DOI: 10.1002/pros.24469

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Prostate WILEY

Check for updates

70045, 2023, 4, Dow

.com/doi/10.1002/pro

Wiley Online Library

on [16/03/2023

. See

; OA articles

are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

A correlative biomarker study and integrative prognostic model in chemotherapy-naïve metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with enzalutamide

María P. Fernandez-Perez PhD^1 | Enrique Perez-Navarro BSc^2 | Teresa Alonso-Gordoa MD^3 | Vicenza Conteduca MD^4 | Albert Font MD^5 | Sergio Vázquez-Estévez MD^6 | Aránzazu González-del-Alba MD^7 | Daniel Wetterskog PhD^8 | Emmanuel S. Antonarakis MD^9 | Begona Mellado MD, PhD^{10} | Ovidio Fernandez-Calvo MD^{11} | María J. Méndez-Vidal MD^{12} | Miguel A. Climent MD^{13} | Ignacio Duran MD, PhD^{14} | Enrique Gallardo MD^{15} | Angel Rodriguez Sanchez MD^{16} | Carmen Santander MD^{17} | Maria I. Sáez MD^{18} | Javier Puente MD, PhD^{19} | Julian Tudela MD^{20} | Alberto Martínez BSc^{21} | Maria J. López-Andreo PhD^{22} | José Padilla BSc¹ | Rebeca Lozano MD, $PhD^{23,24}$ | David Hervas BSc^{25} | Jun Luo PhD^{26} | Ugo de Giorgi MD^4 | Daniel Castellano MD^2 | Gerhardt Attard MD, PhD^8 | Enrique Grande MD^{27} | Enrique Gonzalez-Billalabeitia MD, $PhD^{1,2,28}$

¹Department of Haematology and Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario Morales Meseguer, IMIB, Murcia, Spain

⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Badalona Applied Research Group in Oncology (BARGO), Badalona, Spain

¹¹Department of Medical Oncology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario Ourense, Orense, Spain

¹²Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía (HURS), Maimonides Institute for biomedical research of Córdoba (IMIBIC), Córdoba, Spain

¹³Servicio de Oncología Médica, Instituto Valenciano de Oncología, Valencia, Spain

¹⁴Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla, IBiS/Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, Spain

¹⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Servicio de Oncología Médica, Parc Taulí Hospital Universitari, Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí I3PT, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Sabadell, Spain

¹⁶Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario de León, León, Spain

- ¹⁷Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Zaragoza, Spain
- ¹⁸Medical Oncology Intercenter Unit, Regional and Virgen de la Victoria University Hospitals, IBIMA, Málaga, Spain

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. *The Prostate* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

²Department of Medical Oncology, Instituto de Investigación, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

³Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori (IRST) "Dino Amadori" IRCCS, Meldola, Italy

⁶Department of Medical Oncology, H. Universitario Lucus Augusti, Lugo, Spain

⁷Department of Medical Oncology, H.U. Son Espases, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

⁸University College London Cancer Institute, Paul O'Gorman Building, London, UK

⁹Department of Oncology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

¹⁰Department of Medical Oncology, IDIBAPS, Hospital Clinic, Universidad de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

The Prostate_W/II F

377

²⁰Department of Pathology, Hospital Morales Meseguer, Murcia, Spain

²¹Biobanco de la región de Murcia, IMIB, Nodo 3, Murcia, Spain

²²Department of Molecular Biology, SAI-IMIB-Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, Spain

²³Prostate Cancer Clinical Research Unit, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre, Madrid, Spain

²⁴Genitourinary Translational Research Group, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga, Málaga, Spain

²⁵Data Science Unit, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria La Fe, Valencia, Spain

²⁶Department of Urology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

²⁷MD Anderson Cancer Center Madrid, Madrid, Spain

²⁸Universidad Católica San Antonio de Murcia-UCAM, Murcia, Spain

Correspondence

Enrique Gonzalez-Billalabeitia, MD, PhD, Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario "12 de Octubre", Madrid 28041, Spain. Email: egbillalabeitia@salud.madrid.org

Funding information

Astellas; Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Grant/Award Number: PI18/00883; SEOM-CRIS Cancer Foundation

Abstract

Background: There is a considerable need to incorporate biomarkers of resistance to new antiandrogen agents in the management of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC).

Methods: We conducted a phase II trial of enzalutamide in first-line chemo-naïve asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic mCRPC and analyzed the prognostic value of *TMPRSS2-ERG* and other biomarkers, including circulating tumor cells (CTCs), androgen receptor splice variant (AR-V7) in CTCs and plasma Androgen Receptor copy number gain (AR-gain). These biomarkers were correlated with treatment response and survival outcomes and developed a clinical-molecular prognostic model using penalized coxproportional hazard model. This model was validated in an independent cohort.

Results: Ninety-eight patients were included. *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion gene was detected in 32 patients with no differences observed in efficacy outcomes. CTC detection was associated with worse outcome and AR-V7 in CTCs was associated with increased rate of progression as best response. Plasma AR gain was strongly associated with an adverse outcome, with worse median prostate specific antigen (PSA)-PFS (4.2 vs. 14.7 m; p < 0.0001), rad-PFS (4.5 vs. 27.6 m; p < 0.0001), and OS (12.7 vs. 38.1 m; p < 0.0001). The clinical prognostic model developed in PREVAIL was validated (C-Index 0.70) and the addition of plasma AR (C-Index 0.79; p < 0.001) increased its prognostic ability. We generated a parsimonious model including alkaline phosphatase (ALP); PSA and AR gain (C-index 0.78) that was validated in an independent cohort.

Conclusions: *TMPRSS2-ERG* detection did not correlate with differential activity of enzalutamide in first-line mCRPC. However, we observed that CTCs and plasma AR gain were the most relevant biomarkers.

KEYWORDS

AR gain, AR-V7, CTCs, enzalutamide, prostate cancer, TMPRSS2-ERG

1 | INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second cause of death from cancer in males.¹ Its growth is dependent upon androgen receptor (AR) signaling, and androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the mainstay

of treatment in advanced patients.² Virtually all patients progress to a castration-resistant state where androgen signaling is potentially driving tumor progression.³ Enzalutamide is a potent AR-targeted agent that competitively binds the AR ligand-binding domain and inhibits AR signaling.⁴ Treatment with enzalutamide has

WILEY-The Prostate

improved overall survival and quality of life in PCa.⁵ However benefit from this treatment is variable and several clinical and molecular events have been proposed to explain this heterogeneity.^{6–8}

Fusion genes involving E26 transformation-specific (ETS) oncogenes are the most common driver events affecting 30%–70% of PCa.⁹⁻¹¹ The most frequent fusion gene involves transmembrane protease serine 2 (*TMPRSS2*) on 21q22.3 and v-ets erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog (*ERG*) on 21q22.2, either by intrachromosomal deletion or translocation, and as a result of the oncogene *ERG* becomes AR-regulated. *TMPRSS2-ERG* is involved in tumor initiation,¹² invasion,¹³ and progression,¹⁴ and has been associated with increased efficacy in abiraterone-treated patients.^{15,16} Other biomarkers, including plasma AR gain,^{17–19} circulating tumor cells (CTCs),^{20,21} and AR-V7 in CTCs^{22,23} have demonstrated prognostic and/or predictive value in pretreated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCPRC). However, the prognostic value of these biomarkers in first-line mCPRC needs to be further explored.

Clinical prognostic models in mCRPC, including a prognostic model in patients treated with enzalutamide in first-line mCRPC²⁴ are intended to deal with this heterogeneity. This latter model needs to be externally validated and can potentially be improved including molecular information.

In this phase 2 multicenter biomarker study with enzalutamide in first-line chemo-naïve mCRPC, we aimed to evaluate the clinical significance of TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene, and to explore other relevant biomarkers, including plasma AR, CTCs, and AR-V7 in CTCs, and its contribution to the available clinical prognostic models.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design and conduct

The PREMIERE trial is a translational multicenter single-arm openlabel phase 2 clinical trial (NCT02288936) of enzalutamide in firstline mCRPC, originally designed to analyze the prognostic value of the gene fusion *TMPRSS2-ERG* and other correlative laboratory studies, including plasma DNA and CTC analysis. The study was approved by a central independent review board (IRB).

The validation cohort consisted of a single institution cohort from patients participating in a protocol approved by the Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori (IRST), Meldola, Italy (REC 2192/2013) with plasma samples collected prospectively with the primary aim of biomarker evaluation.

2.2 | Participants

The PREMIERE trial consisted of patients with histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate with documented metastases and tumor progression and a serum testosterone level of 50 ng per deciliter or less with continued androgen-deprivation therapy. Eligible patients had Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) 0–1, and were asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic (Brief Pain Inventory Short Form question 3 of less than 4).

The IRST cohort consisted of patients with histologically confirmed prostate adenocarcinoma without neuroendocrine differentiation, progressive disease despite "castration levels" of serum testosterone (<50 ng/dl), ongoing LHRH analog treatment or prior surgical castration, and no prior treatment with enzalutamide or abiraterone.

Treatment in both cohorts consisted of enzalutamide at a dose of 160 mg once daily. Treatment continued until the occurrence of unacceptable side effects or confirmed radiographic progression. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

2.3 | Procedures

In the PREMIERE trial, tumor tissue and blood samples were shipped before study entry and a central pathologist reviewed all histological FFPE samples. Blood samples were collected before study entry, at 12 weeks and at progression, and included three 5 ml EDTA tubes: two were locally processed to obtain plasma that was stored at -80°C and centrally shipped at study completion and one was shipped overnight at 4°C to a central laboratory and processed in less than 24 h for CTC analyses. In the IRST cohort, plasma samples were collected before treatment initiation and analyzed at a central laboratory.

TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene was studied in FFPE tumor tissue from the PREMIERE trial. In brief, an 8-µm FFPE slide was macrodissected and DNA/RNA was extracted and quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in duplicate with the TaqMan primer probe for TMPRS2-ERG fusion transcript (Hs03063375 ft, Applied Biosystems) following manufacturer's instructions. ERG immunohistochemistry was performed using ERG rabbit monoclonal antibody (EPR3864, Epitomics; dilution 1:100) and correlated with qPCR results. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) for TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene was independently performed as previously described²⁵ at two different institutions (HMM and CNIO).

- Plasma AR copy number. Plasma was collected within 30 days before treatment initiation and plasma aliquots were stored at -80°C and centrally analyzed upon study completion. ddPCR assays were carried out as previously described.¹⁸ In brief, for each individual sample, AR CN was estimated using each of the reference genes NSUN3, EIF2C1, and AP3B1 and using ZXDB at Xp11.21 as a control gene to determine X chromosome CN. An AR gain cutoff of ≥1.92 was considered as AR gain, as previously published.¹⁹
- CTC and AR-V7 analysis. The CTC analyses were conducted using the commercially available AdnaTest platform (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions with a minor modification previously described.²² Custom primers, as previously described, were used to detect AR-V7 mRNA. Sanger sequencing confirmed the accuracy of the PCR product. AR-V7 cross-validation was

performed between PREMIERE central laboratory (CL) and Johns Hopkins University (JH).

2.4 | Outcomes

The primary endpoint for the PREMIERE clinical trial was prostate specific antigen (PSA) progression-free survival (PSA-PFS) and secondary endpoints included PSA response, radiographic progression-free survival (rad-PFS), and overall survival (OS). PSA and blood tests were assessed monthly and radiographic disease was evaluated with the use of computed tomography (CT) and bone scan at the time of screening and every 12 weeks thereafter. Response was evaluated according to the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 2 (PCWG2) criteria²⁶ and soft tissue disease was assessed on the basis of Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST), version 1.1.²⁷ CTC conversion was defined considering the analysis at basal and after 12 weeks of treatment.

2.5 | Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the R software, version 3.3.0. The statistical plan for biomarkers included descriptive and prognostic analyses of TMPRSS2-ERG, CTC, AR-V7 in CTCs, and AR gain using the primary and secondary outcomes of the trial, as previously described. Post hoc exploratory analyses included correlation with primary progression. Qualitative variables were compared using the Fisher exact test. Time variables were evaluated using Kaplan-Meier analysis and cox-proportional hazards models. All tests were two-sided, and an alpha-error of less than 0.05 was required to be considered statistically significant. Cohen's Kappa test was used to study concordance between the central and the external laboratory. A Cox-proportional hazards model via penalized maximum likelihood, using the package *glmnet 2.0-18*,²⁸ was used to generate a parsimonious clinical-molecular model.

3 | RESULTS

Ninety-eight chemotherapy-naïve mCRPC patients initiated enzalutamide as first-line treatment between February and November 2015 at 16 Spanish institutions in the PREMIERE trial. Patients' characteristics are described in Table 1. Survival outcomes for all patients included in the study are shown in Supporting Information: Figure S1. With a median follow-up of 37 months, median PSA-PFS was 14.1 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 10.2–20.2), median rad-PFS was 25.2 months (95% CI: 21.7–32.1) and median OS was 37.5 months (95% CI: 33.7 vs. NR). Treatment responses are described in Supporting Information: Table S2. PSA-50 (decrease \geq 50%) was observed in 82%, and PSA-90 (decrease \geq 90%) in 53%, with radiographic response observed in 49% of the patients (N = 21).

TABLE 1 Patients' characteristics

Patient characteristics	All (n = 98)
Age median (range)	77 (57–95)
Metastases, no. (%)	
Bone	80 (82%)
Visceral	16 (16%)
Liver	4 (4%)
Lymph nodes	47 (48%)
Bone metastasis, no. (%)	
<4	50 (51%)
≥4	30 (31%)
ECOG, no. (%)	
0	53 (54%)
1	45 (46%)
Pain, N (%)	
No pain	45 (46%)
Mild (≤3 BPI score)	52 (54%)
PSA, ng/dl, median (range)	24.95 (0.59-4319)
Albumin (µg/dl)	4.16 (3.29-5.00)
Hemoglobin median (range)	13.20 (7.50-17.30)
ALP ratio median (range)	0.71 (0.24-17.46)
High (>UNL)	28 (29%)
LDH ratio median (range)	0.84 (0.29-3.36)
High (>UNL)	31 (32%)
NLR median (range)	2.14 (0.52-12.33)
CTC positive, no. (%)	35 (36%)
Plasma DNA,ng/ml, median (range)	18.64 (0.05–1585)
AR gain, no. (%)	11 (11%)

Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AR, androgen receptor; BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; CTC, circulating tumor cell; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; NLR, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio.

Enzalutamide was well tolerated with no unexpected toxicities, as shown in Supporting Information: Table S4.

3.1 | TMPRSS2-ERG status

TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene was detected in 32 patients (33%). All positive samples expressed high ERG in the nucleus by IHC (r = 0.93; p < 0.0001). Baseline patients' characteristics were similar between both groups and no differences were observed in any efficacy outcome based on the detection of TMPRSS2-ERG. Further details are described in Supporting Information: Tables S1–S3 and Figures S2 and S3.

3.2 | Plasma AR gain

Baseline plasma AR gain was detected in 11 patients (11%). Plasma AR-based survival analyses are shown in Figure 1A–C. AR gain was associated with worse survival outcomes than AR normal: median PSA-PFS 4.2 versus 14.7 months (hazard ratio [HR] 4.03, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.87–8.72; p < 0.001), median rad-PFS was 4.5 versus 27.6 months (HR 9.83, 95% CI 4.50–21.44; p < 0.0001) and median OS of 12.7 versus 38.1 months (HR 6.65, 95% CI 3.18–13.91; p < 0.0001), respectively. AR gain was also associated with worse PSA response, as shown in Figure 1D.

AR gain was detected in 19.4% (6/31) versus 7.6% (5/66) in TMPRSS2-ERG positive and negative, respectively (p = 0.166), as shown in Supporting Information: Table S5.

3.3 | CTCs by Adna-Test[®]

Baseline CTCs were present in 35 patients (36%). Survival outcomes by baseline CTC status are described in Figure 2A–C. The presence of CTC at baseline was associated with worse evolution in all survival outcomes. Median PSA-PFS for positive patients was 7.4 versus 20.2 months (HR 3.37, 95% CI 2.01–5.66; p < 0.0001), median rad-PFS was 11.5 versus 33.1 months (HR 5.21, 95% CI 2.82–9.64; p < 0.0001) and median OS was 25.4 months versus not reached (HR 4.68, 95% CI 2.64–8.28; p < 0.0001). CTC conversion analysis using landmark survival analysis is shown in Figure 2D. Conversion of CTC detection from positive to negative was associated with improved evolution in all survival outcomes, including PSA-PFS (p < 0.001), rad-PFS (p < 0.001), and OS (p < 0.001). No association was observed between *TMPRSS2-ERG* expression and CTC detection (p = 0.825), as described in Supporting Information: Table S5.

3.4 | AR-V7 expression in CTCs

AR-V7 was detected in 16% of CTC-positive evaluable patients (5/32). Progression as best response at 12 weeks was observed in 60% (3/5) in AR-V7 positive patients, compared with 30% (8/27) in AR-V7 negative and 8% (5/63) in CTC negative patients (p = 0.0014). However, two externally validated AR-V7 patients had tumor responses lasting for 14 and 25 months, as shown in Supporting Information: Figure S4A. AR-V7-based survival analyses are shown in Figure 2B–D. No differences were observed for survival outcomes. Intriguingly, at baseline all AR-V7 positive patients were *TMPRSS2-ERG* negative (5/21 vs. 0/11, p = 0.134), as shown in Supporting Information: Table S5. A numerical increase, not statistically significant, was observed for AR gain in AR-V7 positive when compared with AR-V7 negative and CTC negative patients: 40% (2/5), 16% (4/24), and 8% (5/66), respectively.



FIGURE 1 Survival outcomes and response by AR gain in plasma DNA. Kaplan–Meier and Cox-regression models were used for survival analyses: (A) PSA progression-free survival; (B) radiographic progression-free survival; (C) overall survival; and (D) waterfall plot representing maximum PSA decrease by plasma AR status. AR, androgen receptor. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



FIGURE 2 Survival outcomes by CTC and CTC conversion. Kaplan–Meier and Cox-regression models were used for survival analyses: (A, D) PSA progression-free survival in CTCs and by CTC conversion; (B, E) radiographic progression-free survival in CTCs and by CTC conversion; and (C, F) overall survival in CTCs and by CTC conversion. CTC, circulating tumor cell. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

3.5 | Development of an integrated clinical-molecular model

We evaluated in our series the clinical prognostic model previously developed based on the PREVAIL trial externally validating it in our series, with a Concordance Index (C-Index) of 0.70. Interestingly, most patients in our study were included in the low (61%) and intermediate (37%) risk groups, as shown in Supporting Information: Figure S5.

A multivariate analysis of all clinical variables included in the clinical model, CTC, and AR is shown in Table 2. We then integrated AR gain with the clinical variables included in the clinical model into a new comprehensive clinical and molecular model that obtained a bootstrap-validated C-Index of 0.79. The addition of AR to the clinical model increased the prognostic ability of the clinical model (p < 0.001). Using a penalized regression model, we obtained a clinical-molecular parsimonious model that included three variables: ALP ratio, PSA, and AR gain Table 3, and a bootstrap-validated C-Index of 0.78. This model was validated in an independent cohort

of patients treated at IRST with enzalutamide obtaining a C-Index of 0.71. We then designed a nomogram capable to predict the survival probability at 12, 24, and 36 months, as shown in Figure 3.

4 | DISCUSSION

We here show the final results of a biomarker phase 2 multicentre clinical trial of enzalutamide in first-line chemo-naïve mCRPC. The primary aim of the study was to evaluate the association between TMPRSS2-ERG and the efficacy of enzalutamide and we did not find any difference. However, we observed that CTCs by AdnaTest[®] and AR gain are strong and independent prognostic variables. In addition, we externally validated a clinical prognostic model developed in the PREVAIL trial and observed that both CTCs and AR gain are able to improve the prognostic ability of the model. We then developed a parsimonious model including clinical variables and AR gain, that validated an independent data set of mCRPC patients treated with enzalutamide in the same clinical scenario.

381

The Prostate _____

TABLE 2 Multivariable analysis for the clinical and molecular variables

Premiere				
Prognostic	HR (95% CI)	p*		
Albumin	0.57 (0.21-1.57)	0.276		
ALP	0.44 (0.23-0.87)	0.018		
Number of bone metastases	0.94 (0.82-1.08)	0.355		
Hemoglobin	1.00 (0.79-1.27)	0.985		
LDH	0.66 (0.35-1.26)	0.207		
NLR	0.77 (0.36-1.64)	0.499		
Pain score	2.13 (1.12-4.05)	0.021		
Pattern of spread	0.63 (0.14-2.83)	0.543		
Log _e PSA	1.39 (1.10-1.74)	0.005		
Time from diagnosis to randomization	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.863		
AR gain	8.25 (3.17-21.45)	<0.001		
СТС	2.74 (1.34-5.61)	0.006		

Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AR, androgen receptor; BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; CI, confidence interval; CTC, circulating tumor cell; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR, hazard ratio; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; NLR, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio. *p Value was calculated using Cox regression.

TABLE 3 Clinical-molecular parsimonious model

	Coefficient	p*
ALP ratio (log)	0.73	<0.001
PSA ng/dl (log)	0.36	<0.001
AR gain (yes vs. no)	2.15	<0.001

*p Value was calculated using Cox regression.

Survival

Previous results regarding the predictive value of *TMPRSS2-ERG* to new antiandrogens were controversial with abiraterone, with an early phase I/II trial of abiraterone that reported increased PSA responses in TMPRSS2-ERG associated tumors.^{15,29} Our results with enzalutamidetreated patients, together with previous studies with abiraterone, support that TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene has limited value as predictive biomarker in mCRPC treated with anti-androgen therapies.

We also evaluated plasma AR-gain and other promising biomarkers including CTCs measured by AdnaTest[®] and AR-V7 expression in CTCs. We previously published the association of plasma AR-gain with an adverse outcome to enzalutamide with a median follow-up of 11 months. Here we present the final updated survival, with a median follow-up of 37 months that confirms its strong independent prognostic value in first-line mCRPC. AR-gain prognostic value was independent of other clinical and molecular prognostic variables. We observed a numerical increase in AR gain in AR-V7 positive patients compared with AR-V7 negative and CTC negative (40% vs. 17% vs. 8%). This result, although limited by the low numbers, is in agreement with previous publications in PCa metastatic tissue, that observe an association between AR gain and AR-V7 expression in PCa metastases.³⁰

We show that CTCs can be detected using AdnaTest[®] in almost one third (35%) of low-intermediate risk mCRPC patients, and that it is a strong and independent prognostic biomarker. We also observe, using landmark survival analysis, that CTC conversion at 12 weeks of treatment is associated with treatment outcome, including a favorable outcome when it turns negative and an adverse outcome when it becomes positive. However, prospective randomized clinical trials are needed to fulfill the Prentice criteria³¹ and fully qualify as a meaningful biomarker for regulatory matters.

AR-V7 in CTCs has previously demonstrated clinical value in mCRPC patients treated with a new anti-androgen therapy.^{22,23} In this first-line study, we observed a low detection rate for AR-V7 (5% of all

	Points	0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100
	ALP (log)	-16 -12 -08 -04 0 04 08 12 16 2 24 28
	PSA (log)	-1.0 -1.2 -0.0 -0.4 -0 -0.4 -0.0 -1.2 -1.0 -2 -2.4 -2.0 -1.4 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
	AR gain	0
	Total Points	0 10 25 40 55 70 85 100 115 130 145 160 175 190
ſ	-12 months	0.97 0.95 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.3
	-24 months	0.95 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.1
Ξ	-36 months	0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.1

FIGURE 3 Nomogram for the clinicalmolecular parsimonious model. Nomogram developed using the integrated parsimonious three variables prognostic model. ALP ratio and PSA were included in logarithmic scale. This nomogram predicts survival probability at 12, 24, and 36 months. ALP, alkaline phosphatase. patients; N = 5/98) and low PSA50 response rate (40% vs. 82%). These results are consistent with a recently published phase 3 trial³² in this same scenario, with a detection rate of 7%–10% (N = 38/953) and a response rate of 42% in 19 patients treated with enzalutamide.

Investigators from the pivotal trial of enzalutamide in first-line mCRPC published a clinical prognostic model able to stratify patients based on pretreatment clinical variables. This model was limited by the lack of external validation and the absence of molecular variables. We here validated this clinical prognostic model, obtaining a C-index of 0.70 and observed that both CTCs and AR-gain were independent variables that were able to improve the prognostic ability of the model. We then built a parsimonious clinical-molecular model, composed of three variables: AR gain, ALP, and PSA that was independently validated. LDH has been previously selected in multiple prognostic models in mCRPC, including models that include CTCs.^{33,34} Intriguingly, LDH was not significant in our penalized regression model. The association of LDH with high-risk features, that were not represented in our model, might explain the lack of statistical significance in our study.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In this phase 2 biomarker trial, we report additional evidence with long follow-up on the prognostic impact of AR gain together with other biomarkers, including CTCs and AR-V7 chemo-naïve mCRPC patients. We demonstrate its ability to improve clinical prognostic models and propose a new parsimonious model including plasma AR gain. Further studies, including comprehensive biomarker panels and well-annotated clinical data sets, are required to redefine prognostic models in PCa.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge all the staff at SOGUG for their support to run the PREMIERE trial, Astellas for supporting this research, ISCIII from the Spanish Ministry of Health, and Cris Cancer Foundation for their support. This trial was promoted by SOGUG and received a grant from Astellas. EGB received a travel grant (BA16/ 00038) and funding support from the "Instituto de Salud Carlos III" (PI18/00883) and support from SEOM-CRIS Cancer Foundation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

TG has received travel grants from Sanofi and participated in advisory boards for Sanofi, Janssen, Astellas, and Bayer. AF has received travel grants from Astellas, Astra-Zeneca, Sanofi and participated in advisory boards for Janssen, Sanofi, Eisai, and Bayer. SVE has received travel grants from Astellas, Janssen, and Bayer, and has participated in advisory boards for Janssen, Astellas, Sanofi, and Bayer. AGdA has received research funding from Astellas, travel grants from Astellas, Jansen, Sanofi, BMS, Roche, Pfizer, and Ipsen, and honoraria for speaker engagements, advisory boards, and continuous medical education from Janssen, Astellas, Sanofi, Bayer, Roche, Ipsen, BMS, MSD, Pfizer, Eusa Pharma, Eisai, and Astra Zeneca. ESA is the codeveloper of a patented AR-V7 biomarker technology that has been licensed to Qiagen. ID has received travel grants and research funding from Astellas and has The Prostate_WILEY

participated in advisory boards for Astellas, Janssen, Sanofi, and Bayer. EG has received travel grants from Astellas, Janssen, Sanofi, Bayer, Roche, BMS, and Eisai, honoraria for speaking engagements for Astellas, Janssen, Sanofi, Bayer, Pfizer, Roche, BMS, Novartis, Rovi, Daiichi Sankyo, Leo Pharma, Menarini, Eisai, MSD, Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck, and EUSA Pharma and participated in advisory boards for Astellas, Janssen, Sanofi, Bayer, Pfizer, Roche, Novartis, Eisai, EUSA Pharma, BMS, AstraZeneca, Merck, Rovi, Daiichi Sankyo, and Techdow. JP has received research funding from Astellas and Roche and received honoraria for speaker engagements, advisory roles, or continuous medical education from Astellas, Astra Zeneca, Janssen, MSD, Bayer, Pfizer, Eisai, Ipsen, Sanofi, Roche, BMS, and Merck. BM has served advisory role from Roche, Sanofi, Janssen, Astellas, Pfizer, Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Ipsen, research funding from Roche, Bayer, and Janssen, and accommodation expenses from Pfizer and Janssen. MA Climent has received travel grants from Astellas, Jansen, Sanofi, Pfizer, Roche, and Ipsen. And honoraria for speaker engagements, advisory boards, and continuous medical education from Janssen, Astellas, Sanofi, Bayer, Roche, Ipsen, BMS, MSD, Pfizer, and Astra Zeneca MJMV has received travel grants from Astellas and Janssen and has participated in advisory boards for Janssen, Astellas, Sanofi, and Bayer. María Isabel Sáez Medina has received travel grants from Sanofi and Roche and participated in advisory boards from Sanofi, Ipsen, and Astellas. DC has received research funding from Astellas, educational grants from Pfizer and Janssen, travel grants from Pfizer and BMS, and has participated in advisory boards for Pfizer, Astellas, Janssen, Sanofi, Baver, and Roche, JL is an inventor of AR-V7-related technologies that have been licensed to A&G Pharmaceuticals and Qiagen. GA reported receiving grants, personal fees, nonfinancial support, and speaker fees from Janssen, Astellas, and Sanofi: personal fees, nonfinancial support, and speaker fees from AstraZeneca; and personal fees from Novartis and Bayer outside the submitted work; in addition, GA reported having a patent (GB1915469.9; blood signatures for prostate cancer detection) pending and is on the Institute of Cancer Research list of rewards to inventors for abiraterone acetate. EG Research funding from Astellas, Astra Zeneca, IPSEN, Pfizer, and Roche and has participated in advisory boards for Adacap, AMGEN, Angelini, Astellas, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Blueprint, Bristol Myers Squibb, Caris Life Sciences, Celgene, Clovis-Oncology, Eisai, Eusa Pharma, Genetracer, Guardant Health, HRA-Pharma, IPSEN, ITM-Radiopharma, Janssen, Lexicon, Lilly, Merck KGaA, MSD, Nanostring Technologies, Natera, Novartis, ONCODNA (Biosequence), Palex, Pharmamar, Pierre Fabre, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, Servier, Taiho, Thermo Fisher Scientific. EGB has received travel grants from Astellas, Janssen, and Sanofi and has participated in advisory boards for Astellas, Janssen, Sanofi, Astra-Zeneca, and Bayer.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supporting Information.

ORCID

Enrique Perez-Navarro b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1091-8926 Teresa Alonso-Gordoa b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8966-7236 WILEY-The Prostate

Vicenza Conteduca http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6921-714X Enrique Gonzalez-Billalabeitia http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3143-3143

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(1):7-33. doi:10.3322/caac.21654
- 2. Attard G, Parker C, Eeles RA, et al. Prostate cancer. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10013):70-82.
- Beer TM, Armstrong AJ, Rathkopf DE, et al. Enzalutamide in metastatic prostate cancer before chemotherapy. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(5):424-433.
- Tran C, Ouk S, Clegg NJ, et al. Development of a second-generation antiandrogen for treatment of advanced prostate cancer. *Science*. 2009;324(5928):787-790.
- Beer TM, Armstrong AJ, Rathkopf D, et al. Enzalutamide in men with chemotherapy-naïve metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: extended analysis of the phase 3 PREVAIL study. *Eur Urol.* 2017;71(2):151-154.
- Armenia J, Wankowicz SAM, Liu D, et al. The long tail of oncogenic drivers in prostate cancer. *Nature Genet*. 2018;50(5):645-651.
- 7. Quigley DA, Dang HX, Zhao SG, et al. Genomic hallmarks and structural variation in metastatic prostate cancer. *Cell*. 2018;174(3):758-769.
- Abida W, Cyrta J, Heller G, et al. Genomic correlates of clinical outcome in advanced prostate cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2019;116(23):11428-11436.
- Tomlins SA, Rhodes DR, Perner S, et al. Recurrent fusion of TMPRSS2 and ETS transcription factor genes in prostate cancer. *Science*. 2005;310(5748):644-648. doi:10.1126/science.1117679
- 10. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. The molecular taxonomy of primary prostate cancer. *Cell*. 2015;163(4):1011-1025.
- 11. Robinson D, Van Allen EM, Wu YM, et al. Integrative clinical genomics of advanced prostate cancer. *Cell*. 2015;161(5):1215-1228.
- Carver BS, Tran J, Chen Z, et al. ETS rearrangements and prostate cancer initiation. Nature. 2009;457(7231):E1. doi:10.1038/nature07738
- Wang J, Cai Y, Yu W, Ren C, Spencer DM, Ittmann M. Pleiotropic biological activities of alternatively spliced TMPRSS2/ERG fusion gene transcripts. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68(20):8516-8524.
- Yu J, Yu J, Mani RS, et al. An integrated network of androgen receptor, polycomb, and TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusions in prostate cancer progression. *Cancer Cell*. 2010;17(5):443-454. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.03.018
- Attard G, Swennenhuis JF, Olmos D, et al. Characterization of ERG, AR and PTEN gene status in circulating tumor cells from patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2009;69(7): 2912-2918. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3667
- 16. Attard G, de Bono JS, Logothetis CJ, et al. Improvements in radiographic progression-free survival stratified by ERG gene status in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer patients treated with abiraterone acetate. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21(7):1621-1627.
- 17. Carreira S, Romanel A, Goodall J, et al. Tumor clone dynamics in lethal prostate cancer. *Sci Transl Med.* 2014;6(254):254ra125.
- Conteduca V, Wetterskog D, Sharabiani MTA, et al. Androgen receptor gene status in plasma DNA associates with worse outcome on enzalutamide or abiraterone for castration-resistant prostate cancer: a multi-institution correlative biomarker study. *Ann Oncol.* 2017;28(7):1508-1516.
- Jayaram A, Wingate A, Wetterskog D. Plasmsa androgen receptor copy number status at emergence of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a pooled multicohort analysis. JCO Precis Oncol. 2019;3:1-13.
- Danila DC, Heller G, Gignac GA, et al. Circulating tumor cell number and prognosis in progressive castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13(23):7053-7058.

- 21. Scher HI, Heller G, Molina A, et al. Circulating tumor cell biomarker panel as an individual-level surrogate for survival in metastatic castrationresistant prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(12):1348-1355.
- 22. Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Wang H, et al. AR-V7 and resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone in prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(11):1028-1038.
- Scher HI, Graf RP, Schreiber NA, et al. Assessment of the validity of nuclear-localized androgen receptor splice variant 7 in circulating tumor cells as a predictive biomarker for castration-resistant prostate cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2018;4(9):1179-1186.
- Armstrong AJ, Lin P, Higano CS, et al. Development and validation of a prognostic model for overall survival in chemotherapy-naïve men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(11):2200-2207. doi:10.1093/ANNONC/MDY406
- Mehra R, Tomlins SA, Shen R, et al. Comprehensive assessment of TMPRSS2 and ETS family gene aberrations in clinically localized prostate cancer. *Mod Pathol.* 2007;20(5):538-544. doi:10.1038/ MODPATHOL.3800769
- Scher HI, Halabi S, Tannock I, et al. Design and end points of clinical trials for patients with progressive prostate cancer and castrate levels of testosterone: recommendations of the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(7):1148-1159.
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer. 2009;45(2):228-247.
- Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. J Stat Softw. 2010;33(1):1-22. doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i01
- 29. Danila DC, Anand A, Sung CC, et al. TMPRSS2-ERG status in circulating tumor cells as a predictive biomarker of sensitivity in castration-resistant prostate cancer patients treated with abiraterone acetate. *Eur Urol.* 2011;60(5):897-904. doi:10.1016/J.EURURO.2011.07.011
- Sharp A, Coleman I, Yuan W, et al. Androgen receptor splice variant-7 expression emerges with castration resistance in prostate cancer. *J Clin Invest*. 2019;129(1):192-208.
- 31. Prentice RL. Surrogate endpoints in clinical trials: definition and operational criteria. *Stat Med.* 1989;8(4):431-440.
- 32. Taplin ME, Antonarakis ES, Ferrante KJ, et al. Androgen receptor modulation optimized for response-splice variant: a phase 3, randomized trial of galeterone versus enzalutamide in androgen receptor splice variant-7-expressing metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2019;76:843-851.
- Scher HI, Jia X, de Bono JS, et al. Circulating tumour cells as prognostic markers in progressive, castration-resistant prostate cancer: a reanalysis of IMMC38 trial data. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009;10(3):233-239.
- Scher HI, Heller G, Molina A, et al. Circulating tumor cell biomarker panel as an individual-level surrogate for survival in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(12): 1348-1355. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.55.3487

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Fernandez-Perez MP, Perez-Navarro E, Alonso-Gordoa T, et al. A correlative biomarker study and integrative prognostic model in chemotherapy-naïve metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with enzalutamide. *The Prostate*. 2023;83:376-384. doi:10.1002/pros.24469