k}$. So $\mu^{(k-1)}(z)=\mu^{(k)}(z)+D_{k} \cdot s$, where $s$ is formally (recall $\xi_{k}=-\left.D_{k}\right|_{D_{k}}$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{-\xi_{k}}\left(\left(\left.\mu^{(k)}(x)\right|_{D_{k}}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\xi_{k}^{2}-2 \lambda_{k} \xi_{k}\right)\right)^{r}\left(\left.\mu^{(k)}(\alpha)\right|_{D_{k}}+a \xi_{k}\right)^{s}\left(\left.\mu^{(k)}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right|_{D_{k}}+e_{\gamma_{1}} \xi_{k}\right) \cdots\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.\cdots\left(\left.\mu^{(k)}\left(\gamma_{a}\right)\right|_{D_{k}}+e_{\gamma_{1}} \xi_{k}\right) \mu^{(k)}\left(A_{1}\right)\right|_{D_{k}} \cdots \mu^{(k)}\left(A_{b}\right)\right|_{D_{k}}\right)_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the subindex 0 means "forgetting anything not containing at least one $\xi_{k}$ ". So $s$ is (we drop the subindices)

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{1}{\xi}\left(( [ \mathcal { Z } ] / x + [ \mathcal { Z } ] / x - \frac { 1 } { 4 } ( \xi - \lambda ) ^ { 2 } ) ^ { r } ( [ \mathcal { Z } ] / \alpha + [ \mathcal { Z } ] / \alpha - e _ { \alpha } + a ( \xi - \lambda ) ) ^ { s } \left([\mathcal{Z}] / \gamma_{1}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+[\mathcal{Z}] / \gamma_{1}+e_{\gamma_{1}}(\xi-\lambda)\right) \cdots\left([\mathcal{Z}] / A_{b}+[\mathcal{Z}] / A_{b}-e_{\zeta A_{b}}\right)\right)_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

We need the easy formula (which can be proved by induction)

$$
\frac{1}{\xi}\left((\xi-\lambda)^{N}\right)_{0}=\frac{(\xi-\lambda)^{N}-(-\lambda)^{N}}{\xi}=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-\lambda)^{i}(\xi-\lambda)^{N-i-1}
$$

As $\xi-\lambda$ is the tautological bundle corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}$ (see items 5 to 7 in notation 4), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda^{u}=s_{u-N_{\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}\right) \cdot \lambda^{N_{\zeta}}+O\left(\lambda^{N_{\zeta}-1}\right) \\
(\xi-\lambda)^{u}=s_{u-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}\right) \cdot(\xi-\lambda)^{N_{-\zeta}}+O\left((\xi-\lambda)^{N_{-\zeta}-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Evaluating (and doing the sum from $k=0$ to $k=l_{\zeta}$ ) we get the statement of the theorem where

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{N} & =-\sum(-1)^{i} s_{i-N_{\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}\right) \cdot s_{N-i-1-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}\right)= \\
& =\sum(-1)^{N-N_{-\zeta}} s_{i-N_{\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k}\right) \cdot s_{N-i-1-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}\right)^{\vee}\right)= \\
& =(-1)^{N-N_{-\zeta}} s_{N-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}\right)^{\vee}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An immediate corollary which generalises [5, theorem 5.4] is
Corollary 8. Let $\zeta$ define a wall of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$ and $d=-p_{1}-3(1-q)$. Suppose $l_{\zeta}+h(\zeta)+q>0$. For $\alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$, put $a=(\zeta \cdot \alpha) / 2$. Then $\mu_{+}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)-\mu_{-}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)$ is equal to
$\sum(-1)^{h(\zeta)+l_{\zeta}+j} \frac{d!}{j!b!(d-j-b)!} a^{d-j-b}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / \alpha+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / \alpha\right)^{j} \cdot e_{\alpha}^{b} \cdot s_{2 l_{\zeta}-j+q-b}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}\right)^{\vee}\right)$,
where the sum runs through $0 \leq j \leq 2 l_{\zeta}, 0 \leq b \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq l_{\zeta}$. As $\mu_{+}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)-\mu_{-}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)$ is computed using the complex orientation, we have that $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)=\epsilon_{S}(w)\left(\mu_{+}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\mu_{-}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)\right)$.

Remark 9. In Kotschick notation [8], $\varepsilon(\zeta, w)=(-1)^{\left(\frac{(-w}{2}\right)^{2}}$. So $\epsilon_{S}(w)(-1)^{h(\zeta)}=$ $(-1)^{d+q} \varepsilon(\zeta, w)$.

Theorem 10. Let $\zeta$ define a wall of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$ and $d=-p_{1}-3(1-q)$. Suppose $l_{\zeta}+h(\zeta)+q=0$ i.e. $l_{\zeta}=0$ and $h(\zeta)+q=0$. For $\alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$, put $a=(\zeta \cdot \alpha) / 2$. Let $z=x^{r} \alpha^{s} \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{a} A_{1} \cdots A_{b} \in \mathbb{A}(S)$ be of degree $2 d$. Then $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}(\alpha)$ is $\epsilon_{S}(w)$ times

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{4} X^{2}\right)^{r}\left(-e_{\alpha}+a X\right)^{s}\left(e_{\gamma_{1}} X\right) \cdots\left(-e_{\zeta A_{b}}\right)
$$

where $X^{N}=s_{N-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,0}\right)=(-1)^{N-N_{-\zeta}} s_{N-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{0,0} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,0}\right)^{\vee}\right)$.
Proof. Now $\mathfrak{M}_{+}$is $\mathfrak{M}_{-}$with an additional connected component $D=E_{-\zeta}^{0,0}$ which is a $\mathbb{P}^{d-q}$-bundle over $J$, since $E_{\zeta}^{0,0}=\emptyset$. The universal bundle over $E_{-\zeta}^{0,0}$ is given by an extension

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{S \times J}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} L-\mathcal{F}\right) \otimes p^{*} \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{S \times J}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\pi: S \times E_{-\zeta}^{0,0} \rightarrow S \times J$ and $p: S \times E_{-\zeta}^{0,0} \rightarrow E_{-\zeta}^{0,0}$ are projections and $\lambda$ is the tautological line bundle. From this

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\mu(\alpha)\right|_{D}=a \lambda-e_{\alpha} \\
\left.\mu(\gamma)\right|_{D}=\lambda e_{\gamma} \\
\left.\mu(A)\right|_{D}=-e_{\zeta A} \\
\left.\mu(x)\right|_{D}=-\frac{1}{4} \lambda^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with notations as in theorem 7. As in the proof of theorem $7, \lambda^{u}=s_{u-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,0}\right)$. $\lambda^{-N_{\zeta}}+O\left(\lambda^{-N_{\zeta}-1}\right)$, so the expression of the statement of the theorem follows with $X^{N}=s_{N-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,0}\right)$.

The next step is to find more handy expressions for the set of classes given by (1).
Lemma 11. Let $S$ be a manifold with $b^{+}=1$. Then there is a (rational) cohomology class $\Sigma \in H^{2}(S)$ such that the image of $\wedge: H^{1}(S) \otimes H^{1}(S) \rightarrow H^{2}(S)$ is $\mathbb{Q}[\Sigma]$. Also $e_{S}=0$.

Proof. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4} \in H^{1}(S)$. If $\beta_{1} \wedge \beta_{2} \wedge \beta_{3} \wedge \beta_{4} \neq 0$ then the image of $\wedge$ : $H^{1}(S) \otimes H^{1}(S) \rightarrow H^{2}(S)$ contains the subspace $V$ generated by $\beta_{i} \wedge \beta_{j}$, which has dimension 6 , with $b^{+}=3$ and $b^{-}=3$. This is absurd, so $\beta_{1} \wedge \beta_{2} \wedge \beta_{3} \wedge \beta_{4}=0$. Then $e_{S}=0$.

Now let $\Sigma_{1}=\beta_{1} \wedge \beta_{2}, \Sigma_{2}=\beta_{3} \wedge \beta_{4} \in H^{2}(S)$. Then $\Sigma_{1}^{2}=\Sigma_{2}^{2}=0$ together with the fact that $b^{+}=1$ imply that $\Sigma_{1} \cdot \Sigma_{2} \neq 0$ unless $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are proportional. Since $\Sigma_{1} \cdot \Sigma_{2}=0$ by the above, it must be the case that $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are proportional.

Remark 12. If $S \rightarrow C_{g}$ is a ruled surface with $q>0$ and fiber class $f$, then $\Sigma=f$. Note also that the class $\Sigma$ does not change under blow-ups.

Now write $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{2 q}$ for a basis of $H^{1}(S)$ and fix a generator $\Sigma$ of the image of $\wedge: H^{1}(S) \otimes H^{1}(S) \rightarrow H^{2}(S)$. Let $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{2 q}$ be the dual basis for $H_{1}(S)$. Put $\beta_{i} \wedge \beta_{j}=a_{i j} \Sigma$. The Jacobian of $S$ is $J=H^{1}(S ; \mathbb{R}) / H^{1}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$, so naturally $H^{1}(J) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $H^{1}(S)^{*}$. Let $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow S \times J$ be the universal bundle parametrising divisors homologically equivalent to zero. Then $E=c_{1}(\mathcal{L})=\sum \beta_{i} \otimes \beta_{i}^{\#}$, with $\beta_{i}^{\#}$ corresponding to $\delta_{i}$ under the isomorphism $H^{1}(J) \cong H_{1}(S)$. So

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e_{\alpha}=-2 \sum_{i<j} a_{i j}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha) \beta_{i}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{j}^{\#}=-2(\Sigma \cdot \alpha) \omega  \tag{2}\\
e_{\delta_{i}}=\beta_{i}^{\#} \\
e_{\zeta \beta_{i}}=\sum(\Sigma \cdot \zeta) a_{i j} \beta_{j}^{\#}=(\Sigma \cdot \zeta) i_{\beta_{i}} \omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where we write $\omega=\sum_{i<j} a_{i j}\left(\beta_{i}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{j}^{\#}\right) \in H^{2}(J)$, which is an element independent of the chosen basis. We also have implicitly assumed $H_{3}(S) \cong H^{1}(S)$ through Poincaré
duality, in the third line. We define

$$
F: \mathbb{A}(S) \rightarrow \Lambda^{*} H_{1}(S) \otimes \Lambda^{*} H_{3}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

given by projection followed by the map sending $\gamma_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{a} \otimes A_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{b}$ to zero when $a+b$ is odd and to

$$
\int_{J}\left(\gamma_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{a} \wedge i_{A_{1}} \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge i_{A_{b}} \omega \wedge \omega^{q-(a+b) / 2}\right)
$$

when $a+b$ is even. We note that we always can find a basis $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{2 q}$ with

$$
\omega=a_{1} \beta_{1}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{2}^{\#}+a_{2} \beta_{3}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{4}^{\#}+\cdots a_{r} \beta_{2 r-1}^{\#} \wedge \beta_{2 r}^{\#},
$$

where $a_{i} \neq 0$ are integers and $r \leq q$. So if $\omega$ is degenerate, $F(1)=\int_{J} \omega^{q}=0$. In general, for a basis element $z=x^{r} \alpha^{s} \delta_{i_{1}} \cdots \delta_{i_{a}} \beta_{j_{1}} \cdots \beta_{j_{b}}, F(z)$ is zero unless $z$ contains $\delta_{2 r+1} \cdots \delta_{2 q}$, and for every pair $(2 i-1,2 i), 1 \leq i \leq r$, either $\delta_{2 i-1} \delta_{2 i}, \beta_{2 i-1} \beta_{2 i}$, $\delta_{2 i-1} \beta_{2 i-1}, \delta_{2 i} \beta_{2 i}$ or nothing. In any case, for subsequent use, we set

$$
\mathrm{vol}=\frac{1}{q!} \int_{J} \omega^{q} .
$$

The number vol depends on the choice of $\Sigma$, as when $\Sigma$ is changed to $r \Sigma$, vol is changed to $r^{-q}$ vol. The final expressions we get for the wall-crossing terms are (as expected) independent of this choice. Also we are going to need the following

Proposition 13. For any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on any complex variety, the Segre classes of $\mathcal{F}$ are given by $s_{t}(\mathcal{F})=c_{t}(\mathcal{F})^{-1}$. For the relationship between the Chern classes of $\mathcal{F}$ and its Chern character, write $a_{i}$ for $i$ ! times the $i$-th component of $\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$
c_{n}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{n!}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & n-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
a_{2} & a_{1} & n-2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & 1 \\
a_{n} & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and

$$
s_{n}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{n!}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
-a_{1} & -(n-1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
a_{2} & -a_{1} & -(n-2) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & 1 \\
(-1)^{n} a_{n} & (-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} & (-1)^{n-2} a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

## 3. The $\operatorname{CASE} l_{\zeta}=0$

In this section we are going to compute $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$ in the case $l_{\zeta}=0$, i.e. when $\zeta^{2}=p_{1}$. We have the following theorem which extends [5, theorems 6.1 and 6.2] [8].

Theorem 14. Let $\zeta$ be a wall with $l_{\zeta}=0$. Then $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(x^{r} \alpha^{d-2 r}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\varepsilon(\zeta, w) \sum_{0 \leq b \leq q}(-1)^{r+d} 2^{3 q-b-d} \frac{q!}{(q-b)!}\binom{d-2 r}{b}(\zeta \cdot \alpha)^{d-2 r-b}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{b}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q-b} \text { vol, }
$$

where terms with negative exponent are meant to be zero.
Proof. For simplicity of notation, let us do the case $r=0$ (the other case is very similar). Recall that $F$ is a divisor such that $2 F-L$ is homologically equivalent to $\zeta$ and $J=\operatorname{Jac}^{F}(S)$ is the Jacobian parametrising divisors homologically equivalent to $F$. Then $\mathcal{F} \subset S \times J$ denotes the universal divisor. Now $\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}=\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{0,0}=R^{1} \pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times J}(2 \mathcal{F}-\right.$ $\left.\pi_{1}^{*} L\right)$ ) (with $\pi: S \times J \rightarrow J$ the projection) is a vector bundle over $J$. We note that $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(2 F-L)\right)=0$ and $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(L-2 F) \otimes K\right)=0$, as $\zeta$ is a good wall, so $R^{0} \pi_{*}$ and $R^{2} \pi_{*}$ vanish. Then

$$
\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{E}_{\zeta}=-\operatorname{ch} \pi_{!}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times J}\left(2 \mathcal{F}-\pi^{*} L\right)\right)=-\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{O}_{S \times J}\left(2 \mathcal{F}-\pi^{*} L\right) \cdot \text { Todd } T_{S}\right)=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\left(\frac{\zeta^{2}}{2}-\frac{\zeta \cdot K}{2}+1-q\right)+e_{K-2 \zeta}-\frac{2}{3} e_{S}=\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}\right)+e_{K-2 \zeta}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $e_{S}=0$ (lemma 11). A fortiori ch $\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{\vee}=-\left(\frac{\zeta^{2}}{2}+\frac{\zeta \cdot K}{2}+1-q\right)-e_{K+2 \zeta}$ and

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{\vee}\right)=\left(-\zeta^{2}+2 q-2\right)-4 e_{\zeta} .
$$

From proposition $13, s_{i}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{\vee}\right)=\frac{4^{i}}{i!} e_{\zeta}^{i}$. This together with theorem 10 or theorem 7 (depending on whether $h(\zeta)+q$ is zero or not) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(\alpha^{d}\right) & =\epsilon_{S}(w) \sum_{0 \leq b \leq q}(-1)^{h(\zeta)}\binom{d}{b} a^{d-b} e_{\alpha}^{b} \cdot s_{q-b}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{\vee}\right)= \\
& =\epsilon_{S}(w) \sum_{0 \leq b \leq q}(-1)^{h(\zeta)}\binom{d}{b} a^{d-b} e_{\alpha}^{b} \cdot \frac{4^{q-b}}{(q-b)!} e_{\zeta}^{q-b}= \\
& =\epsilon_{S}(w) \sum_{0 \leq b \leq q}(-1)^{h(\zeta)+q} \frac{2^{3 q-b-d}}{(q-b)!}\binom{d}{b}(\zeta \cdot \alpha)^{d-b}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{b}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q-b} \omega^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

using (2). Now we substitute $\epsilon_{S}(w)(-1)^{h(\zeta)}=(-1)^{d+q} \varepsilon(\zeta, w)$ (remark 9), to get the desired result.

We can also generalise introducing classes of odd degree. If $z=x^{r} \alpha^{s} \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{a} A_{1} \cdots A_{b}$ with $d=s+2 r+\frac{3}{2} a+\frac{1}{2} b$, then theorem 10 or theorem 7 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}(z)= & \epsilon_{S}(w)\left(-\frac{1}{4} X^{2}\right)^{r}\left(-e_{\alpha}+a X\right)^{s}\left(e_{\gamma_{1}} X\right) \cdots\left(-e_{\zeta A_{b}}\right) \\
= & \epsilon_{S}(w) \sum_{j}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{r}(-1)^{b}\binom{s}{j} a^{s-j} 2^{j}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{j}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{b} \omega^{j} \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{a} i_{A_{1}} \omega \cdots i_{A_{b}} \omega . \\
& \cdot(-1)^{2 r+a+s-j-N_{-\zeta}} s_{2 r+a+s-j-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{\vee}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $2 r+a+s-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta}=q-(a+b) / 2$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}(z)= & \varepsilon(\zeta, w) \sum_{j}(-1)^{r+d+b} 2^{3 q-d-b-j}\binom{s}{j} \frac{F(z)}{(q-(a+b) / 2-j)!} . \\
& \cdot(\zeta \cdot \alpha)^{s-j}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{j}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q+(b-a) / 2-j} \mathrm{vol} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. The CASE $l_{\zeta}=1$

Now we want to compute $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$ in the case $l_{\zeta}=1$, i.e. when $\zeta^{2}=p_{1}+4$. In this case, $J \times H_{l_{\zeta}-k} \times H_{k} \cong J \times S$, both for $k=0$ and $k=1$. The universal divisor $\mathcal{Z}_{1} \subset S \times H_{1}=S \times S$ is the diagonal $\Delta$. Let again $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow S \times J$ be the universal bundle parametrising divisors homologically equivalent to zero, so $\mathcal{F}=\pi_{1}^{*} F+\mathcal{L}$. With this understood, we have the following easy extension of [5, lemma 5.11]

Lemma 15. Let $\operatorname{Hom}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{\mathcal{Z}_{k}}, I_{\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(I_{\mathcal{Z}_{k}}, I_{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta_{\zeta}-k}}\right), \pi_{1}, p$ and $\pi_{2}$ be the projections from $S \times\left(J \times H_{l_{\zeta}-k} \times H_{k}\right)$ to $S, S \times J$ and $J \times H_{l_{\zeta}-k} \times H_{k}$, respectively. Let $E=c_{1}(\mathcal{L})$. Then we have the following exact sequences

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{2 *}\left(p^{*}(\zeta+2 E) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \rightarrow \pi_{2 *}\left(p^{*}(\zeta+2 E) \otimes E x t^{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow \pi_{2 *}\left(p^{*}(\zeta+2 E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{l^{-k}}}\right) \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{2 *}\left(p^{*}(\zeta+2 E) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}\right) \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{2 *}\left(p^{*}(\zeta+2 E)\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where the last sheaf is $M_{\zeta}=R^{1} \pi_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times J}\left(2 \mathcal{F}-\pi_{1}^{*} L\right)\right)$, which is a line bundle over $J$ with $\operatorname{ch} M_{\zeta}=r k M_{\zeta}+e_{K-2 \zeta}$ (computed in equation (3)).

We apply this lemma to our case $l_{\zeta}=1$. Then for $k=0, \operatorname{Hom}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}, I_{\Delta}\right)=I_{\Delta}$, $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}, I_{\Delta}\right)=0$, and for $k=1, \operatorname{Hom}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{\Delta}, \mathcal{O}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{S \times S}, \operatorname{Ext}^{1}=$ $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(I_{\Delta}, \mathcal{O}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\Delta)$. Using lemma 15 and the fact $\pi_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\Delta)\right)=\mathcal{O}_{S}(-K)$, we get

$$
\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{1,0}=\operatorname{ch} M_{\zeta}+\operatorname{ch} \zeta \operatorname{ch} 2 E
$$

$\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{0,1}=\operatorname{ch} M_{\zeta}+\operatorname{ch}(\zeta-K) \operatorname{ch} 2 E$

We recall from notation 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} & =\mathcal{E}^{\operatorname{xt}_{\pi_{2}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}\left(\pi_{1}^{*} L-\mathcal{F}\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{2}}, \mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{1}}\right)} \\
& =\mathcal{E} \mathrm{xt}_{\pi_{2}}^{1}\left(I_{\mathcal{Z}_{2}}, \mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}(\zeta+2 E) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{1}}\right), \\
\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k} & =\mathcal{E x t}_{\pi_{2}}^{1}\left(I_{\mathcal{Z}_{1}}, \mathcal{O}_{S \times\left(J \times H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)}(-\zeta-2 E) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{1,0}=\operatorname{ch} M_{-\zeta}+\operatorname{ch}(-\zeta) \operatorname{ch}(-2 E)$ and $\operatorname{ch} \mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,1}=\operatorname{ch} M_{-\zeta}+\operatorname{ch}(-\zeta-$ $K) \operatorname{ch}(-2 E)$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,1}\right)^{\vee}\right)=\left(-\zeta^{2}+2 q-2\right)-4 e_{\zeta}+2 \operatorname{ch} \zeta \operatorname{ch} 2 E+\frac{K^{2}}{2}+K \zeta+K\left(1+2 E+2 E^{2}\right) \\
& \operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{0,1} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{1,0}\right)^{\vee}\right)=\left(-\zeta^{2}+2 q-2\right)-4 e_{\zeta}+2 \operatorname{ch} \zeta \operatorname{ch} 2 E+\frac{K^{2}}{2}-K \zeta-K\left(1+2 E+2 E^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall compute $s_{i}=s_{i}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,1}\right)^{\vee}\right)+s_{i}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{0,1} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{1,0}\right)^{\vee}\right)$, as a class on $J \times S$. From proposition $13, s_{i}$ is an polynomial expression on $a_{i}^{(k)}=i!\operatorname{ch}_{i}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{1-k, k} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, 1-k}\right)^{\vee}\right)$, $k=0,1$. Furthermore, $s_{i}$ is invariant under $K \mapsto-K$, and hence an even function of $K \zeta, K, K E$ and $K E^{2}$. Now the only non-zero even combinations of $K \zeta, K, K E$ and $K E^{2}$ are 1 and $K \cdot K$. The first consequence is that we can ignore $K \zeta, K E$ and $K E^{2}$ in $a_{i}^{(k)}$ for the purposes of computing $s_{i}$. So we can suppose

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}^{(k)}=-4 e_{\zeta}+2 \zeta+4 E+(-1)^{k} K \\
a_{2}=2 \zeta^{2}+8 E^{2}+K^{2}+8 E \zeta \\
a_{3}=24 E^{2} \zeta=24 e_{\zeta}[S]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{i}=a_{i}^{(0)}=a_{i}^{(1)}$ for $i \geq 2$, and $a_{i}=0$ for $i \geq 4$ (here we have used that $E^{3}=0$ and $E^{4}=0$ as a consequence of lemma 11). Put $a_{1}=-4 e_{\zeta}+2 \zeta+4 E$ and define

$$
I_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
-a_{1} & -(n-1) & \cdots & 0 \\
a_{2} & -a_{1} & \cdots & 0 \\
-a_{3} & a_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -a_{3} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & -a_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and $I_{n}^{(k)}$ defined similarly with $a_{1}^{(k)}$ in the place of $a_{1}$. Then by proposition 13 , $n!s_{n}=I_{n}^{(0)}+I_{n}^{(1)}$. Easily we have $n!s_{n}=2 I_{n}+2\binom{n}{2} K^{2}\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}$. Now we can
look for an inductive formula for $I_{n}$. For $n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}= & -a_{1} I_{n-1}+(n-1)\left(2 \zeta^{2}+K^{2}+8 E \zeta\right)\left(4 e_{\zeta}-4 E\right)^{n-2}+(n-1) 8 E^{2} I_{n-2} \\
& -6(n-1)(n-2)[S]\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}= \\
= & -a_{1} I_{n-1}+(n-1)\left(2 \zeta^{2}+K^{2}+8 E \zeta\right)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}-(n-1) 8 E \zeta(n-2)(4 E)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-3} \\
& +(n-1) 8 E^{2} I_{n-2}-6(n-1)(n-2)[S]\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}= \\
= & -a_{1} I_{n-1}+(n-1)\left(2 \zeta^{2}+K^{2}+8 E \zeta\right)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}-8(n-1)(n-2)[S]\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2} \\
& +(n-1) 8 E^{2}\left(\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}-(n-2)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-3} 2 \zeta\right)-6(n-1)(n-2)[S]\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}= \\
= & -a_{1} I_{n-1}+(n-1)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}\left(2 \zeta^{2}+K^{2}+8 E \zeta+8 E^{2}-18(n-2)[S]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the first equality we have used that $I_{n} P=\left(4 e_{\zeta}-4 E\right)^{n} P$, for any $P \in H^{i}(S) \otimes H^{j}(J)$ with $i=3$, 4. In the third equality we use that $I_{n} E^{2}=\left(4 e_{\zeta}-2 \zeta\right)^{n} E^{2}$. With this inductive formula for $I_{n}$, we get, for $n \geq 2$,

$$
I_{n}=\left(4 e_{\zeta}-2 \zeta-4 E\right)^{n}+\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(4 e_{\zeta}-2 \zeta-4 E\right)^{n-i}(i-1)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{i-2}\left(2 \zeta^{2}+K^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+8 E \zeta+8 E^{2}-18(i-2)[S]\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any $k \geq 0$ (we always understand $\binom{k}{i}=0$ if either $i<0$ or $i>k$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(4 e_{\zeta}-2 \zeta-4 E\right)^{k}= & \left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k}+k(-2 \zeta-4 E)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k-1}+\binom{k}{2}(-2 \zeta-4 E)^{2}\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k-2} \\
& +\binom{k}{3} 3(-2 \zeta)(-4 E)^{2}\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k-3}= \\
= & \left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k}+k(-2 \zeta-4 E)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k-1} \\
& +\binom{k}{2}\left(4 \zeta^{2}+16 E \zeta+16 E^{2}\right)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k-2}-24\binom{k}{3}[S]\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{k-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}= & \left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n}+n(-2 \zeta-4 E)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-1}+\binom{n}{2}\left(4 \zeta^{2}+16 E \zeta+16 E^{2}\right)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2} \\
& -24\binom{n}{3}[S]\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}+\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-i}(i-1)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{i-2}\left(2 \zeta^{2}+K^{2}-18(i-2)[S]\right)\right. \\
& +\left(\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-i}+(n-i)(-4 E)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-i-1}\right)(i-1)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{i-2} 8 E \zeta \\
& \left.+\left(\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-i}+(n-i)(-2 \zeta)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-i-1}\right)(i-1)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{i-2} 8 E^{2}\right)= \\
= & \left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n}-n(2 \zeta+4 E)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-1}+\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}\left[\binom{n}{2}\left(4 \zeta^{2}+16 E \zeta+16 E^{2}\right)-24\binom{n}{3}[S]\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=2}^{n}(i-1)\left(2 \zeta^{2}+K^{2}-18(i-2)[S]+8 E \zeta+8 E^{2}-8(n-i)[S]-4(n-i)[S]\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting this into the expression for $s_{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{n}= & \frac{2}{n!}\left(\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n}-n(2 \zeta+4 E)\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-1}+\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}\left[\binom{n}{2}\left(6 \zeta^{2}+24 E \zeta+24 E^{2}+K^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-24\binom{n}{3}[S]+\sum_{i=2}^{n}(i-1)(36-12 n-6 i)[S]\right]\right)+\frac{2}{n!}\binom{n}{2} K^{2}\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression in the summatory adds up to $-48\binom{n}{3}$, so finally

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{n}=2 \frac{\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n}}{n!}-(4 \zeta+8 E) \frac{\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\left(6 \zeta^{2}+2 K^{2}+24 E \zeta+24 E^{2}\right) \frac{\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\
-24[S] \frac{\left(4 e_{\zeta}\right)^{n-2}}{(n-3)!} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $n \geq 2$ (where the last summand is understood to be zero when $n=2$ ). This expression is actually valid for $n \geq 0$ under the proviso that the terms with negative exponent are zero.
Theorem 16. Let $\zeta$ be a wall with $l_{\zeta}=1$. Then $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(x^{r} \alpha^{d-2 r}\right)$ is equal to $\varepsilon(\zeta, w)$ times

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{b=0}^{q}(-1)^{r+d+1} 2^{3 q-b-d}\left[(\zeta \cdot \alpha)^{d-2 r-b}\left(\binom{d-2 r}{b}\left(6 \zeta^{2}+2 K^{2}-24 q-8 r\right)+8\binom{d-2 r}{b+1}\binom{b+1}{1}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+8(\zeta \cdot \alpha)^{d-2 r-b-2} \alpha^{2}\binom{d-2 r}{b+2}\binom{b+2}{2}\right](\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{b}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q-b} \frac{q!}{(q-b)!} \operatorname{vol}
\end{gathered}
$$

where terms with negative exponent are meant to be zero.

Proof. By theorem 7, $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(x^{r} \alpha^{d-2 r}\right)=\epsilon_{S}(w)\left([S]-\frac{1}{4} X^{2}\right)^{r}\left(\alpha-e_{\alpha}+a X\right)^{d-2 r}$ evaluated on $J \times S$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{N} & =(-1)^{N-N_{-\zeta}}\left(s_{N-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{0,1}\right)^{\vee}\right)+s_{N-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{0,1} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{1,0}\right)^{\vee}\right)\right)= \\
& =(-1)^{N-N_{-\zeta}} s_{N-1-N_{\zeta}-N_{-\zeta} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(x^{r} \alpha^{d-2 r}\right)=\epsilon_{S}(w) \sum_{b}(-1)^{h(\zeta)+1}\binom{d-2 r}{b} a^{d-2 r-b}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{r} e_{\alpha}^{b-2} \\
\cdot\left[-4 r[S] e_{\alpha}^{2} \cdot s_{q-b}+\binom{b}{2} \alpha^{2} \cdot s_{q-b+2}+\binom{b}{1} \alpha\left(-e_{\alpha}\right) \cdot s_{q-b+2}+e_{\alpha}^{2} \cdot s_{q-b+2}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting the values of $s_{n}$ from (6) and using remark 9, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(x^{r} \alpha^{d-2 r}\right)= & \varepsilon(\zeta, w) \sum_{b}(-1)^{r+d+1} 2^{3 q-b-d}\binom{d-2 r}{b}(\zeta \cdot \alpha)^{d-2 r-b}\left[\left(6 \zeta^{2}+2 K^{2}\right.\right. \\
& -24 q-8 r)(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{b} \frac{(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q-b}}{(q-b)!}+16(\zeta \cdot \alpha)\binom{b}{1}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{b-1} \frac{(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q-b+1}}{(q-b+1)!}+ \\
& \left.+32 \alpha^{2}\binom{b}{2}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{b-2} \frac{(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q-b+2}}{(q-b+2)!}\right] \int_{J} \omega^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Reagrouping the terms we get the desired result.
This result agrees with theorems 6.4 and 6.5 in [5] particularising for $q=0$ and $r=0,1$. We see from theorem 16 that the difference terms $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$ do not satisfy in general the simple type condition [10].

Remark 17. L. Göttsche and the author have obtained the same formula of theorem 16 in some examples, like $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times C_{1}\left(C_{1}\right.$ being an elliptic curve) using the simple type condition in limiting chambers. These arguments will appear elsewhere.

## 5. General case

We do not want to enter into more detailed computations of the wall-crossing formulae, but just to remark that the pattern laid in [5] together with theorem 7 can be used here to obtain partial information of $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$. For instance, we write

$$
S_{j, b}=\sum_{k}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\zeta}-k}\right] / \alpha+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{k}\right] / \alpha\right)^{j} \cdot e_{\alpha}^{b} \cdot s_{2 l_{\zeta}-j+q-b}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\zeta}^{l_{\zeta}-k, k} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}_{-\zeta}^{k, l_{\zeta}-k}\right)^{\vee}\right)
$$

so that corollary 8 says $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)=\varepsilon(\zeta, w) \sum(-1)^{d+q+l_{\zeta}+j} \frac{d!}{j!b!(d-j-b)!} a^{d-j-b} S_{j, b}$. Then we can obtain (compare [5, proposition 5.12])

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2 l_{\zeta}, q} & =\frac{\left(2 l_{\zeta}\right)!}{l_{\zeta}!}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{l_{\zeta}} e_{\alpha}^{q} \\
S_{2 l_{\zeta}-1, q} & =(-4) \frac{\left(2 l_{\zeta}\right)!}{l_{\zeta}!}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{l_{\zeta}-1} a e_{\alpha}^{q} \\
S_{2 l_{\zeta}, q-1} & =4 \frac{\left(2 l_{\zeta}\right)!}{l_{\zeta}!}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{l_{\zeta}} e_{\alpha}^{q-1} e_{\zeta}
\end{aligned}
$$

As an easy consequence of this we get (compare [5, theorems 5.13 and 5.14])
Corollary 18. Let $\zeta$ be a wall of type $\left(w, p_{1}\right)$. Let $\alpha \in H_{2}(S ; \mathbb{Z})$ and $a=(\zeta \cdot \alpha) / 2$. Then $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(\alpha^{d}\right)$ is congruent (modulo $a^{d-2 l_{\zeta}-q+2}$ ) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon(\zeta, w)(-1)^{d+l_{\zeta}} 2^{q}\left[a^{d-2 l_{\zeta}-q} \frac{d!}{l_{\zeta}!\left(d-2 l_{\zeta}-q\right)!}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{l_{\zeta}}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{q}+\right. \\
& \left.+4 a^{d-2 l_{\zeta}-q+1} \frac{d!q}{l_{\zeta}!\left(d-2 l_{\zeta}-q+1\right)!}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{l_{\zeta}}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{q-1}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)\right] \text { vol. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 19. In the conditions of the previous corollary, suppose furthermore $d-$ $2 r \geq 2 l_{\zeta}+q$. Then $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(x^{r} \alpha^{d-2 r}\right)$ is congruent (modulo $a^{d-2 r-2 l_{\zeta}-q+2}$ ) with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon(\zeta, w)(-1)^{d+l_{\zeta}+r} 2^{q-2 r}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{r}\left[a^{d-2 r-2 l_{\zeta}-q} \frac{(d-2 r)!}{l_{\zeta}!\left(d-2 r-2 l_{\zeta}-q\right)!}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{l_{\zeta}}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{q}+\right. \\
\left.+4 a^{d-2 r-2 l_{\zeta}-q+1} \frac{(d-2 r)!q}{l_{\zeta}!\left(d-2 r-2 l_{\zeta}-q+1\right)!}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{l_{\zeta}}(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{q-1}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)\right] \text { vol. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 6. Conjecture

It is natural to propose the following
Conjecture. Let $X$ be an oriented compact four-manifold with $b^{+}=1$ and $b_{1}=2 q$ even. Let $w \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$. Choose $\Sigma \in H^{2}(X)$ generating the image of $\wedge: H^{1}(X) \otimes$ $H^{1}(X) \rightarrow H^{2}(X)$. Define $\omega \in H^{2}(J)$ such that $e_{\alpha}=-2(\Sigma \cdot \alpha) \omega$ and put vol $=\int_{J} \frac{\omega^{n}}{n!}$. If $\zeta$ defines a wall, then the wall-crossing difference term $\delta_{X, \zeta}^{w, d}\left(x^{r} \alpha^{d-2 r}\right)$ only depends on $w, d, r, b_{1}=2 q, b_{2}, \zeta^{2}, \alpha^{2},(\zeta \cdot \alpha)$ and $(\Sigma \cdot \alpha)^{i}(\Sigma \cdot \zeta)^{q-i}$ vol, $0 \leq i \leq q$. The coefficients are universal on $X$.

This is quite a strong conjecture and one can obviously write down weaker versions. It would allow one to carry out similar arguments to those in [6] and therefore to find out the general shape of the wall-crossing formulae for arbitrary $X$, involving modular forms. One should be able to determine then all wall-crossing formulae from particular
cases. This and applications to computing the invariants of $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times C_{g}\left(C_{g}\right.$ the genus $g$ Riemann surface) will be carried out in following joint work with L. Göttsche.

## Appendix. Algebraic surfaces with $p_{g}=0$ and $-K$ effective

From [1], the algebraic surfaces with $p_{g}=0$ and $-K$ effective are $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, ruled surfaces and blow-ups of these. For the case $q=0$, we have thus $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, the Hirzebruch surfaces and their blow-ups. Not all blow-ups have $-K$ effective, but they are always deformation equivalent to one with $-K$ effective. For the case $q>0$, the minimal models are ruled surfaces over a surface $C_{g}$ of genus $g \geq 1$. They have $c_{1}^{2}=8(1-g)$. Let $S \rightarrow C_{g}$ be a ruled surface. It has $b_{2}=2$ and $b_{1}=2 g$, so $g=q$. Let $f$ be the class of the fibre and $\sigma=\sigma_{-N}$ the class of the section with negative self-intersection $\sigma_{-N}^{2}=-N \leq 0$. Then there is a section $\sigma_{N}$ homologically equivalent to $\sigma_{-N}+N f$ with square $N$. Write $X=\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$, for $V \rightarrow C_{g}$ a rank two bundle. Then $K=\mathfrak{a} f-2 \sigma$, with $\mathfrak{a}=\sigma^{2}+K_{C_{g}}$ a divisor on $C_{g}$ (see [7, section 5.2]). Therefore $-K$ is effective if and only if $-\mathfrak{a}$ is effective. The section $\sigma$ corresponds to a sub-line bundle $L \hookrightarrow V$ with $\mathcal{O}_{C_{g}}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=L^{-2} \otimes \operatorname{det}(V)$. Then $-\mathfrak{a}$ is effective when $L^{2} \otimes \operatorname{det}(V)^{-1} \otimes K_{C_{g}}^{-1}$ has sections. We can find examples for any $N$ as long as $N \geq 2(g-1)$. Again, the non-minimal examples are blow-ups of these, and can be found to have $-K$ effective.

For fixed $q=g>0$, there are only two deformation classes of minimal ruled surfaces, corresponding to two diffeomorphism types, the two different $\mathbb{S}^{2}$-bundles over $C_{g}$, one with even $w_{2}$, the other with odd $w_{2}$.

- $N$ even: $S$ is diffeomorphic to $S_{0}=\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times C_{g}$ (and the canonical classes correspond). Let $C$ be the homology class of $\mathrm{pt} \times C_{g}$ coming from the diffeomorphism. Then $\sigma$ is homologous to $C-\frac{N}{2} f$. The ample cone $C_{S}$ of $S$ is generated by $f$ and $\sigma_{N}=C+\frac{N}{2} f$ (i.e. it is given by $\mathbb{R}^{+} f+\mathbb{R}^{+} \sigma_{N}$ ). Note that the bigger $N$, the smaller the ample cone. The wall-crossing terms $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$ do not depend on the complex structure of $S$, so our results for the case $-K$ effective give the wall-crossing terms for $S_{0}$ for any wall inside $C_{S}$. Letting $N=2(g-1)$, we actually compute $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$ for any $\zeta=a \mathbb{C P}^{1}-b C$ with $a, b>0$, $a>b(g-1)$ (note that all these walls are good).
- $N$ odd: $S$ is diffeomorphic to the non-trivial $\mathbb{S}^{2}$-bundle over $C_{g}$. Arguing as above, we compute the wall-crossing terms $\delta_{S, \zeta}^{w, d}$ for any $\zeta=a \mathbb{C P}^{1}-b \sigma_{-(2 g-1)}$ with $a, b>0, a>b \frac{2 g-1}{2}$.
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